Foetal age determination and development in elephants
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Elephants have the longest pregnancy of all mammals, with an average gestation of around 660 days, so their embryonic and foetal development have always been of special interest. Hitherto, it has only been possible to estimate foetal ages from theoretical calculations based on foetal mass. The recent development of sophisticated ultrasound procedures for elephants has now made it possible to monitor the growth and development of foetuses of known gestational age conceived in captivity from natural matings or artificial insemination. We have studied the early stages of pregnancy in 10 captive Asian and 9 African elephants by transrectal ultrasound. Measurements of foetal crown–rump lengths have provided the first accurate growth curves, which differ significantly from the previous theoretical estimates based on the cube root of foetal mass. We have used these to age 22 African elephant foetuses collected during culling operations. Pregnancy can be first recognized ultrasonographically by day 50, the presumptive yolk sac by about day 75 and the zonary placenta by about day 85. The trunk is first recognizable by days 85–90 and is distinct by day 104, while the first heartbeats are evident from around day 80. By combining ultrasonography and morphological observations, we have been able to produce the first reliable criteria for estimating gestational age and ontological development of Asian and African elephant foetuses during the first third of gestation.
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1. INTRODUCTION

There are three recognized elephant species: the African savannah elephant (Loxodonta africana); the African forest elephant (Loxodonta cyclotis); and the Asian elephant (Elephas maximus; Roca et al. 2001). Their gestation length is the by far longest of all mammals (623–729 days in the Asian and 640–673 days in the African elephant; Sukumar 2003; Meyer et al. 2004). The Asian elephant is regarded as an endangered species in the wild (Sukumar 2003), and captive breeding may be its only hope of long-term survival. The lack of success with captive breeding of either species has made it extremely difficult to study foetal growth and development, hence research has been dependent on African elephant foetuses of unknown gestational age collected during culling operations which took place from 1967 to 1995.

Gaeth et al. (1999) serially sectioned one elephant embryo and six foetuses obtained from culling operations in the Kruger National Park, South Africa, between 1993 and 1995. Craig’s (1984) formula was used to estimate the age of the specimens. According to this, the embryo was at 58 days of gestation and the foetuses ranged from 97 to 166 days. This is the first account of elephant organogenesis, and it revealed the unique nature of the mesonephric kidney with its nephrostomes and the reasons why the testes do not descend into a scrotum. Based on these and earlier findings, Gaeth et al. suggested that elephants probably have an aquatic ancestry. This is further supported by a subsequent study of foetal lung development, pleural fusion and the evolution of the trunk, which enables the elephant to snorkel (West 2001, West et al. 2003). The earliest descriptions of placentaion in the African elephant highlighted its zonary placenta (Amoroso & Perry 1964; Perry 1974; Allen et al. 2003), and in an important series of papers, Allen and colleagues have defined the unusual aspects of its placental physiology and endocrinology (reviewed in Allen 2006). However, in all of these studies, foetal age could only be given as an estimate.

The only methods presently available for estimating foetal age of the elephant are the formulae of Huggett & Widdas (1951) and Craig (1984) based on a theoretical linear relationship between the cube root of foetal mass and the foetal age. The formula was \( t = \omega^{1/3} a + t_0 \), where \( t \) is the foetal age in days; \( \omega \) is the foetal mass in kg; \( a \) is the specific growth velocity; and \( t_0 \) is the minimal age to be determined from the equation. Huggett & Widdas arbitrarily assumed \( t_0 \) to be one-tenth of the gestation length. Perry (1953) determined that the ‘specific foetal growth velocity’ for both African and Asian elephants was 0.08, given that the average weight at birth is 120 kg and the gestation length is 22 months. Therefore, the
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elephant equation according to Huggett & Widdas was \( t = 120.50^{1/3} + 66 \). Craig (1984) modified this equation to give greater values for \( a \) and \( t_0 \) in view of his observations on foetuses collected during culling operations in Zimbabwe from 1980 to 1982. Craig concluded that the assumed specific growth velocity was too low, and he revised the formula to \( t = 105\alpha_0^{1/3} + 138 \), assuming that prior to day 138 the foetus had a different but unknown growth velocity. Therefore, he suggested that the Huggett & Widdas equation be modified to \( t = 105\alpha_0^{1/3} - (\alpha_0^{1/3} + 0.199)^{3} + 140 \), to account for a much slower nonlinear growth during early gestation, despite not having any actual data on foetuses younger than 138 days of gestation.

