Large herbivorous vertebrates have strong interactions with vegetation, affecting the structure, composition and dynamics of plant communities in many ways. Living large herbivores are a small remnant of the assemblages of giants that existed in most terrestrial ecosystems 50 000 years ago. The extinction of so many large herbivores may well have triggered large changes in plant communities. In several parts of the world, palaeoecological studies suggest that extinct megafauna once maintained vegetation openness, and in wooded landscapes created mosaics of different structural types of vegetation with high habitat and species diversity. Following megafaunal extinction, these habitats reverted to more dense and uniform formations. Megafaunal extinction also led to changes in fire regimes and increased fire frequency due to accumulation of uncropped plant material, but there is a great deal of variation in post-extinction changes in fire. Plant communities that once interacted with extinct large herbivores still contain many species with obsolete defences against browsing and non-functional adaptations for seed dispersal. Such plants may be in decline, and, as a result, many plant communities may be in various stages of a process of relaxation from megafauna-conditioned to megafauna-naive states. Understanding the past role of giant herbivores provides fundamental insight into the history, dynamics and conservation of contemporary plant communities.
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1. INTRODUCTION

We live in a zoologically impoverished world, from which all the hugest, and fiercest, and strangest forms have recently disappeared…

(Wallace 1876, p. 150)

Before the global spread of the modern Homo sapiens, all continents and most islands had rich faunas of very large vertebrates: mammoths; ground sloths; giant kangaroos; moa; and many others. These mostly vanished following human arrival (Martin & Steadman 1999; figure 1). Why these extinctions happened has been debated since Owen (1861) argued that human hunting was the cause. Owen’s view remains controversial, but much recent evidence has pointed to a major role for hunting in driving the extinctions (e.g. Surovell et al. 2005; Johnson 2006; Koch & Barnosky 2006). This review examines the impact of prehistoric megafaunal extinctions on terrestrial ecosystems, without addressing the cause of those extinctions. Nonetheless, the question of cause is crucial, because if one believes that large animals went extinct because their environments changed and could no longer support them, as some have argued (Martin & Klein 1984), there is no strong reason to look for environmental changes that might have followed from those extinctions. On the other hand, the removal by people of large animals from environments that were otherwise suitable for them may well have had large ecological repercussions. That is the view I take here.

Most of the extinct megafauna were herbivores. I focus specifically on changes in the structure, composition and dynamics of plant communities that can be attributed to the loss of those large herbivores that were present in terrestrial ecosystems at the beginning of the Last Glacial cycle ca 130 ka (i.e. thousands of years ago), but which went extinct before the historical period. This evidence comes mainly from Europe, Australia, Northern Eurasia and Beringia, North America, Madagascar and New Zealand. For a summary of the timing of extinction and human arrival in those places, against the background of changing climates through the Last Glacial cycle, see figure 2.

2. LARGE HERBIVORES AND VEGETATION

Big herbivores have big effects on plants. Beyond the direct impacts of herbivory on the physiology, form and growth of individual plants, herbivores shape plant communities in many ways: by reducing vegetation density and creating gaps; facilitating species coexistence; dispersing seeds; suppressing sensitive species; reducing fire potential by preventing accumulation of dry plant tissue; and accelerating nutrient recycling via urine and faeces (Knapp et al. 1999; Gill 2006; Hester et al. 2006). The strength of these effects varies across space, especially where foraging intensity is affected by abiotic factors such as availability of water. Large herbivores therefore often promote spatial heterogeneity in vegetation formations. Herbivory by large vertebrates also drives the evolution of plant defences, such as spines and densely branched growth architecture.
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Figure 1. Body mass distributions of herbivorous mammals in
(a) North America, (b) South America, (c) Australia and (d)
Africa, distinguishing species that were present in the Late
Pleistocene but went extinct before the historical period (filled
bars) from survivors into the historical period (open bars).
Data adapted from Smith et al. (2003) except for extinct
Australian mammals, which are from Johnson (2006).

(5) southern Europe (Stuart 2005; Roebroeks 2008), (6) Tasmania (Turney et al. 2008) and (7) mainland Australia (Gillespie et al. 2006; Johnson 2006). Temperature is indicated by deuterium ratios in Antarctic ice, from EPICA (2004); high values indicate high temperatures.

