CD4$^+$ T cells do not mediate within-host competition between genetically diverse malaria parasites
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Ecological interactions between microparasite populations in the same host are an important source of selection on pathogen traits such as virulence and drug resistance. In the rodent malaria model Plasmodium chabaudi in laboratory mice, parasites that are more virulent can competitively suppress less virulent parasites in mixed infections. There is evidence that some of this suppression is due to immune-mediated apparent competition, where an immune response elicited by one parasite population suppresses the population density of another. This raises the question whether enhanced immunity following vaccination would intensify competitive interactions, thus strengthening selection for virulence in Plasmodium populations. Using the P. chabaudi model, we studied mixed infections of virulent and avirulent genotypes in CD4$^+$ T cell-depleted mice. Enhanced efficacy of CD4$^+$ T cell-dependent responses is the aim of several candidate malaria vaccines. We hypothesized that if immune-mediated interactions were involved in competition, removal of the CD4$^+$ T cells would alleviate competitive suppression of the avirulent parasite. Instead, we found no alleviation of competition in the acute phase, and significant enhancement of competitive suppression after parasite densities had peaked. Thus, the host immune response may actually be alleviating other forms of competition, such as that over red blood cells. Our results suggest that the CD4$^+$-dependent immune response, and mechanisms that act to enhance it such as vaccination, may not have the undesirable affect of exacerbating within-host competition and hence the strength of this source of selection for virulence.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Parasitic infections are often genetically diverse, with hosts concurrently infected by more than one genotype. Crowding, where pathogen populations within a host are suppressed by the presence of competitor strains, could affect the health and infectiousness of individual hosts as well as the evolution of medically relevant traits such as virulence and drug resistance (Read & Taylor 2001). For example, selection for increased virulence is expected when a slower growing parasite is outcompeted by a faster growing, more virulent parasite (Bremermann & Pickering 1983; van Baalen & Sabelis 1995; Frank 1996; Gandon et al. 2001; Alder & Losada 2002). Similarly, the relative fitness of drug-resistant strains, and hence their rate of spread in a population, could be substantially enhanced when co-infecting drug-sensitive competitors are removed by chemotherapy (e.g. Hastings 1997, 2003, 2006; Mackinnon & Hastings 1998; Hastings & D’Alessandro 2000; Mackinnon 2005). Analogous evolutionary processes could affect the rate of evolution of epitope variants in response to strain-specific vaccination (Lipsitch & Samore 2002; Read & Mackinnon 2008).

Infections with the human malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum frequently consist of more than one genotype (Anderson et al. 2000; Awadalla et al. 2001; Jafari et al. 2004; Walliker et al. 2005), and a variety of epidemiological evidence is consistent with crowding (Daubersies et al. 1996; Mercereau-Puijalon 1996; Arnott 1998; Smith et al. 1999; Bruce et al. 2000; Hastings 2003; Talisuna et al. 2006). In the rodent malaria model Plasmodium chabaudi in laboratory mice, there is a strong relationship between parasite virulence and crowding such that more virulent strains have a competitive advantage (de Roode et al. 2003, 2005a,b; Bell et al. 2006).

A number of biological mechanisms may underlie competition between strains within hosts (Read & Taylor 2001). One of these is immune-mediated apparent competition (Holt 1977), where increasing densities of one pathogen population elicits a host response that suppresses the population of another. T cell-dependent immune-mediated competition has been demonstrated in P. chabaudi (Råberg et al. 2006): in nude mice, which cannot produce mature T cells, competition was less severe than in nude mice reconstituted with T cells. Because many malaria vaccines currently under trial are aimed at inducing T cell-dependent responses, that experiment raised the question of whether vaccination...
might exacerbate in-host competition and thus affect pathogen evolution, for instance by strengthening selection for competitive ability and hence virulence.