The introduction of transrectal ultrasonography coupled with successful captive breeding programmes has opened up a whole new way of studying the reproductive biology of elephants (Hildebrandt et al. 1998). We have been able to produce accurate growth curves for Asian and African elephant foetuses, and follow their development from implantation until 240 days of gestation.

### 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### (a) Fixed elephant specimens

Six formalin-fixed foetuses from the Kruger National Park Museum (water bath, WBI–6) measured in 1997, 15 African elephant foetuses (elephant foetus, EF1–15) collected during culling operations in the Kruger National Park in 1974, 1993 and 1995 and an additional foetus (EF16) collected in 1967 from a cull in the Luangwa Valley, Zambia were used in the study. The specimens were all photographed, weighed and relevant morphological measurements such as crown–rump length (CRL, distance from the vertex of the skull to the base of the tail) were taken. Specimens WBI–6 were then sonographically assessed in a water bath (3.5–10 MHz, Hitachi EUB 495) and the ultrasound scans were recorded on S-VHS tape and analysed.

#### (b) Pregnant elephants

In the period from 1993 to 2005, 9 captive African elephants (La) and 10 captive Asian elephants (Em) were examined (scanned elephants, SE1–19; table 1). In the course of artificial insemination (AI) programmes, the hormone profiles together with frequent ultrasound examinations allowed for the exact timing of ovulation. Rupturing of the leading Graafian follicle was expected 12 h after the second luteinising hormone (LH) peak. Growth of the Graafian follicle as well as its disappearance were detected sonographically. AI was performed in most cases two to three times when the Graafian follicle was still visible and after it had disappeared. Therefore, the date of ovulation was defined by the absence of a previously enlarged Graafian follicle at the time of AI \( (n = 7) \) or by the detection of a second LH peak measured in daily blood serum samples (Kapustin et al. 1996; Brown et al. 2004) at the time of mating. Multiple AIs were performed owing to poor semen quality. (For most of the performed AIs, the semen was collected at a different institution from where the recipient elephant cow was housed. This made long transport necessary, which had a negative impact on the semen quality.)

### Table 1. Pregnant elephants monitored by transrectal ultrasound.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>total no. of examinations</th>
<th>species</th>
<th>date of conception</th>
<th>gestational age (d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SE1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Em(^b)</td>
<td>13 Jan 2004</td>
<td>NB(^c) 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Em</td>
<td>18 Jul 1994</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Em</td>
<td>19 Aug 2003</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Em</td>
<td>19 Mar 2004</td>
<td>AI(^d) 133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>La(^e)</td>
<td>30 Jan 2004</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>La</td>
<td>13 Aug 2001</td>
<td>AI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>La</td>
<td>23 May 2001</td>
<td>AI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>La</td>
<td>16 Jun 2001</td>
<td>AI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>La</td>
<td>23 Aug 2001</td>
<td>AI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Em</td>
<td>3 Dec 2000</td>
<td>AI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Em</td>
<td>22 Feb 2000</td>
<td>AI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Em</td>
<td>15 Apr 2995</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Em</td>
<td>18 Jan 1998</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>La</td>
<td>16 Apr 2000</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Em</td>
<td>18 Dec 2002</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>La</td>
<td>23 May 1999</td>
<td>AI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>La</td>
<td>23 Jul 1999</td>
<td>AI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Em</td>
<td>4 Apr 2004</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE18</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Em</td>
<td>10 Jul 2004</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE19</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Em</td>
<td>27 Oct 2004</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) SE, scanned elephant. \(^b\) Em, *Elephas maximus*. \(^c\) NB, natural breeding. \(^d\) AI, artificial insemination. \(^e\) La, *Loxodonta africana*.