Owen-Smith (1988) argued that the impact of herbivory
on vegetation increases with herbivore body size, such that
‘megaherbivores’ (defined as species weighing more than
1000 kg) can have overwhelming effects. This is because
(i) larger species have greater capacity to use high-fibre
material, and so consume a higher proportion of plant
tissue, (ii) they are more general in their habitat use and
maintain higher total biomass over large areas, and
(iii) very large size confers invulnerability to predation,
allowing megaherbivore populations to escape predator
regulation and increase to the point where they have strong
interactions with vegetation. Among African large

Many large herbivores are partly frugivorous and disperse
the seeds of large-fruit woody plants (Janzen & Martin
1982; Donatti et al. 2007). Large herbivores are also seed
dispersers for herbaceous plants in which small fruits are
intermingled with leaves and are incidentally consumed
along with foliage (Janzen 1984). Larger herbivores can
consume greater volumes of fruit and move seeds over
longer distances (Guimaraes et al. 2008). Germination
rates of seeds swallowed and defecated by mammals
increase with body size, probably because seeds spend more time in the gut of large mammals and are more
thoroughly scarified (Bodmer & Ward 2006). Herbs that
rely for seed dispersal on herbivores that incidentally
consume small fruit are likely to be best served by species
that take big, undiscriminating mouthfuls of foliage, and,
in this respect, the very largest grazers are most valuable
(Janzen 1984).
might have caused major transformations of vegetation over much of the world. North America, for example, had a Pleistocene mega herbivore assemblage considerably richer than that of present-day Africa (Martin 2005; figure 1). Given what we know of the impact of the African elephant alone as a habitat engineer, continental North America's four (or more) species of proboscideans must have had even greater ecological effects over a wider range of environments; ground sloths might have been just as powerful again. The ecological consequences of their mass extinction, along with large bovids, cervids, camels, horses, glyptodonts, peccaries and so on, should have been immense (Martin 2005).

Such extinctions might have triggered the following three general types of change to vegetation.

(i) Loss of open vegetation and habitat mosaics. Vegetation that had been kept open by herbivory should have been replaced by dense or closed formations, and mosaics that had been maintained by herbivore pressure should have given way to uniform or zonal vegetation patterns.

(ii) Increased fire. With accumulation of uncropped plant material and increased vegetation density, fire may have increased (Flannery 1990). This could have affected vegetation in two distinct ways. Fire might have replaced animals as a ‘herbivore’ and maintained vegetation in a form similar to that created by animals; even so, fire is a more aggressive and less discriminating consumer of plant matter than true herbivores, so some vegetation pattern may have been lost in this transition and species with traits that allowed survival of fire or post-fire regeneration would have been strongly favoured (Bond & Keeley 2005). Alternatively, raised fire potential might have shifted vegetation to new states, such as extensive uniform grasslands in which recurrent fire prevents succession to woodland or forest.

(iii) Decline of coevolved plants. Traits that had been adaptive in a world with large herbivores may have become maladaptive in a post-megafauna world, leading to decline and extinction of plants burdened with them.

3. EFFECTS ON VEGETATION STRUCTURE AND DIVERSITY

(a) Europe

Vera (2000) argued that large herbivores in lowland temperate Europe once maintained shifting mosaics of grassland, thicket and tall forest. Herbivory converted stands of tall forest into open parkland or grassland by suppressing woody regeneration; these open areas would be invaded by thickets of thorny scrub resistant to browsing, which, in turn, provided patchy refuges where seedlings of palatable trees could re-establish; emergent trees then shaded out understorey scrubs and grew into forest stands destined to repeat the cycle. The patchiness maintained by this herbivore-driven cyclic succession benefited three groups of light-demanding plants in particular: herbs; scrub species with structural defences against large browsers; and trees such as oaks *Quercus* and hazel *Corylus* that can regenerate neither in the deep shade of a forest canopy nor under heavy browsing in the open (Vera et al. 2006). Without large herbivores, these mosaics reverted to uniform closed forest with lower species diversity. Effects of large herbivores on vegetation would have been especially powerful in ecological zones favourable to large mammalian herbivores, such as lowlands or flood plains in relatively dry climates with high soil nutrient availability. These may have been hotspots for biodiversity under the influence of large mammals, but now ‘only a few remnants of this rich system remain scattered over northwestern Europe, mostly in small nature reserves’ (Bakker et al. 2004).

