The ocean is not deep enough: pressure tolerances during early ontogeny of the blue mussel *Mytilus edulis*

Nélia C. Mestre, Sven Thatje* and Paul A. Tyler

School of Ocean and Earth Science, National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, University of Southampton, European Way, Southampton SO14 3ZH, UK

Early ontogenetic adaptations reflect the evolutionary history of a species. To understand the evolution of the deep-sea fauna and its adaptation to high pressure, it is important to know the effects of pressure on their shallow-water relatives. In this study we analyse the temperature and pressure tolerances of early life-history stages of the shallow-water species *Mytilus edulis*. This species expresses a close phylogenetic relationship with hydrothermal-vent mussels of the subfamily Bathymodiolinae. Tolerances to pressure and temperature are defined in terms of fertilization success and embryo developmental rates in laboratory-based experiments. In *M. edulis*, successful fertilization under pressure is possible up to 500 atm (50.66 MPa), at 10, 15 and 20°C. A slower embryonic development is observed with decreasing temperature and with increasing pressure; principally, pressure narrows the physiological tolerance window in different ontogenetic stages of *M. edulis*, and slows down metabolism. This study provides important clues on possible evolutionary pathways of hydrothermal vent and cold-seep bivalve species and their shallow-water relatives. Evolution and speciation patterns of species derive mostly from their ability to adapt to variable environmental conditions, within environmental constraints, which promote morphological and genetic variability, often differently for each life-history stage. The present results support the view that a direct colonization of deep-water hydrothermal vent environments by a cold eurythermal shallow-water ancestor is indeed a possible scenario for the Mytilinae, challenging previous hypothesis of a wood/bone to seep/vent colonization pathway.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Bacterial and higher animal life has been found in the deepest parts of the ocean, including the Challenger Deep in the Marianas Trough, where pressure is near 1100 atm. Hypotheses on the origins of the deep-sea fauna say that extant deep-sea organisms dispersed for long distances through isothermal water masses in the past glacial periods (Tyler *et al.* 2000; Thatje *et al.* 2005). Therefore, today's faunal exchange between deep-sea and shallow-water animals could occur via cold-adapted species living at high latitudes, where the water column is isothermal (Kussakin 1973; Menzies *et al.* 1973; Hessler & Thistle 1975; Hessler & Wilson 1983; Tyler & Young 1998; Thatje *et al.* 2005). At lower latitudes, during the Mesozoic and early Cenozoic, the deep sea was warmer than at present, and could have allowed shallow-water species to invade deeper ocean habitats (Menzies *et al.* 1973; Benson 1975; Berger 1979; Schopf 1980; Hessler & Wilson 1983; Young *et al.* 1997). To further elucidate this hypothesis, increasing relevance has recently been given to studies on pressure and thermal tolerance of the early life stages of both shallow-water and deep-sea invertebrates, together with studies on past changes in deep-sea hydrography (Tyler *et al.* 2000; Tokuda *et al.* 2006; Pradillon & Gaill 2007).

In the marine environment, pressure is the single variable that has a continuous relationship with depth, increasing by approximately 1 atm (atmosphere; $10^5$ Pascals) per 10 m of water depth. Marine species' habitats are often also defined in relation to upper and lower depth limits and these limits are ultimately related to pressure tolerances of the organisms. Ideally, these habitat boundaries should be assessed for each life stage, as the depth tolerance range in each stage is different in some species (Anger 2001; Aquino-Souza *et al.* 2008). The early life stages of marine benthic invertebrates, for instance, are the most likely opportunities they have to disperse over long distances and to colonize new habitats (Tyler 1995; Young *et al.* 1996; Macdonald 1997; Tyler & Young 1998).