#### (c) Ultrasonography

During the first third of pregnancy, sonographic examinations were performed transrectally using the technique of Hildebrandt et al. (2000). Some elephants were in the standing position and others in lateral recumbency, as previously described (Hildebrandt et al. 2000).

Later in gestation, transcutaneous ultrasound was applied (Hildebrandt et al. 2006). In most cases, portable B-mode real-time ultrasound systems (Sonosite, Inc. USA; EUB 459, Hitachi Medical Corporation, Japan) with a transducer frequency range from 10.0 to 2.0 MHz were employed. Three elephants were assessed with stationary three-dimensional ultrasound systems (Voluson 530 and Voluson 730, GE Medical Systems, Austria) equipped with 7.0–4.0 MHz transducers. All ultrasound examinations were videotaped on analogue S-VHS or digital tapes for retrospective analysis. Three-dimensional scans were stored on magneto-optical discs.

#### (d) Retrospective analysis of the ultrasound data and measurements

All ultrasound recordings (WBI–6 and SE1–19) were analysed retrospectively. For the measurement of different biometric parameters, such as CRL, biparietal diameter (BPD), thoracic diameter (THD) and femur length (FL), three-dimensional sonograms had to be created from the two-dimensional recordings (ADOBE PREMIERE PRO 1.5, Adobe Systems Inc., USA) before foetal structures could be measured with a special software program (ÁNALYSIS v. 3.1, Olympus, Germany).

By working with the three-dimensional technique, biometric measurements could be derived directly from the scans (4D VIEW, GE Medical Systems, Austria). In the earliest embryos, where there was no bone ossification, the length of the embryo on its longest axis was defined as the CRL. In the later stages, the CRL was measured as the distance from the
3. RESULTS

(a) Validation of ultrasound measurements

Data collected from foetal elephant specimens (WB1–6 and EF1–16) of different developmental stages are listed in Table 2. In order to test the reliability of the ultrasound measurements, the CRLs of six foetuses (WB1–6) were measured directly and indirectly by ultrasound in a water bath. The results of the two methods did not differ significantly (regression through the origin: \( \text{CRL} = 1.026 \times \text{CRL}_{\text{ultrasound}} \), \( R^2 = 0.999, p < 0.001 \); 95% CI of slope: 0.999–1.1060).

(b) New mathematical models for foetal growth using ultrasound data

Since the dates of ovulation of SE1–19 were known, each ultrasound measurement could be assigned a known gestational age. The CRL was an accessible parameter from 62 to 202 days of gestation and ranged from 5.0 to 205.3 mm. It became more difficult to measure with increasing growth of the foetus and its varying flexure. BPD could be measured transrectally from 83 to 226 days of gestation, and it ranged from 7.7 to 91.3 mm. THD ranged from 10.5 to 88.0 mm between days 99 and 240. FL was a parameter that could only be determined later in gestation. It ranged from 6.4 to 58.0 mm and could be reliably measured from 119 to 234 days of gestation. Regression models were fitted to the data in order to construct mean curves for age and CRL, BPD, THD and FL (figure 1a). The data were examined to see if the foetal growth rates differed between Asian and African elephants. No significant differences in the slopes or the intercepts were observed between Asian and African elephants (age with CRL\(^{1/2} \): \( p \) (slopes) = 0.091, \( p \) (intercepts) = 0.947; age with BPD: \( p \) (slopes) = 0.405, \( p \) (intercepts) = 0.751; age with THD: \( p \) (slopes) = 0.522, \( p \) (intercepts) = 0.647; age with FL: \( p \) (slopes) = 0.890, \( p \) (intercepts) = 0.979). Therefore, all subsequent calculations were performed using the combined data for both species. The regression analyses yielded the following equations:

\[
\text{age} = 78.98 + 1.95 \times \text{BPD}, R^2 = 0.95, \\
F(1,105) = 2195.5, p < 0.001,
\]

\[
\text{age} = 85.32 + 1.69 \times \text{THD}, R^2 = 0.98, \\
F(1,76) = 3640.7, p < 0.001,
\]

\[
\text{age} = 113.55 + 2.02 \times \text{FL}, R^2 = 0.97, \\
F(1,54) = 1467.2, p < 0.001.
\]
The relationship between CRL and age is nonlinear, and it can be adequately fitted by a square root function:

\[ \text{age} = 35.14 + 10.80 \times \text{CRL}^{0.5}, \quad R^2 = 0.98, \quad F(1, 114) = 5936.67, \quad p < 0.001. \]  

(3.4)

The 95% prediction band around the regression curve (3.4) (not shown) is expected to enclose 95% of future data points, thus indicating the precision of future estimations. The prediction band boundaries are in a vertical distance between ±9.2 and ±0.7 days (standard deviation (s.d.)) from the regression curve for CRL > 5 mm or ≤ 213 mm.