This hypothesis sparked a controversy over the character of primeval wooded landscapes in lowland temperate Europe, in which two somewhat idealized images were contrasted: Vera’s ‘wood–pasture’ mosaic of groves and glades, versus the tall, structurally uniform, closed-canopy ‘high forest’ imagined by most botanists as the original vegetation over most of Europe (Birks 2005). Most tests of Vera’s hypothesis have used the Early Holocene (10–6 ka) as a reference period, for three reasons: the climate of the Early Holocene was similar to today’s; direct human impact on forest cover and structure was not yet significant; and the large mammal herbivores that were on hand to shape vegetation then (aurochs, bison, wild horses, etc.) are still available to managers now, albeit in some cases only as domesticated descendants.

Palaeoecological tests tend to support the high forest over the wood–pasture picture for the Early Holocene, although not always exclusively. In Early Holocene pollen records from Wales, percentages of non-arboreal pollen (NAP) at most sites are low enough to be consistent with closed high forest, but a few sites have high NAP counts, indicating open vegetation possibly maintained by herbivory (Fyfe 2007). Soepboer & Lotter (2009) modelled pollen accumulation from contemporary vegetation formations in Switzerland, representing high forest and wood–pasture types, and compared predicted pollen profiles from these types with actual profiles from 6 ka lake sediments. These were more consistent with high forest than wood pasture, but with a higher than expected representation of open habitats indicating a fairly high frequency of disturbed or naturally open habitats. Mitchell (2005) tested the effects of large herbivores on vegetation structure by comparing Early Holocene pollen records from Ireland and Europe. The only large herbivores in Ireland in the Early Holocene were wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) and red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) (thought to have been rare or absent over most of Ireland), whereas Europe had a richer community consisting of deer, aurochs, tarpan, bison, beaver and wild boar. Pollen frequencies for oaks, hazel and herbs in Ireland fell within the range of European data, indicating no large effect of mammal herbivores on forest structure and suggesting predominantly high forest vegetation in both places.

However, these studies measured the effects on vegetation of a severely weakened large-herbivore assemblage, which had already lost mammoths, rhinos, hippos and others (Stuart 1999). To test the effects on vegetation of the full Pleistocene suite of large herbivores, Svenning (2002) compared the representation of NAP in pollen assemblages from the Last Interglacial, when they were
extant (figure 2), with the Early Holocene after they had gone. The two periods were climatically similar, so differences in vegetation can be attributed to the effects of herbivores, at least tentatively. This comparison suggested that, in uplands, forests were largely closed at the Last Interglacial just as in the Early Holocene, except on infertile sites where there was open woodland or heath in both periods. On flood plains, however, many sites show evidence of open vegetation at the Last Interglacial, while pollen records from the Early Holocene indicate closed forest. High densities of large herbivores on flood plains at the Last Interglacial are evidenced by pollen of herb species characteristic of disturbed ground, and a high abundance and diversity of dung beetles. Early Holocene beetle assemblages have comparatively few dung or open-ground beetles (Svenning 2002).

A specific example of an extinct large herbivore creating open vegetation may be provided by giant deer Megaloceros giganteus in Ireland (Bradshaw & Mitchell 1999).

Following retreating ice sheets, giant deer recolonized Ireland at the end of the Last Glacial cycle (Stuart et al. 2004), and increased in abundance between 16 and 13 ka. Initially, the dominant vegetation in their range was willow Salix scrubland, which was progressively replaced by juniper Juniperus and crowberry Empetrum scrub, and then transformed to open grassland. The deer would have eaten all these plants, but shrubs were probably favoured because of their high phosphorous content (Bradshaw & Mitchell 1999). Heavy browsing can therefore account for the succession of scrub to grassland, which does not have a climatic explanation. This succession was interrupted by Younger Dryas (YD) cooling, when giant deer went extinct in Ireland. When warming resumed, the vegetation that re-formed in the absence of giant deer was mixed juniper scrub and birch Betula woodland (Bradshaw & Mitchell 1999).

(b) Australia

Johnson (2006) reviewed long pollen records extending over the period 45–50 ka when mainland Australia's Pleistocene megafauna went extinct, and noted some evidence of post-extinction expansion of shrubland. This is expected, because many of the extinct large herbivores were browsers, but loose chronological control over events in the middle of the Last Glacial cycle means that the evidence is equivocal.