An ecological factor of great importance in defining the distribution of species is the temperature. The temperature tolerances for each life stage of a marine invertebrate can vary in a single species and affect the survival and the ability of a species to colonize new habitats. Temperature accelerates or delays the rates of metabolism and thus affects larval growth, development and survival as well as seasonal variation in the occurrence of larvae in the plankton (Anger 2001; for review see Clarke 2003). A stenothermal response is generally associated with species from the tropics or high latitudes, while those adapted to pronounced seasonal and regional temperature variations are typical of intermediate climatic zones and show a eurythermal response (Anger 2001, and references therein).
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In order to understand the evolution/adaptation of the deep-sea fauna in terms of its sensitivity to pressure, it is important to know how their biological structures and processes differ from their shallow-water relatives (Childress & Fisher 1992; Somero 1992). These questions motivated scientists to develop high-pressure equipment to study the effect of pressure on marine organisms (Quetin & Childress 1980; Young & Tyler 1993; Young et al. 1996; Shillito et al. 2001). Furthermore, pressure is a physical property affecting molecular interactions and in consequence all biological processes on the Earth, and is largely unaffected by other factors. According to Le Chatelier’s principle, if a chemical system at equilibrium experiences a change in concentration, temperature, volume or total pressure, the equilibrium will shift in order to counteract the imposed change (Somero 1992; Pradillon & Gaill 2007).

In biological systems the effect of pressure causes a compression of the system, conditioning physiological and biochemical processes involved in increasing or decreasing cell volume. A combination of the pressure effect with other physico-chemical factors affecting biological processes, e.g. temperature, pH and salinity, will intensify or reduce the effect of pressure alone. Pressure sensitivities of enzymes, structural proteins and membrane-based systems differ markedly between shallow-water and deep-sea species (Somero 1992; Pradillon & Gaill 2007).

The subfamily Mytilinae is the shallow-water closest taxonomic group to the hydrothermal vent and seep mussels of the subfamily Bathymodiolinae (Distel et al. 2000). Jones et al. (2006) revealed that vent species evolved multiple times, with moments of habitat reversals, but evidence is that there was a progressive evolution from shallow to deep habitats. Mid-ocean hydrothermal vent species may represent a monophyletic group with one noticeable reversal, and this is in agreement with previous hypotheses regarding evolution from wood/bone to seeps/vents (Jones et al. 2006).

The blue mussel *Mytilus edulis* Linné, 1758, is a widespread semi-sessile epibenthic bivalve found on rocky shores, shallow sublittoral zones and estuaries (Newell 1989). It is very common in northern Europe and parts of the Atlantic coast off Canada, but also colonizes temperate zones in the Southern Hemisphere (Gosling 1992). The upper vertical limit of *M. edulis* populations’ distribution on rocky shores is determined by its tolerance to temperature and desiccation (Seed & Suchanek 1992). This species is relatively tolerant to extreme cold and freezing and it can survive occasional short frost events, but may not be resistant to persistent very low temperatures (Bourget 1983). The lower depth limit of distribution of *M. edulis* is presumably mainly influenced by predation (Seed 1969). In addition, the burial and abrasion by shifting sands are also of relevant importance (Daly & Mathieson 1977; Holt et al. 1998).

The maximum depth distribution registered for this species in the Baltic Sea is 40 m, and its depth limit distribution is associated with the presence/absence of a hard bottom substratum (Bubinas & Vaitonis 2003). Subtidal populations have been reported on seamounts, dock pilings and offshore oil platforms, where they grow to a larger size, probably owing to a lack of predators (Seed & Suchanek 1992). Uncommonly, it has been found in deeper and cooler waters (100–499 m, Theroux & Wigley 1983) owing to shallower lack of habitat and/or presence of hard substratum at greater depths. The genus *Mytilus* is also an important invasive species (Carlton 1999).

*M. edulis* is dioecious with rare occasions of hermaphroditism (Seed 1976; Micallef & Tyler 1988), and has a large number of small-sized eggs and a planktotrophic larva (Bayne 1976). Gametogenesis is synchronous and spawning occurs when eggs and sperm are fully ripe and are released through the exhalent siphon into the water column where fertilization takes place (Bayne 1976; Newell 1989). The embryonic development takes a few days and comprises cleavages, the formation of cilia, velum and shell gland—trophophore stage, up to the formation of the D-shaped shelled larvae, when it starts its planktotrophic phase (Bayne 1976). The depth distribution of larvae and juveniles of *M. edulis* is presumed to be the same as in adults (Newell 1989).