Existing formulae for foetal age determination describe the relationship between foetal mass and foetal age. Since foetal mass cannot be determined for living foetuses, we used the CRL–age data assessed by ultrasound for comparative purposes. For this, data from foetal elephant specimens (WB1–6 and EF1–16) comprising both foetal CRL and foetal mass measurements were used. Foetal age for these specimens was calculated on the basis of foetal mass \( \omega \) (g) against foetal CRL (mm) revealed estimated the age of the foetal elephant specimens from the CRL measurements (WB1–6 and EF1–16) using equation (3.4) in order to find a simpler age–mass relationship (figure 1b). The formulae used by Huggett/Widdas and Craig assume a linear relationship between the cube root of foetal mass \( \omega \) and the foetal age. However, the relationship is nonlinear. A square root function provides an adequate fit, resulting in the equations:

\[ \text{age} = 28.434 + 54.20 \times ((\text{mass})^{1/3})^{1/2}, \quad R^2 = 0.99, \quad F(1, 20) = 3177.7, \quad p < 0.001, \]

or

\[ \text{age} = 28.434 + 54.20 \times (\text{mass})^{1/6}. \]  

(3.6)

(3.7)

Thus, our formulae are accurate up to at least 202 ± 9.7 days (s.d.).

(c) Foetal growth from observations of fixed foetal elephant specimens

As would be expected, the CRL, head, tail and trunk lengths increased with increasing body mass. In some cases where foetuses were of similar mass, the heavier foetus sometimes had shorter crown–rump, head, tail and trunk lengths. For example, foetuses EF3 and 4 were of similar mass—1.32 and 1.46 g, respectively—yet EF3 was longer in CRL (19 mm compared to 17.6 mm), head length (9.9 mm compared to 9.7 mm) and in tail length (2 mm compared to 1.3 mm). The average length of the hind limbs was always shorter than the average length of the forelimbs, as is observed in adult elephants. Head length was 28% of the CRL of EF1. This increased with age to 55% of EF4 and then decreased with age to plateau at around 42–44%.

(d) Developmental milestones related to foetal age

Sonographic imaging of foetuses of known age, coupled with the description of the gross morphology of foetal specimens with the calculated age according to equation (3.4), have allowed the definition of ontogenetic milestones for the elephant during the first 167 days of gestation (table 3). On day 46, there was evidence of constrained, oval-shaped fluid accumulation within the
The development of the placenta was first visible as a small dot in close proximity to the uterine wall on day 62 of gestation. It changed into a more oblong structure by day 74 (figure 2b) and was attached to a distinct echogenic round foetal membrane, the presumed yolk sac. The development of the amnion and the allantoic membrane were difficult to visualize. A second more delicate, less echogenic membrane was detected around days 85–95, enclosing both the embryo and the yolk sac. The second membrane is presumed to be the allantois.

The youngest fixed specimen (EF1), calculated to be at 60 days of gestation, was a head-fold stage embryo (figure 3a). Approximately 35 somites extended from the base of the head to the end of the tail. The two branchial arches and the optic placodes were easily distinguishable on the head. The forelimb buds and the much smaller hind-limb buds protruded from each side of the embryo. The blood in the atria of the developing heart was clearly visible.