The effects of immunity on in-host competition are unlikely to be simple. The immune response to *Plasmodium* infection has both pathogen genotype-transcending (non-specific) and genotype-specific components. Protection is generally thought to become more specific during later stages of infection (Jarra & Brown 1989; Buckley & Read 2001; Mackinnon & Read 2003; Stevenson & Riley 2004; Martinelli et al. 2005; Chessman et al. 2006). Thus, in contrast to non-specific immunity that could generate immune-mediated apparent competition, specific immunity could, in principle, act to alleviate competition (Råberg et al. 2006). Here, we extend the study by Råberg et al. (2006) by focusing on a specific subset of T cells, in order to further investigate the importance of immunity in determining competitive outcomes within hosts.

T cells can be divided into two major categories, CD4+ and CD8+ cells. It is well established from both experimental animal models and field studies in humans that the CD4+ T cells play a pivotal role in the development of blood stage immunity to *Plasmodium* infection (Good & Doolan 1999; Pombo et al. 2002). They are initially required to produce cytokines that amplify the phagocytic and parasitocidal response of the innate immune response and later on to dampen this response to limit immunopathology. As the response becomes more adaptive they are required to help B cells produce antibodies that are essential for parasite clearance (Urban et al. 2005; Stephens & Langhorne 2006).

Since the CD4+ T cells have been described as having such a crucial role in natural immunity to the blood stage of infection, and vaccine programmes strive to mimic and enhance this response (e.g. Stephens & Langhorne 2006), we have begun to investigate the specific role of these cells during competition in mixed infections of *P. chabaudi*. Specifically we looked at the acute phase of infection, during competition in mixed infections (rather than the same total dose in single and mixed infections) because the aim of the study was to compare the performance of a genotype when it is on its own, with its performance when it is in a mixed infection. A twofold difference in infective dose has negligible effects on the population dynamics of the parasite (Timms et al. 2001). In addition, we included two extra control groups, each of two mice that were not challenged with malaria, one group treated with anti-CD4 antibodies and another group with control antibodies. These mice were used to check whether CD4+ T cell depletion was continuous throughout the experiment, as the number of peripheral T cells was lower than normal during the acute stage of disease (Hviid et al. 1997).

During the course of infection, we measured body weights and took blood samples from the tail to make Giemsa-stained blood smears and to estimate RBC density (by flow cytometry; Beckman Coulter) and for genotype-specific real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) assays.

One mouse died during the experiment (CD4+ T cell-depleted, mixed infection) and was included in the analyses only where possible. For unknown reasons, two infections

### 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### (a) Parasites and hosts

Isolates of *P. chabaudi* were originally collected from *Thamnomys rutilans* in the Central African Republic (Beale et al. 1978). These isolates have been genotyped and are stored as frozen stabilates in liquid nitrogen with subscript codes used to identify their position in the clonal history (Mackinnon & Read 1999). Two genotypes, AS12062 and DK108, were chosen based on their relative virulence and non-lethality. Pilot studies showed that clone DK achieved higher parasite densities when clone AS was absent than when AS was present. In contrast, clone AS was not competitively suppressed by DK. Hosts were inbred female C57BL/6JolaHsd mice aged six to eight weeks (Harlan England) maintained as described previously (de Roode et al. 2004).

#### (b) Depletion of CD4+ T lymphocytes in vivo

A rat monoclonal antibody, GK1.5, was used to deplete the CD4+ T cells. A non-depleting rat monoclonal antibody of the same isotype (IgG 14131, Sigma) was used as a control. Experimental mice were injected intraperitoneally with 500 µg of the appropriate purified antibody in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) 5 days before parasite challenge, and then with 250 µg antibody 4 days and 1 day before parasite challenge and weekly after challenge.

A fluorescence-activated cell sorter (FACS) was used to confirm CD4+ T cell depletion. From the tail snip, 20 µl of blood was taken 1 day prior to injection with the appropriate antibodies. Single cell suspensions were made by removing red blood cells using Lympholyte according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Cedarlane, Canada). Approximately 1 X 10⁶ cells were then transferred to a round-bottomed plate and resuspended in FACS buffer (PBS with 2% FCS with 0.05% sodium azide) before incubation for 20 min at 4°C with Allophycocyanin (APC)-labelled anti-CD4+ antibody (Pharmingen). The cells were washed three times in FACS buffer. Samples were collected on a FACS Calibre and 10 000 live events were collected for the majority of samples. FlowJo (TreeStar, CA) was used to analyse the data.