In the present study, the embryonic and larval development of *M. edulis*, along its entire physiological temperature and pressure tolerance window, is analysed, to our knowledge, for the first time. The physiological tolerances of the early life stages are examined, as they are of extreme importance in controlling the distribution, colonization pathways and to some extent the speciation within closely related species. The results are discussed in the frame of current theories on the evolution of the macrofauna in chemosynthetic environments.

### 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

(a) **Sampling and spawning**

Adult specimens of *M. edulis* were collected from Southampton Water (UK) and maintained at 15 ± 1.5°C in a running seawater system in the aquarium of the National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, where the experimental work took place in June 2007. Spawning was induced by heavily shaking all mussels in a bucket (mechanical shock; Costello et al. 1957; Sprung & Bayne 1984), followed by the injection of 1 ml of 0.55 M KCl into the mantle cavity of each mussel (salinity shock; Young & Tyler 1993). To obtain gametes, each mussel was placed in individual glass bowls and left ‘dry’ for 10 min, with an ambient temperature of approximately 20°C. The mussels were submerged with 5°C (temperature shock; Costello et al. 1957; Sprung & Bayne 1984) filtered seawater (1.6 μm retention) and spawning started after temperature inside the bowls exceeded 15°C.

(b) **Temperature effect on embryonic and larval development**

Three cultures of fertilized eggs were prepared by mixing freshly spawned male and female gametes in a 1 l beaker in filtered seawater (1.6 μm retention filter) at 15°C. Gametes from each male/female pair were used in each culture. Fertilized eggs were transferred into 20 ml glass vials and incubated at 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25°C, at atmospheric pressure. Cultures were sampled regularly (every 10 min in the first 4 hours after fertilization, and once per hour thereafter) and the time from fertilization and stage of development were noted, until the D-larvae stage was reached for all temperatures. The chosen stages of development were defined according to distinguishable morphological features between stages (table 1), observable under a compound microscope.
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The embryonic developmental stage, after 4 and 24 hour treatments, was assessed for pressures of 1, 100, 200 and 300 atm (plus 400 and 500 atm for 4 hour treatments only, as the 24 hour treatment performed first indicated that successful fertilization was likely at greater pressures) and at temperatures of 10, 15 and 20°C, with fertilization occurring under pressure. Gametes from three different males and three different females were used, so that three replicates (each from one male/female pair) were assigned to each pressure/temperature combination.

The following method was designed to prevent fertilization before pressurising eggs and sperm, and at the same time allowing them to mix under pressure: eggs from each female were collected from the glass bowls and re-suspended in ambient seawater, in a 1 l beaker, and then transferred into 6 ml plastic vials; 0.5 ml of diluted sperm suspension was pipetted into a 1 ml microcentrifuge tube (leaving 0.5 ml of air space); one microcentrifuge tube containing the sperm suspension was inserted into each plastic vial containing the egg suspension (figure 1a); the plastic vial was refilled with the egg suspension until it overflowed and the cap closed, avoiding any air being trapped inside; plastic vials were placed inside the pressure vessel (figure 1b) and filled with tap water at the test temperature; the pressurization to the desired pressure was continuous. When pressurising the vessels, it was possible to hear the microcentrifuge tubes imploding inside the plastic vials at approximately 50 atm, due to air left inside on purpose. In all treatments, pressurization was continuous and took at most 10 s until reaching the desired experimental pressure level.

For the atmospheric pressure cultures, fertilization occurred when eggs and sperm were mixed in a 1 l beaker and the solution was transferred into 6 ml plastic vials. Pressure chambers and 1 atm cultures were incubated at 10, 15 and 20°C for 4 and 24 hours. At the end of each trial, pressure vessels were depressurized and samples for the analysis of the developmental stage were quickly preserved in 3 per cent glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, and kept refrigerated at 4°C until used for scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Samples were subsequently rinsed with buffer, dehydrated and critical point dried. Specimens were then mounted on stubs and sputter-coated in gold. SEMs were taken using a Hitachi S800 SEM.