Miller et al. (2005) found evidence of a major reorganization of vegetation coincident with megafauna extinction across the semi-arid southern mainland of Australia. Stable isotope analysis showed that before 50 ka, emus and wombats had broad diets consisting of a mixture of C₄ (subtropical and arid grasses) and C₃ plants (shrubs, trees and temperate grasses), with a wide range of values among individual samples suggesting heterogeneous foraging environments. By 45 kyr, their diets had contracted to C₃ plants only. Miller et al. (2005) argued that this reflected a habitat change from a mosaic of trees, shrubs and grassland to a more uniform shrub steppe. Climate cannot account for this change.

A similar change is implied by Prideaux et al.'s (2007) analysis of a Middle Pleistocene (400 – 230 kyr ago) fauna from a cave site on the Nullarbor Plain. This site preserves an exceptionally rich community of large mammalian herbivores, consisting of a giant wombat and 18 extinct large kangaroos. The wide range of body sizes, morphologies (including two arboreal kangaroos) and feeding modes in this assemblage indicates a more diverse vegetation than now, probably a mosaic of woodland, shrubland and grassland, with a high proportion of plants with palatable leaves and fleshy fruits. The present-day vegetation is a uniform chenopod shrub steppe (in which the existence of tree kangaroos is unimaginable).

The climate experienced by the Middle Pleistocene community was evidently similarly arid to today, so climate change cannot explain the gross simplification of the ecosystem (Prideaux et al. 2007). Both Miller et al. (2005) and Prideaux et al. (2007) suggested that landscape burning by Aboriginal people caused these vegetation changes—the problems with this interpretation are noted below.

Western Tasmania in the Last Glacial was covered by open herbfields with scattered trees and shrubs, and this vegetation appears not to have changed with Tasmania's megafauna extinction (Turney et al. 2008). Why this difference from mainland ecosystems? Turney et al. (2008) reported only broad structural categories of vegetation from pollen analysis, which may have been too coarse to resolve changes in vegetation pattern. Also, the extinct large-herbivore community of Tasmania was relatively simple, consisting of only five medium–large species and not including the 2–3 tonne Diprotodon optatum, the largest Pleistocene herbivore of Australia. If very large species have disproportionate effects as habitat engineers, the impact of Pleistocene herbivores on vegetation may have been less powerful in Tasmania than on much of the mainland where Diprotodon was widespread.

(c) Extinction of the mammoth steppe

During most of the Pleistocene, the unglaciated expanses of northern Eurasia and North America were covered by a vast, low steppe of grasses, forbs and sedges (Zimov et al. 1995; Goetcheus & Birks 2001; Guthrie 2001; Yurtsev 2001; van Geel et al. 2007). This ‘mammoth steppe’ was dry but botanically diverse, and it supported a high biomass of woolly mammoths, bison, horses and other large herbivores. From ca 13 ka, it was replaced by waterlogged habitats of wet mossy tundra, shrub tundra and taiga or deciduous forest, with reduced plant diversity (Guthrie 2001; Kienast et al. 2001; Willerslev et al. 2003, 2008; Edwards et al. 2005).

Zimov et al. (1995) argued that the mammoth steppe had been created by the mammoths themselves (with help from other large herbivores). Heavy cropping by large herbivores suppressed woody regrowth and prevented accumulation of litter, but stimulated above-ground grass production, especially of deep-rooted species resilient to grazing. This led to high rates of transpiration of soil moisture, so that topsoil remained dry and favourable to grass growth. Rapid nutrient recycling via herbivore dung helped maintain productivity (Zimov et al. 1995), while exposure of soil led to more rapid thawing and warmer soils in summer (Walker et al. 2001). Megafaunal extinction sounded the doom of the mammoth steppe. In the absence of heavy grazing, water tables rose, suppressing herbaceous plants but favouring mosses. Mosses have low rates of evapotranspiration and form a continuous insulating layer, so moss-covered soils remained cool and waterlogged. Breakdown and recycling
of nutrients slowed down, organic matter accumulated on the soil surface and soil fertility declined. With freedom from browsing, low-growing shrubs and trees regenerated while grasses and other productive herbs declined further.