On ultrasound, the pulsating heart was the most prominent organ observed in the early embryo. The embryonic heartbeat could first be detected on day 80 of gestation, shortly followed by the differentiation of the head and the rump between 83 and 85 days of gestation. The first signs of trunk development were seen around days 90–98, when the nose was triangular, defined by two hyperchoic white lines (figure 2a). The embryo itself was initially detected as a small dot in close proximity to the uterine wall on day 62 of gestation. It changed into a more oblong structure by day 74 (figure 2b) and was attached to a distinct echogenic round foetal membrane, the presumed yolk sac. The development of the amnion and the allantoic membrane were difficult to visualize. A second more delicate, less echogenic membrane was detected around days 85–95, enclosing both the embryo and the yolk sac. The second membrane is presumed to be the allantois.

The youngest fixed specimen (EF1), calculated to be at 60 days of gestation, was a head-fold stage embryo (figure 3a). Approximately 35 somites extended from the base of the head to the end of the tail. The two branchial arches and the optic placodes were easily distinguishable on the head. The forelimb buds and the much smaller hind-limb buds protruded from each side of the embryo. The blood in the atria of the developing heart was clearly visible.

On ultrasound, the pulsating heart was the most prominent organ observed in the early embryo. The embryonic heartbeat could first be detected on day 80 of gestation, shortly followed by the differentiation of the head and the rump between 83 and 85 days of gestation. The first signs of trunk development were seen around days 90–98, when the nose was triangular, defined by two hyperchoic white lines (figure 2a). A beak-like trunk was also observed in elephant specimens EF2–4 (calculated 81–82 days of gestation; figure 3b). All three of these specimens had a cervical flexure of about 90° at the level of the hindbrain, and the hind-, mid- and forebrains were easily distinguishable. The neural tube was not yet completely fused anteriorly. Pigment was visible surrounding the developing lens. The ears were small and caudally placed in the neck region. The fore- and hind limbs were flexed at the carpals and the tarsals, respectively.

The development of the placenta was first visible ultrasonographically by an endometrial reaction indicated by the tissue layers of higher echodensity on day 80. In cross-section, placental tissue protruded in the chorioallantoic cavity beginning on day 85 of gestation. Paired allantoic vessels, consisting of a vein and an artery, supplied the placenta. The characteristic blood vessels could be seen with colour Doppler flow. From day 126 of gestation onwards, mushroom-like structures, the so-called allantoic pustules, could be depicted by ultrasound on the foetal side of the allantoic membranes (figure 2h).

A distinct dilatation of the umbilical cord could be seen from day 95 of gestation (figure 2d). This transient phenomenon is well known in human obstetrics and is referred to as physiological midgut herniation, marking a period where the rapidly growing liver occupies most of the abdomen, so that parts of the elongated midgut protrude into the umbilical cord. With increasing growth and lengthening of the foetus, the midgut retreats back into the abdomen and the herniation vanishes. By ultrasound, the physiological midgut herniation could be observed until day 120 of gestation, and it was also observed in EF7 (103 days of calculated gestation), where a bulge of intestinal loops could be identified within the umbilical cord (figure 3f).

By 92–100 days of gestation, the bodies had straightened and lengthened, and the degree of flexure of the heads was reduced in the fixed foetuses EF5 and 6 (figure 3c,d). The heads comprised almost half of the total body length. The superficial vascular plexus was visible on the scalp of EF9 (figure 3c). The toes were distinguishable on all of the limbs. The base of the tails was more defined than in the younger foetuses. Anteriorly, the neural tube had not yet closed (figure 3e).

In EF7 (103 days of gestation), wrist and elbow joints in the forelimbs, and ankle and knee joints in the hind limbs, had formed. The erect head merged into a distinct neck and now comprised less than 50% of the foetal body length. Eyelids had formed over the eyes. Fusion of the neural tube was not yet completed anteriorly. The gut was herniated at the umbilicus.

From day 106 of gestation, clear sonoluscent brain structures with the surrounding ventricles could be depicted by ultrasound (figure 2e). With increasing growth, other internal structures, such as the borderline between lung and liver, the kidneys and the gastric vesicle, could also be visualized. Foetal blood circulation was depicted by colour Doppler flow (figure 2f).

At 119–120 days of gestation (EF9 and 10), the head now comprised approximately 40% of the total body length. The tip of the trunk of EF9 had two finger-like projections, ventral and dorsal, characteristic of the adult African elephant, but these were not observed in the trunk of EF10. The neural tube had fused completely.