Figure 1. Schematic of the experimental pressure vessels: (a) plastic vial filled with the egg suspension and the microcentrifuge tube half-filled with sperm suspension; (b) pressure vessel showing the plastic vials inside (arrow indicates the connection valve to the hydraulic pump).

(d) Pressure effect on embryonic and larval development with fertilization at atmospheric pressure

For the treatments with fertilization occurring at atmospheric pressure, analysing at 50 hours of embryonic and larval development, three cultures of fertilized eggs were prepared by mixing freshly spawned male and female gametes in a 1 l beaker in filtered seawater (1.6 μm retention) at 15°C (ambient temperature). Gametes from each male/female pair were used in each culture. Fertilized eggs were transferred into 6 ml plastic vials, filled until overflowing and with the cap closed to avoid any air being trapped inside. Plastic vials were placed inside the pressure chambers, filled with tap water at the test temperature, and the pressurization

## Table 1. Classification of early ontogenetic stages in *M. edulis*, with reference to the main characteristics observed in each stage (changed after Zardus & Martel 2002).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Main Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Abnormal development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Unfertilized egg; no sign of polar body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Fertilized, uncleaved egg; showing polar body (and polar lobe formation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Two-cell stage; first cleavage and extrusion of polar body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Four-cell stage; one large D-cell and three smaller cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Eight-cell stage; showing spiral unequal cleavage of blastomeres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Multi-cell; unequal cleavage producing micromeres in the animal pole and macromeres in the vegetal pole; within the fertilization membrane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>Early blastula; released from the fertilization membrane; developing cilia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>Gastrula; with blastopore (developing gut); invagination of the shell field (developing shell); quadrants with cilia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>Early trochophore; developing apical sense organ (apical plate + apical tuft)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX</td>
<td>Late trochophore; with velum and organic pellicle of first shell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>D-larva; free-swimming straight hinge veliger with fully formed velum and prodissococonch I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) Pressure effect on embryonic and larval development with fertilization under pressure

The embryonic developmental stage, after 4 and 24 hour treatments, was assessed for pressures of 1, 100, 200 and 300 atm (plus 400 and 500 atm for 4 hour treatments only, as the 24 hour treatment performed first indicated that successful fertilization was likely at greater pressures) and at temperatures of 10, 15 and 20°C, with fertilization occurring under pressure. Gametes from three different males and three different females were used, so that three replicates (each from one male/female pair) were assigned to each pressure/temperature combination.

The following method was designed to prevent fertilization before pressurising eggs and sperm, and at the same time allowing them to mix under pressure: eggs from each female were collected from the glass bowls and re-suspended in ambient seawater, in a 1 l beaker, and then transferred into 6 ml plastic vials; 0.5 ml of diluted sperm suspension was pipetted into a 1 ml microcentrifuge tube (leaving 0.5 ml of air space); one microcentrifuge tube containing the sperm suspension was inserted into each plastic vial containing the egg suspension (figure 1a); the plastic vial was refilled with the egg suspension until it overflowed and the cap closed, avoiding any air being trapped inside; plastic vials were placed inside the pressure vessel (figure 1b) and filled with tap water at the test temperature; the pressurization to the desired pressure was continuous. When pressurising the vessels, it was possible to hear the microcentrifuge tubes imploding inside the plastic vials at approximately 50 atm, due to air left inside on purpose. In all treatments, pressurization was continuous and took at most 10 s until reaching the desired experimental pressure level.