The transformation of mammoth steppe to tundra is usually explained by the change to a warmer, wetter and less continental climate in the transition to the Holocene (Guthrie 2001). If so, the mammoth steppe should also have disappeared during previous interglacials; by contrast, Zimov’s hypothesis predicts that it should have persisted through previous interglacials under the influence of large herbivores, and disappeared only in their absence at the end of the Pleistocene. Kienast et al. (2008) used plant macrofossils to reconstruct in detail plant communities from the Last Interglacial at a site in northeast Siberia. They found a species-rich mosaic of dry steppe vegetation (composed of forbs, sedges and grasses) interspersed with patches of shrub tundra and thickets, arctic herbfields, wetlands and pioneer communities composed of species typical of dry, disturbed sites. A high abundance in the ancient community of grazing-herbivores, and were only fully realized with other large herbivores, and were only fully realized with
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mosaics of steppe, woodland/thicket and closed forest, which maintained high regional diversity in many groups of organisms. In the transition to the Holocene, these mosaics were replaced by large-scale zonal patterns of vegetation. Spatial analysis of mammal communities in the Late Pleistocene shows greater differentiation over scales of several hundred kilometres than in Holocene and current communities, indicating a shift from a fine-to coarse-grained pattern of environmental variation (Graham et al. 1995). This environmental change coincided with North American megafaunal extinction, and Guthrie (1984) argued that it was the cause of that extinction. However, the pace of change in plant communities peaked between 13 and 10 ka (Williams et al. 2004), in the immediate aftermath of megafaunal extinction. During this period, the widespread ‘spruce parkland’ and ‘mixed parkland’ biomes declined to near-extinction. These vegetation types are thought to have consisted of scattered stands of trees among fields with abundant sedges, grasses and other forbs, and may have been kept open by large herbivores (Williams et al. 2001).

Robinson et al. (2005) described changes in vegetation and abundance of large herbivorous mammals at four sites in New York state from ca 19 ka, when ice sheets that covered the area during the last glaciation retreated, to the last few millennia. They used spore counts of the dung fungus Sporormiella as a sensitive proxy measure of biomass of large herbivores. The Early Postglacial vegetation of the region was conifer forest dominated by pine Pinus and spruce Picea, along with less abundant broadleaf tree taxa; sedges were quite abundant, and pollen of grasses and other herbs were also present, indicating a reasonably open and diverse understory. Fossils of two species of extinct megafauna were recorded at these sites: mastodon Mammut americanum, a browser typically associated with conifer forest, and the stag elk Cervus, also a browser (but thought to have preferred open forest habitats; Breda 2008). Populations of large herbivores collapsed at ca 14.5 ka, 1500 years before the onset of YD cooling. In the aftermath of the herbivore collapse, the vegetation remained as conifer forest, with some increase in broadleaved taxa and a decline in herbs. Large-herbivore collapse was associated with a change in the nature of sediments, from clays to darker organic muds and peats. This presumably represents increased input of organic matter to sediments, and could reflect an increase in vegetation density and accumulation of uncropped plant material, perhaps along with raised water tables creating consistently wetter conditions.

Other sedimentary profiles across North America show a similar but more short-lived change at close to the same time, represented by the ‘black mats’. The black mats are thin layers of dark sediment, with higher organic matter content than layers immediately above and below them, which were laid down in many places just before the YD (Haynes 2008). They are typically in low-lying sites where marshes, wet meadows or ponds could form and are generally thought to indicate a brief interval of raised water tables leading to saturation of soils, ponding and increased incorporation of organic matter in muds and peats (Quade et al. 1998; Haynes 2008). The charcoal content of black mats is often also high (Firestone et al. 2007). Haynes (2008) suggested that the black mats were due to reduced evaporation, and therefore more effective recharge of...
groundwater, under cooler temperatures in the approach to the YD but before the development of dry conditions characteristic of the YD itself. It remains puzzling, however, that black mats are such a distinctive feature of this time, but not of other similar climate events such as the Oldest Dryas. Observations of mammoth bones blanketed by black mat deposits show that they were laid down almost immediately after megaherbivore extinction (Haynes 2008).