#### (c) Experimental setup and sampling

Groups of five mice were treated with: (i) control antibodies and challenged with 10⁶ AS parasites, (ii) control antibodies and challenged with 10⁶ DK parasites, (iii) control antibodies and challenged with 10⁶ AS and 10⁶ DK, (iv) anti-CD4 antibodies and challenged with 10⁶ AS, (v) anti-CD4 antibodies and challenged with 10⁶ DK, and (vi) anti-CD4 antibodies and challenged with 10⁶ AS and 10⁶ DK.

Parasites were delivered by intraperitoneal injection. We used the same dose of each genotype in single and mixed infections (rather than the same total dose in single and mixed infections) because the aim of the study was to compare the performance of a genotype when it is on its own, with its performance when it is in a mixed infection. A twofold difference in infective dose has negligible effects on the population dynamics of the parasite (Timms et al. 2001). In addition, we included two extra control groups, each of two mice that were not challenged with malaria, one group treated with anti-CD4 antibodies and another group with control antibodies. These mice were used to check whether CD4+ T cell depletion was continuous throughout the experiment, as the number of peripheral T cells was lower than normal during the acute stage of disease (Hviid et al. 1997).

During the course of infection, we measured body weights and took blood samples from the tail to make Giemsa-stained blood smears and to estimate RBC density (by flow cytometry; Beckman Coulter) and for genotype-specific real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) assays.

One mouse died during the experiment (CD4+ T cell-depleted, mixed infection) and was included in the analyses only where possible. For unknown reasons, two infections

performed as described previously (Bell et al. 2006). From each mouse, 5 µl of tail blood was taken and added to 100 µl of citrate saline on ice. Samples were subsequently pelleted by centrifugation and the citrate saline was removed. Blood was stored at −80°C until required. DNA extraction was performed using the BloodPrep kit (Applied Biosystems) on the ABI PRISM 6100 Nucleic Acid prep-station according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA was eluted in a total volume of 200 µl and stored at −80°C until quantification. Genotype-specific qPCR was performed as described previously (Bell et al. 2006) with the addition of the DK-specific reverse primer: 5’-AGG CAT GTT TTG CAC ACA ATG A-3’.

The effects of competition and CD4+ depletion on the performance of individual clone and red blood cell density were first examined by using general linear models (GLM) in the statistical package MINITAB (release 14, Minitab, Inc.). For GLM analysis, response variables included mean total parasite density and mean RBC density, with initial RBC as a covariate. Explanatory variables for GLM included CD4+ depletion (depleted or intact control) and competition (clone alone or in mixed infection). Maximal models (response variable = CD4+ depletion + competition + all higher order interactions) were tested in the first instance, and minimal models were obtained by dropping non-significant terms successively, beginning with highest order interactions, to obtain the significant minimal model. Second, we used repeated-measures analyses that take into account the importance of day post-infection. These analyses were performed as described by Råberg et al. (2006) using the statistical package SAS v. 9.1 (SAS Institute 1999, SAS OnlineDoc. v. 8. SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Briefly, the analyses were performed with PROC MIXED, using the REPEATED statement (subject=mouse), the Satterthwaite approximation of the denominator degrees of freedom, and the autoregressive covariance structure AR(1). Within each treatment group, the peak day varied ±2 days, presumably as a result of slight differences in inoculation dose. To control for this variation, we centred the peak day at the median peak day within each treatment group. All density data were transformed using [log(density + 10)].

3. RESULTS

Mice treated with the anti-CD4+ T cell antibody were successfully depleted of CD4+ T cells, both prior to parasite challenge and during the whole course of the experiment (figure 1). The CD4+ T cell depletion resulted in more parasites of both clones (figure 2a,b; table 1).