Between 150 and 156 days of gestation (EF11–15; figure 3g), all foetuses were very similar in appearance with only 1.25 cm separating them in CRL. This stage of development is characterized by a rapid growth and weight gain. The legs of the foetuses appeared much longer than in the earlier foetuses. There were two finger-like projections, ventral and dorsal, at the tips of all the trunks. The muscles of the hindquarters outlined the rump. The foetuses were lean, with the exception of EF15. EF15 weighed much more than foetuses EF11–14, but only differed slightly in CRL.
The second largest of the fixed foetuses, EF16 (calculated 167 days of gestation), had large ears extending back over the neck (figure 3). On both sides of the head, rounded masses of tissue beneath the skin were easily identified between the eye and the ear. These were thought to be the temporal glands. The trunk had furrows at its base and the tip of the trunk had dorsal and ventral finger-like processes. The chin was still identifiable.

Figure 2. Sonographic milestones. (a) Embryonic vesicle (Ev) at day 50. (b) An early embryo at day 74 of gestation. (c) Three-dimensional reconstruction of an embryo at 97 days of gestation with its yolk sac (Ys). The eyes (Ey) and the beak-like trunk (Tr) are clearly depicted. The placenta (Pl) is well developed. (d) Three-dimensional reconstruction of an early foetus of 102 days of gestation showing the physiological midgut herniation (Mh). The right ear (Ea), the typical trunk (Tr) and one fore limb (Fl) are easy to recognize. (e) At 108 days of gestation, the echogenic plexus choroides (Pc) already fills most of the lateral ventricle. The trunk (Tr) is positioned between the fore limbs. (f) Characterization of foetal circulation at day 126 of gestation. The foetal heart (He), arteria vertebralis (Av) and umbilical vessels (Uv) are outlined by colour Doppler flow. (g) Lateral position of the foetus at 133 days of gestation. (h) In the later stages of pregnancy, here at day 303 of gestation, the allantoic pustules (AP) protrude into the allantoic cavity. Scale bars, 1 cm.
from the lower lip, a feature not seen in adult elephants. The small invaginations of the mammary primordia were between the front legs. The soles of the feet were rounded, and there were three nails and one forming on each of the forefeet and three nails on each of the hind feet. There were no body or tail hairs.

After 240 days of gestation, foetuses could no longer be visualized by transrectal ultrasound. However, transcutaneous ultrasound was possible in the middle of the second third of pregnancy. Foetal hair, heartbeat, rib cage and skull could be seen, as well as the free allantoic membranes and the allantoic pustules (figure 2h).

Figure 3. African savannah elephant embryo and foetuses. (a) EF1 (60 days of gestation). a, amnion; ba, branchial arches; fl, fore limb; h, heart; oe, optic evagination; s, somites; ta, tail; t, trunk. Scale bar, 0.25 cm. (b) EF4 (81 days of gestation). (c) EF5 (92 days of gestation). (d) EF6 (97 days of gestation). (e) Dorsal aspect of EF6 (100 days of gestation) showing the extent of neural tube development. (f) EF7 (103 days of gestation). (g) EF11 (150 days of gestation). (h) EF16 (167 days of gestation). Scale bars, 1 cm. Panel (a) from Gaeth et al. (1999).
4. DISCUSSION
The present study describes the first longitudinal monitoring of known-age Asian and African elephant foetuses by ultrasound. This is also the first accurate description of the ontogenetic development of a series of elephant foetuses in the first third of pregnancy. Foetal growth was monitored by relating ultrasound measurements such as CRL, BPD, THD and FL to a known foetal age. The fact that these biometric parameters were always closely correlated with foetal age confirms the accuracy of the timing of conception. The date of ovulation was determined by the disappearance of the previously observed Graafian follicle in AI programmes or by the detection of a second LH surge in daily blood samples associated with mating. Owing to individual variability in gestation lengths (± 46 days in the Asian and ± 16 days in the African elephant; Meyer et al. 2004), the time of ovulation cannot be accurately inferred from the date of birth. Observations of mating in captivity without reference to hormonal profiles are also an unreliable method of timing gestation (Eisenberg et al. 1971). All our observations are critically dependent on knowing the precise timing of the day of ovulation.