Most interpretations of the association of black mats and megafaunal extinction assume that this link tells us something about the cause of the extinction. The black mats themselves cannot diagnose that cause, because it is so difficult to fathom how a short-lived increase in water availability could have wiped out mammoths, mastodons and the rest. But their unusual presence at this time hints at something very strange, some unprecedented ‘environmental and biotic disturbance’ (Haynes 2008). Firestone et al. (2007) interpret the black mats as evidence of a catastrophic impact of an extraterrestrial object that caused megafauna extinction, the synchronous termination of the Clovis culture and the YD, but this radical proposal is not widely accepted (Kerr 2007; Gillespie 2008; Haynes 2008).

Perhaps this mystery could be resolved if we considered that rather than being somehow bound up with the cause of megafauna extinction, the black mats formed as a consequence of that extinction. This could have happened by similar mechanisms as proposed by Zimov et al. (1995) for the steppe/tundra transition, and reflected in Robinson et al.’s (2005) data: cessation of cropping by large herbivores leading to thickening of vegetation, accumulation of organic matter, raised water tables, and reduced recycling of nutrients.

(e) New Zealand

New Zealand’s prehuman large terrestrial herbivores were the 10 species of moa, together with large terrestrial geese and ducks (Worthy & Holdaway 2002). The diversity and abundance of these herbivores was highest in relatively dry environments on the eastern side of New Zealand, especially on the South Island (Worthy & Holdaway 2002; Rogers et al. 2005). The prehuman vegetation of the southeastern drylands was a complex of dry low forests, woodlands and shrublands, dominated by conifers and small-leaved angiosperms (Rogers et al. 2005). Rich assemblages of herb and grass species indicate high light availability in the understorey, and perhaps a patchwork of small glades in a forest/woodland/shrub mosaic.

Rogers et al. (2005) and Lee et al. (in press) suggest that herbivory by moa maintained high species diversity and created habitat mosaics in this environment. Heavy cropping of small trees and shrubs may have kept much of the understorey open, well-lit and suitable for shade-intolerant herbs. Shrubbs with divaricate growth were prominent mid-storey plants in wooded habitats; their dense tangles of stems, with small leaves allowing light to reach the ground, might have created ‘nurse thickets’ that protected light-requiring seedlings of other species and promoted patchy regeneration of trees sensitive to browsing (Rogers et al. 2005). In other places, heavy and persistent browsing might have maintained open light-filled glades. Lee et al. (in press) interpret a mid-Holocene pollen diagram from a southeast dryland site (McGlone & Moar 1998) as having ‘...high pollen frequencies of several diminutive herbs unprecedented in contemporary dryland communities or pollen rains’, indicating a decline in herb diversity following herbivore extinction. Rogers et al.’s (2005) review of charcoal diagrams in the south eastern dryland eco-region suggests that not only were fires rare in the prehuman period, but they were also patchy and small-scaled. Why, in this dry and flammable environment, did small fires not rapidly spread and consume large areas? Perhaps heavy cropping of plant matter by large herbivores prevented accumulation of dry fuel, and the vegetation patchiness created by them impeded the spread of fires.

An impact of large herbivores is less obvious in the cool-temperate rainforest that covered most of the rest of low- and mid-altitude New Zealand, except perhaps in one respect. Lee et al. (in press) note that New Zealand forests on productive sites are often rich in conifers. Elsewhere, conifers tend to be restricted to unproductive sites under pressure of competition from fast-growing angiosperms on productive sites (Coomes et al. 2005). A key factor that currently prevents conifer regeneration on productive sites is heavy shading of the forest floor by understorey canopies of tall ferns. Possibly, conifer regeneration once depended on terrestrial herbivores to keep the forest floor open, and a thickening of understorey vegetation in the last few centuries has initiated a long-term conifer decline (Lee et al. in press).

4. Flammable New Worlds

The prediction that fire should have increased after megafaunal extinction holds true in most cases where it can be tested, but with much variation in the way in which fire subsequently affected vegetation dynamics. In the northeastern USA, Robinson et al.’s (2005) data are consistent with a pure form of the ‘herbivore replacement’ hypothesis. Burning increased several hundred years after megafaunal extinction, suggesting that plant biomass that had been consumed by herbivores before the extinctions was consumed by fire afterwards, after an interval of increased accumulation of fuel. This did not induce a change in the dominant vegetation type, as would have been the case had fire consumed more biomass than herbivores had formerly done, or selected for plants with very different forms or life strategies.