For the atmospheric pressure cultures, fertilization occurred when eggs and sperm were mixed in a 1 l beaker and the solution was transferred into 6 ml plastic vials. Pressure chambers and 1 atm cultures were incubated at 10, 15 and 20°C for 4 and 24 hours. At the end of each trial, pressure vessels were depressurized and samples for the analysis of the developmental stage were quickly preserved in 3 per cent glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, and kept refrigerated at 4°C until used for scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Samples were subsequently rinsed with buffer, dehydrated and critical point dried. Specimens were then mounted on stubs and sputter-coated in gold. SEMs were taken using a Hitachi S800 SEM.
to the desired pressure was continuous. The fertilized eggs were incubated at 1, 100, 200 and 300 atm, and 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25°C. After 50 hours, pressure vessels were depressurized and all samples were quickly preserved in 4 per cent formalin. Fifty embryos from each replicate were randomly selected and staged according to the embryonic development.

(e) Statistical analyses
Data on the proportion of abnormally developing embryos present in each culture failed the assumption of normality, even after the data were arcsine transformed. The raw data were used in the non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis single factor analysis of variance by ranks to test for temperature effects and pressure effects on the proportion of abnormally developing embryos. Temperature and pressure effects were tested separately, for each treatment and each incubation period (e.g. results of pressure effects are for each temperature tested in each incubation period).

3. RESULTS
(a) Temperature effects on embryonic and larval development
Mytilus edulis embryos develop faster at higher temperatures. For example, at 1 atm most embryos incubated at 5°C require 50 hours to reach stage V (multi-cell; figure 2; table 1) but reached stage X (D-larva) at 20°C. At 10°C and after 4 hours of incubation, the embryos developed to stage III (four-cell stage; figure 3), after 24 hours they reached stage VI (early blastula; figure 4) and past 50 hours they reached stage VIII (early trochophore). After 4 hours incubation at 15 and 20°C, the embryos developed to stage V, past 24 hours, the embryos reared at 15°C were in stage VII (gastrula) and those reared at 20°C reached stage X. The embryos incubated at 15°C needed 50 hours to get to D-larva stage. At 25°C, those that survived 50 hours incubation reached stages IX and X (late trochophore and D-larvae, respectively), although 93 per cent of the embryos were developing abnormally or dying, and the culture was quickly degrading.

When testing for effects of temperature in the proportion of abnormally developing embryos, reared at atmospheric pressure, it is possible to detect a significant effect in the 50 hours incubation period when all temperatures are analysed together (5–25°C; Kruskal–Wallis $H=6.058$, $p=0.011$; table 2). There is no significant effect of temperature (tested temperatures: 10, 15 and 20°C) in the proportion of embryos developing abnormally for incubation periods of 4 hours and 24 hours for any pressure treatment (table 2).

(b) Pressure effects on embryonic and larval development
Fertilization under pressure succeeded in all pressure treatments (figures 3 and 4). After 4 hours incubation, in both 10 and 15°C treatments for 100–500 atm, eggs were fertilized but did not develop (figure 3). A small proportion of embryos reached stage V (multi-cell), when incubated for 4 hours at 20°C per 100 atm, but from 200 atm up to 500 atm embryos were in less advanced stages, indicating a retarded development. For the 20°C cultures, with increasing pressure the number of abnormal individuals increased (figure 3).

In the embryos exposed for 24 hours, the maximum developmental stage reached was for the cultures reared at atmospheric pressure (figure 4). A similar pattern occurred for the cultures at 100 atm with a maximum development for the 20°C treatment: stage VIII (early trochophore). At 20°C per 200 atm more than 92 per cent of the embryos did undergo abnormal development, while at 15°C per 200 atm embryos reached stage VI, and at 10°C per 200 atm a small portion of embryos developed to stage V (figure 4). At 300 atm and for all temperatures tested, embryos did not develop. In all temperatures, an increase of abnormal development with increasing pressure was observed.

The cultures exposed to 100 atm for 50 hours and incubated at 5, 10 and 15°C behaved very similarly to those reared at atmospheric pressure, while at higher temperatures most of embryos were abnormal (figure 2). In both 20 and 25°C cultures exposed to 200 and 300 atm, the embryos were abnormal or died. In the cultures reared at 300 atm at 5 and 10°C, embryos did not develop, and most of them remained in stage I—uncleaved showing a polar body—after fertilization (figure 2). On the other hand, at 10°C per 200 atm more than 30 per cent of embryos reached stage V—multi-cell stage (figure 2). At 15°C per 200 atm, the few embryos developing normally reached stage IX (figure 2). In general, the degree of abnormal development observed in embryos increases with both increased pressure and temperature.