(a) Clone DK

As found with other pairs of clones (de Roode et al. 2005a,b; Bell et al. 2006), here we found that the relatively
Figure 2. Parasite densities through time of (a) clone DK and (b) clone AS, and (c–e) average densities of DK during the periods denoted by the vertical dashed lines. Asexual density from qPCR of CD4⁺T cell-depleted mice and intact control (normal) mice with single infections in CD4⁺T cell-depleted mice and mixed infections in intact control (normal) mice. Mean densities (± 1 s.e.m.) were calculated from all mice that were alive on the respective day of sampling (up to five). The interaction plots (c–e) show the total numbers of DK parasites when the competitor clone AS is absent (single infection) or present (mixed infection) in CD4⁺T-depleted mice (dashed lines) and normal animals (solid lines). Competition × depletion interactions: (c) $F_{1,16} = 1, \ p = 0.33$; (d) $F_{1,17} = 5.11, \ p = 0.037$; and (e) $F_{1,14} = 4.57, \ p = 0.0499$ (open squares, CD4⁺T-depleted single; open triangles, intact single; filled squares, CD4⁺T-depleted mixed; filled triangles, intact mixed).

Table 1. GLM analyses of the effects of CD4⁺T cell depletion, competition (presence/absence of co-infecting clone) and their interaction on the total number of parasites during the first two weeks of infection for parasite clone DK and AS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DK days 2–14</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>AS days 2–14</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d.f.</td>
<td>$F$</td>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>d.f.</td>
<td>$F$</td>
<td>$p$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4⁺ depletion</td>
<td>1,15</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1,15</td>
<td>93.36</td>
<td>&lt;0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>competition</td>
<td>1,15</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1,15</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4⁺ depletion ×</td>
<td>1,15</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1,15</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
avirulent clone was competitively suppressed by the more virulent clone, with DK achieving lower parasite densities when AS was present than when it was absent (figure 2a; table 1). However, the extent of competitive suppression of clone DK was similar regardless of CD4\(^+\) T cell depletion (table 1; depletion \times competition interaction, n.s.). Thus, there was no evidence that the competitive suppression of the total number of DK parasites present in an infection was mediated by CD4\(^+\) T cell-mediated immunity.

However, repeated-measures analysis of the period where CD4\(^+\) T cell depletion affected parasite densities (day 6 onwards) showed a weak but significant three-way depletion \times competition \times day interaction (table 2). To investigate this further, and following Råberg et al. (2006), we divided the data into three parts, days 6–8, 9–11 and 12–14, and repeated the analyses with each of these (figure 2d,e; table 3). During each of these time periods, there were significant depletion \times competition or depletion \times competition \times day interactions. Inspection of figure 2d,e shows that the three-way interaction in the first period is a very weak effect from which it is difficult to conclude much, given the rapid alterations in infection kinetics during that period caused by depletion. In the other two periods, there are significant competition \times depletion interactions (figures 2d,e; table 3), with more severe competitive suppression in CD4\(^+\) T cell-depleted mice than in control mice. Thus, there was no evidence that competitive suppression is CD4\(^+\) T cell-mediated: once the initial wave of parasitaemia began to subside, competitive suppression was exacerbated rather than alleviated in CD4\(^+\)-depleted mice.

(b) Clone AS

There was no evidence of competitive suppression of AS by DK, irrespective of the immune treatment (figure 2b; table 1). Repeated-measures analysis from day 6 onwards, when CD4\(^+\) depletion had an effect, revealed no evidence of interactions between depletion and competition (table 2). However, for comparison with the analysis of