New formulae for foetal age determination have been developed based on ultrasound measurements of CRL, BPD, THD and FL. Developmental milestones as seen by ultrasound were correlated with development directly observed in fixed specimens. Pregnancy could be confirmed by ultrasound after about 50 days of gestation, when the blastocyst began to distend the uterine lumen. The embryo itself was not detected until day 62 of gestation. Between days 74 and 85, foetal membranes, presumed to be the yolk sac and the allantois, respectively, became sonographically visible. One embryo in Amoroso & Perry’s (1964) study had a discernable yolk sac, similar to those seen in our ultrasound images. Using our formulae, we can now say that the 2 g (4 cm CRL) embryo of Amoroso & Perry was approximately 103 days old, not one to two months they estimated, but the yolk sac was already regressing. Amoroso & Perry (1964) report that in their 10 g (10 cm CRL) foetus, the yolk sac was no longer visible and the extra-embryonic coelom was obliterated by the fusion of the allantois with the chorion. Comparing this 10 cm CRL foetus with the specimens of our study, we believe that there was a typographical error and that the 10 cm CRL foetus actually weighed 100 g. According to our formula (3.4), which is based on the CRL, the age of a 10 cm CRL foetus would be 143 days and according to our formula (3.7), which is based on mass, the 10 g foetus would be 108 days old and a 100 g foetus would be 145 days old. Furthermore, with ultrasound, the yolk sac is still visible at 108 days, but they report that the yolk sac had disappeared, thus their 10 g foetus must actually weigh 100 g.

With advancing gestation, the head and rump of the embryo became more pronounced (83 days of gestation), shortly followed by the development of fore- and hind limbs, ears and the characteristic elephant trunk (days 86–90 of gestation), whose early appearance suggests that it is a phylogenetically ancient trait of the elephant. Between days 95 and 120, a dilatation of the umbilical cord close to the abdominal wall was observed by ultrasound. This physiological mid gut herniation is similar to that seen in developing human foetuses (Warren et al. 1989). It was also detected in two of the fixed elephant specimens (EF7, 103 days of gestation, and WB2, 121 days of gestation). On days 82–100 of gestation, a lengthening of the body and the fragmentation of the brain in fore-, mid- and hindbrains were apparent in EF2–6. In EF7, the eyelids had formed and by 119–120 days (EF9 and 10), the body flexure had further reduced. The neural tube had fused completely. If the key criteria from human embryology are used, it appears that embryogenesis in the elephant is completed by 100–120 days of gestation. Subsequent development was characterized by rapid foetal growth and further differentiation of the organs. Not surprisingly, fine morphological details were noted earlier in the fixed specimens of equivalent ages than in the foetuses assessed by ultrasound.

There was a significant difference between our direct measurements of foetal age and the previous mathematical estimates. The Huggett & Widdas (1951) formula underestimated foetal age, whereas both of Craig’s (1984) formulae overestimated foetal age. Although the slope of all three graphs is very similar, the starting point differs. The Huggett/Widdas and Craig formulae are dependent on a hypothetical intercept with the time axis, which does not reflect actual foetal growth. With our newly developed formulae (3.1)–(3.7), gestational age can be accurately determined for at least 202 ± 9.7 days. We do not know the rate at which foetal growth takes place later in gestation. However, it is known from other mammalian species that growth curves based on the CRL are S-shaped (Evans & Sack 1973). Therefore, we assume that CRL increases at a much slower rate, while foetal mass increases more rapidly in the last third of pregnancy.

From the observations in EF3 and 4, we know that foetuses with similar masses can differ in relation to crown–rump, head, tail and trunk lengths, although some of these differences may be due to fixation artefacts. Mass is not an ideal parameter for determining foetal age, as mass cannot be determined in living foetuses. Therefore, the ultrasound approach of measuring CRL makes elephant foetal aging possible. Thus, the new formulae (3.1)–(3.7) combined with ultrasonography provide the first reliable criteria for recording gestational age and ontogeny of the elephant foetus.
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