Abnormal cleavages, the lack of cell membrane, and extrusions of the cytoplasm originating knobby-like structures on the exterior of the embryo are the common abnormalities observed in pressurized cultures (see figures in the electronic supplementary material). The effect of pressure on the proportion of abnormally developing embryos was tested for each temperature and each incubation period (table 3). Results show no significant effect of pressure on all the temperatures analysed (10, 15 and 20°C) for both the incubation periods of 4 and 24 hours, except for treatment of 20°C per 24 hours (Kruskal–Wallis $H=8.128$, $p=0.043$; table 3). With a longer incubation period of 50 hours, the effect of pressure on the proportion of abnormally developing embryos is significant for all temperatures tested (table 3), except for the 5°C cultures (Kruskal–Wallis $H=6.407$, $p=0.093$; table 3).

4. DISCUSSION
(a) Methodological considerations
The method to induce spawning used in this work results from a combination of different approaches suggested in the literature (e.g. Costello et al. 1957; Sprung & Bayne 1984; Young & Tyler 1993). The mechanical, temperature and salinity shocks proved to be efficient, with at least 15 per cent of mussels spawning per trial.

Fertilization under pressure was 100 per cent effective as all the microcentrifuge tubes imploded under pressure allowing fertilization to take place. This new and simple technique may be used in future pressure studies as a way to mix small volumes of solutions under pressure. As fertilization rates at atmospheric pressure and at tolerated pressures (i.e. 100 atm at 10 and 15°C, figures 2–4) are comparable, we do not assume imploding microtube vials to significantly bias the fertilization process, i.e. by lysing.

Figure 2. *Mytilus edulis* embryonic and early larval development incubated at different pressure/temperature regimes for 50 hours, with fertilization occurring at atmospheric pressure. Histograms are of percentage mean and standard deviation. Stages of development: A = abnormal; 0 = unfertilized; I = fertilized, uncleaved egg; II = two-cell; III = four-cell; IV = eight-cell; V = multi-cell; VI = early blastula; VII = gastrula; VIII = early trochophore; IX = late trochophore; X = D-larva (see Table 1 for a detailed description of each developmental stage).
cells. The ‘popping microcentrifuge’ tube approach of fertilization has the advantage of not affecting the pH or seawater density. When developing the experimental design, dissolvable capsules yielded little satisfying results as the dissolving capsules drastically affected the viscosity of small volumes of seawater. Fertilization of eggs following rupture of microcentrifuge tubes at approximately 50 atm and prior to reaching the desired experimental pressure is unlikely. Pressurization was continuous and only lasted 5–7 s following rupture of microcentrifuge tubes. Successful fertilization in *M. edulis* eggs took between 40 and approximately 60 s when studied at 1 atm under a compound microscope and within the temperature range tested.

The present work focuses on the study of the early embryonic phase of the *M. edulis* life cycle that corresponds to the full embryogenic period, from fertilization to the early D-larvae stage, when embryos do not feed and rely on nutritional reserves of maternal origin present in the oocytes. In our study, gametogenesis in *M. edulis* took place at approximately 15°C, the maintenance temperature of adult blue mussels. Pre-spawning temperatures may affect physiological tolerances in the offspring and thus future study should focus on the effect of temperature on offspring quality in *M. edulis*. Whereas survival rates in embryos and larvae might be enhanced if acclimation to the experimental temperature would include gametogenesis, we do not believe that the overall physiological tolerance pattern found in this study would have changed.