Table 2. Repeated-measures analyses of the effects of CD4\(^+\) T cell depletion, competition (presence/absence of co-infecting clone) and day post-infection on the daily parasite densities of the two parasite clones DK and AS for days 6–14 post-infection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>effect</th>
<th>DK days 6–14</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>AS days 6–14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD4(^+) depletion</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,16</td>
<td>74.52</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>1,17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>competition</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,16</td>
<td>17.92</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>1,17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>day</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,101</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>8,108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4(^+) depletion \times competition</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,16</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1,17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4(^+) depletion \times day</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,101</td>
<td>22.35</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>8,108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>competition \times day</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,101</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>8,108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4(^+) depletion \times competition \times day</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,101</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>8,108</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Repeated-measures analyses of the effects of CD4\(^+\) T cell depletion, competition (presence/absence of co-infecting clone) and day post-infection on the daily parasite density of clone DK for days 6–8, 9–11 and 12–14 post-infection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>effect</th>
<th>days 6–8</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>days 9–11</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>days 12–14</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD4(^+) depletion</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,16</td>
<td>12.61</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td>1,17</td>
<td>54.79</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>1,14</td>
<td>105.95</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>competition</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,16</td>
<td>63.21</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>1,17</td>
<td>47.53</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>1,14</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>day</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,29</td>
<td>15.32</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>2,30</td>
<td>39.12</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>2,28</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4(^+) depletion \times competition</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1,17</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>1,14</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4(^+) depletion \times day</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,29</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>2,30</td>
<td>11.87</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>2,28</td>
<td>23.78</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>competition \times day</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,29</td>
<td>12.34</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>2,30</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>2,28</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4(^+) depletion \times competition \times day</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,29</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>2,30</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>2,28</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Repeated-measures analyses of the effects of CD4\(^+\) T cell depletion, competition (presence/absence of co-infecting clone) and day post-infection on the daily parasite density of clone AS for days 6–8, 9–11 and 12–14 post-infection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>effect</th>
<th>days 6–8</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>days 9–11</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>days 12–14</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD4(^+) depletion</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,14</td>
<td>17.58</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>1,15</td>
<td>31.83</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>1,14</td>
<td>58.71</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>competition</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,14</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1,15</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1,14</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>day</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,28</td>
<td>39.82</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>2,29</td>
<td>89.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>2,27</td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4(^+) depletion \times competition</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,14</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1,15</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1,14</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4(^+) depletion \times day</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,28</td>
<td>12.17</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>2,29</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>2,27</td>
<td>15.34</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>competition \times day</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,28</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2,29</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>2,27</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4(^+) depletion \times competition \times day</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,28</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>2,29</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>2,27</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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clone DK, we repeated the same analyses for AS on days 6–8, 9–11 and 12–14 (table 4). In none of these time periods was there any evidence of competitive suppression (in all cases, competition main effect and depletion × competition, \( p > 0.15 \)).

(c) Red blood cells
Red blood cell density over time for the different treatment groups are shown in figure 3. Uninfected red blood cells form an important resource for malaria parasites. To assess whether the potential for competition over this resource differed between CD4\(^+\)T-depleted and intact control mice, we compared the red blood cell densities in mice with mixed infections. Repeated-measures analysis of days 6–14 revealed that CD4\(^+\)T-depleted mice had significantly lower red blood cell densities during this time period (\( F_{1,34.7} = 4.35, p = 0.045 \); figure 3). There was also a significant depletion × day interaction (\( F_{8,94.1} = 2.58, p = 0.014 \)). Separate analyses of days 6–8, 9–11 and 12–14 showed that the difference in RBC density was most pronounced during days 9–14 (table 5).

4. DISCUSSION
We found no evidence that the CD4\(^+\)T cells enhanced competition during mixed genotype infections with \( P. \) chabaudi (figure 2a; table 1). Specifically, during the peak stages of acute infection (days 6–8) suppression was independent of the CD4\(^+\)T cells (figure 2c; table 3). After the peak of infection (day 9+), the CD4\(^+\)T cells acted to alleviate competition such that upon their removal competitive suppression was enhanced (figure 2d,e; table 3). In addition, the presence of CD4\(^+\)T cells did not cause suppression of the dominant genotype (figure 2b; table 4).