### (b) Temperature and pressure effect on embryogenesis

Our data suggest that at atmospheric pressure the temperature tolerance window for successful early embryogenesis of *M. edulis* ranges from approximately 10–20°C. The embryo development scales with temperature (for review see Anger 2001), i.e. embryos take more time at lower temperatures to reach the same embryonic stage than those reared at higher temperatures. At higher temperatures, close to thermal limits, a higher proportion of abnormally developing embryos are observed. This

---

**Figure 3.** *Mytilus edulis* embryonic development incubated at different pressure/temperature regimes for 4 hours, with fertilization occurring under pressure. Histograms are of percentage mean and standard deviation. Stages of development: A = abnormal; 0 = unfertilized; I = fertilized, uncleaved egg; II = two-cell; III = four-cell; IV = eight-cell; V = multi-cell (see table 1 for a detailed description of each developmental stage).
Figure 4. *Mytilus edulis* embryonic and early larval development incubated at different pressure/temperature regimes for 24 hours, with fertilization occurring under pressure. Histograms are of percentage mean and standard deviation. Stages of development: A = abnormal; 0 = unfertilized; I = fertilized, uncleaved egg; II = two-cell; III = four-cell; IV = eight-cell; V = multicell; VI = early blastula; VII = gastrula; VIII = early trochophore; IX = late trochophore; X = D-larva (see table 1 for a detailed description of each developmental stage).

Table 2. Kruskal–Wallis analysis of variance testing the effects of temperature (10, 15 and 20 °C) on the proportion of abnormally developing embryos reared at the different pressures for different incubation periods. (*H* statistic (degrees of freedom, *N* = number of replicates); *p*-value for temperatures: 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25 °C.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incubation period</th>
<th>Pressure (atm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 hours</td>
<td>24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10°C</td>
<td>1 atm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10°C 100 atm</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10°C 200 atm</td>
<td>0.610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10°C 300 atm</td>
<td>5.728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20°C 1 atm</td>
<td>5.728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20°C 100 atm</td>
<td>1.689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20°C 200 atm</td>
<td>5.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20°C 300 atm</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Kruskal–Wallis analysis of variance testing the effects of pressure (1, 100, 200 and 300 atm) on the proportion of abnormally developing embryos reared at different temperatures for different incubation periods. (*H* statistic (degrees of freedom, *N* = number of replicates).)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incubation period</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 hours</td>
<td>24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10°C 5</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10°C 10</td>
<td>1.568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10°C 15</td>
<td>1.977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20°C 20</td>
<td>5.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25°C 20</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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is probably related to the metabolic rates increasing with increasing temperatures; although temperatures might not yet have reached a lethal state, more energy has to be allocated to the metabolism, which negatively affects the embryo development.

Successful embryo development is possible from 1 atm up to 500 atm, which was the maximum pressure condition tested. Active sperm cells were observed under a compound microscope straight after depressurization when exposed at 500 atm for 4 hours. With the presented pressure range tested, we hypothesize that pressure presents no barrier to fertilization. It is possible to observe a slower development with increasing pressure, as well as an increase of abnormal development of the embryos. Standard deviations potentially reflect the intraspecific pressure range tested, we hypothesize that pressure might not yet have reached a lethal state, more energy has to be allocated to the metabolism, which negatively affects the embryo development.

Metabolic rates increase with increasing temperature (for review see Clarke 2003). In the case of M. edulis, the lower the pressure the faster the embryonic development. In the present study, a prolonged time of exposure to the different temperature/pressure combinations gives us a further view on this developmental process: after 4 hours of exposure, at 20°C we see higher tolerance to high pressure, as cleavages occur and the number of abnormalities is low. After 24 hours of exposure, it is possible to observe that those embryos undergo irregular cleavages and this may indicate that further successful progression in development is unlikely under high pressure. After 50 hours this is a fact, as embryos do not tolerate pressures greater than or equal to 100 atm.

Our results show embryos developing normally at high pressures, and low temperatures. Questions remain about whether embryos developing normally under pressure, but with a slower growth rate due to low temperature, would become abnormal as the complexity of developing embryos increases. We assume that there are particular stages in the early ontogeny which are crucial to tolerate pressure. When an embryo reaches these stages it will be defined whether there will be normal development or not. In similar pressure/temperature studies, evidence suggests that low temperature retards the embryonic development (e.g. Young et al. 1997; Tyler & Young 1998), and in the case of M. edulis pressure combined with temperature favours lower temperatures where fewer abnormalities are observed. Thus it is reasonable to hypothesize that the invasion of the deep sea by M. edulis is possible in terms of pressure tolerances in embryos and larvae.