The immune response to \( P. \) falciparum infection has both pathogen genotype-transcending (non-specific) and genotype-specific components, with protection becoming more specific during later stages of infection (see §1). Here, we found that after the peak of acute infection (day 9 onwards), there was no competitive suppression of DK parasites in intact control mice; whereas in CD4\(^+\)T-depleted mice, there was still evidence of competition (figure 2d,e; table 3). Both clones did better in depleted mice, probably owing to an impaired early antibody production through lack of T cell help and possibly the reduced recruitment and activation of macrophages for the uptake of infected cells. Thus, in normal hosts, a largely clone-specific adaptive immune response towards a numerically dominant genotype may act to alleviate competition by regulating clonal populations and limiting other forms of competition, e.g. competition for red blood cells.
During the peak of infection (days 6–8), competition was CD4⁺ T cell independent so that the extent of competitive suppression of clone DK was similar in intact control and CD4⁺ T cell-depleted mice (figure 2a,c; table 3). A number of biological mechanisms could be the proximate cause of competitive suppression during the peak of infection. First, there may be direct interference between two infecting strains. This has not yet been demonstrated in any parasites, but pathogenic bacteria can produce allelopathic substances that actively suppress competitors (Riley & Gordon 1999), and competing viruses can produce interference molecules (Hart & Cloyd 1990). Second, the competition may be influenced by non-specific components of the innate immune response (CD4⁺ T cell independent). Third, there may be competition for resources as genotypes infecting mice simultaneously must divide the available red blood cells and other resources such as blood glucose between them (Hellriegel 1992; Hetzel & Anderson 1996; de Roode et al. 2005a; Gurarie et al. 2006). The CD4⁺ T cell-depleted mice were more anaemic than control mice (figure 3; table 5), so that if red cells are limiting, there is more potential for competition for that resource in depleted mice. Mathematical models have suggested that during mixed infection, the proximate cause of competitive advantage may be attributable to an earlier and wider red blood cell preference of dominant genotypes (Hellriegel 1992; Gravenor et al. 1995; McKenzie & Bossert 1997; Jakeman et al. 1999; Mason & McKenzie 1999; McQueen et al. 2004; Antia et al. submitted). Because these predictions are based on the data from the rodent malaria model, they could be tested directly by transferring red blood cells of different ages into a single mouse and determine their loss following infection, or indirectly by measuring competition in untreated mice and mice treated with erythropoietin (Suzuki et al. 2006).

Our conclusion that competition is not CD4⁺ T cell mediated apparently contradicts the recent finding of T cell-mediated apparent competition (Råberg et al. 2006). In that study, the authors looked at mixed infections with P. chabaudi in nude mice (which lack the ability to produce mature T cells) and compared the extent of competition with that in nude mice reconstituted with T cells. There was still pronounced competition in all animals, but there was some alleviation of competitive suppression in nude mice towards the end of the acute phase of infection, when the initial wave of parasitaemia was waning. This period corresponds roughly to days 9–14 in figure 2. A number of experimental differences could explain the contrasting results of Råberg et al. (2006) and the present study. First, different mouse strains were used in the two studies and host genotype has previously been shown to quantitatively affect the outcome of competition (de Roode et al. 2004). Second, different pairs of clones were used and P. chabaudi clone can induce different levels of strain-specific immunity (Cheesman et al. 2006). Third, there was a difference in the method used to modulate T cell-dependent immunity. Nude mice lack the ability to produce any mature T cells, including both CD4⁺ and CD8⁻ T cells. The role of CD8⁻ T cells during malaria infection in mice is still unclear (Lamb et al. 2006), but it could be that they are involved in the relatively small component of competition that was shown to be immune-mediated competition in reconstituted nude mice (Råberg et al. 2006). In addition, the repertoire of serum antibodies (including both natural antibodies and antigen elicited antibodies) in the CD4⁺ T cell-depleted mice will be different from that in nude mice. Nude mice grow up producing only T cell-independent antibodies, while in the CD4⁺ T cell-depleted mice there will be both T cell-independent and persisting T cell-dependent antibodies (produced by existing plasma cells in the bone marrow) and these may cross react with the parasite.

Taken together, the present study and that of Råberg et al. (2006) show that the effect of T cell-dependent immunity on competition is relatively weak, and may be either positive or negative depending on specific details of host and parasite. Rather than further dissection of any immune mechanism-mediating competition, one could use this malaria model system to look at the strength of competition in hosts immunized by a variety of different candidate vaccines towards the blood stage of infection. Meanwhile, the result we report here suggest that vaccines that enhance CD4⁺-dependent immunity will not increase the selection in favour of virulence arising from in-host competition.
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