(c) Seeding the deep sea

Wood-, bone-, vent- and seep-associated mussels are phylogenetically closely related and are indicative of a recent common ancestry for vent and non-vent species (Distel et al. 2000). A long isolation of this group of chemosynthetic mussels is proposed to be the cause of divergence from other mytilids from shallow water (Distel et al. 2000). It has been hypothesized that wood- and bone-associated mussels worked as an evolutionary step for shallow-water mussels to colonize hydrothermal vents and cold seeps (Distel et al. 2000). Deep-sea colonization by shallow-water species over evolutionary periods of time needs cold-stenothermy adapted species finding a rather stable, low temperature environment, which resulted in the concept of faunistic exchange through low temperature, isothermal water bodies (Kussakin 1973; Menzies et al. 1973; Hessler & Thistle 1975; Hessler & Wilson 1983; Thatje et al. 2005). Contrarily, the colonization of deep-water chemosynthetic environments would indeed require physiological properties in invertebrates that tolerate greater fluctuations in temperature (=cold-eurythermy), and the present study clearly demonstrated that blue mussels are a likely proof for such a scenario, which is furthermore supported by their close
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phyllogenetic relationship with the Bathymodiliniae (Distel et al. 2000) and similarities in their reproductive and larval developmental cycle (Lutz et al. 1980; Berg 1985; Kenk & Wilson 1985). Supporting this view of similar physiologi-
crprerequisites in both temperate shallow-water and
hydrothermal vent species, bivalves from deep-sea hydro-
thermal vents have also shown growth rates similar to
mytilids found in shallow-water environments and their
growth rates are much higher (several orders of magni-
tude) than those in bivalve species living in non-
chemosynthetic deep-sea habitat (Lutz et al. 1985). It
might be challenging to suggest that from an evolutionary
perspective, hydrothermal vent environments have been
the only places where shallow-water temperate to sub-
tropical invertebrates were able to establish, owing to
similar temperature-tolerance regimes. Similar establish-
ment in low-temperature deep-sea environments can only
occur if the species possess a cold stenothermy to a
constant, low-temperature environment—a physiological
difference, we hypothesize, not favoured in shallow-water
species from sub-tropical to temperate regions. We
propose that the development of a thermocline is not
necessarily a physiological barrier to pelagic larval stages
and drifting stages of any kind to penetrate the deep sea,
although its physical properties may influence the direct
settlement by invertebrate larvae into deeper waters.

The results presented here challenge the idea by Distel
et al. (2000) that mytilids of the genus Bathymodiolus did
colonize eurythermal vent sites via cold-stenothermal
chemosynthetic wood and cold-seep environments. This
evolutionary pathway would imply that Bathymodiolus
regained cold eurythermy to colonize hydrothermal vents
following the colonization of cold-stenothermal chemo-
synthetic environments—an evolutionary step that is
generally assumed highly unlikely. The herein presented
results indeed support the fact that for species of the
Mytilinae, a direct colonization from shallow water into
deep-water sites that both demand the same temperature
tolerance is a more likely scenario and that the physio-
logical basis of organismal biology should be increasingly
understood per consideration when studying the evolutionary
history of deep-sea faunas. It is possible that only
advanced larvae have been involved in seeding deep-
sea habitats over long evolutionary periods of time owing
to lack of food at greater depth. Here, it must be
reiterated, however, that invertebrate larvae can arrest
development for substantial periods of time when
encountering unsuitable habitat conditions such as
lower temperatures in the colder water column, until
encountering a more suitable habitat for development (An
ger 2001; Thatje et al. 2004), which in addition should facilitate
direct shallow water—deep water exchange of
floating early life-history stages, provided a hyperbaric
tolerance is expressed.
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