In Madagascar, Burney et al.’s (2003) investigation using Sporormiella showed that before human arrival, large-herbivore biomass was the highest in the dry southwest of the island, where the vegetation was a semiarid mosaic of dry forest, palm savannah and bushlands. Fire was rare in this environment while large-herbivore biomass was high. Herbivore biomass collapsed at 2 ka, very soon after human arrival (Burney et al. 2004), and approximately 200 years later there was a sudden rise in charcoal, followed by the conversion of the original wooded vegetation mosaic to grassland (Burney 1993). Elsewhere in Madagascar, the central highlands were covered by mesic wooded savannahs, with low to moderate Sporormiella counts and high charcoal indicating a vegetation shaped more by fire than herbivory, while in the humid rainforests of the north both Sporormiella and charcoal were low. In neither the central highlands nor the north was there a major change in fire and vegetation at
2 ka. Charcoal increased approximately 1000 years later when cattle were introduced and, it seems, fire was used by pastoralists to create grassland.

In the southeastern drylands of New Zealand, fire had been rare before the arrival of people 720 years ago (Wilmshurst et al. 2008), because, although the eastern lowlands are dry and have a naturally flammable vegetation, there was a lack of natural ignition by lightning (Ogden et al. 1998; Rogers et al. 2005). The plants of this region are therefore almost completely lacking in adaptations to survive fire or regenerate in its wake. Most of this vegetation was destroyed by fire after human arrival and replaced by grassland, evidently as a result of intentional burning to remove forest cover (McGlone & Basher 1995; McGlone & Wilmshurst 1999; McGlone 2001). This happened so quickly that it is impossible to tell what effect the removal of herbivores might have had on natural fire regimes.

In Australia, there is little evidence for increased fire linked to megafaunal extinction. Charcoal histories show complex patterns through time, with no strong or general increase in charcoal around human arrival and megafaunal extinction (Lynch et al. 2007; Turney et al. 2008). If anything, the period from 50 to 40 ka had fewer changes in fire pattern than other times (Kershaw et al. 2002; Johnson 2006). This could be because the vegetation changes that followed megafaunal extinction were predominantly expansions of shrublands, which, under the conditions of low CO₂, temperature and rainfall in the middle of the Last Glacial cycle, were too sparse to sustain frequent fire (Johnson 2006).

5. THE FATE OF ANACHRONISTIC PLANTS

The extinction of megafauna left many plant species stranded as anachronisms in a post-megafauna world, investing in defences against non-existent browsers and producing fruit that few or no animals eat, with seeds that go undispersed. Anachronistic plants can be found in all the parts of the world that lost megafauna in recent prehistory.

In Australia, for example, very few native mammals eat Acacia foliage, but many Australian Acacias have defensive spines and growth forms typical of species subject to large-mammal browsing. Some of these Acacias switch from defended to undefended forms at the browse height of the largest extinct marsupials (Johnson 2006). This is one of a series of examples in which defensive growth forms are strongly expressed in younger plants that have foliage within reach of extinct terrestrial browsers, while the same plants assume undefended growth forms above this ghost browse height; others are the genus Cyanea from Hawaii, once browsed by giant geese (Givnish et al. 1994); many plants from the Mascarene Islands that coevolved with giant tortoises (Eskildsen et al. 2004); and New Zealand’s divaricate plants.

The divaricate form is characterized by wide-angled branching of tough narrow stems, creating a densely interlaced architecture, and associated with small leaves and reduced leaf number on outer branches. It is thought to have evolved to limit the foraging efficiency of moa (Atkinson & Greenwood 1989; Worthy & Holdaway 2002), as it does living analogues of moa (ostriches and emus; Bond et al. 2004). In the dry thicket vegetation of southwest Madagascar, many plants have thin, wiry diversifying stems and zigzag branch growth (Bond & Silander 2007). These traits make the branches spring-like: when a branch is pulled, it extends a long distance, and immediately retracts when released. Bond & Silander (2007) argued that these ‘wire plants’ had evolved under browsing pressure from elephant birds, which fed by clamping and pulling on stems. Their springiness would have increased handling time for foraging birds, making wire plants less attractive than other plants not defended in this way.

Plants with obsolete defences may well have gone into decline following megafauna extinctions, for three reasons. First, investment in growth forms that helped reduce tissue loss from browsing may have compromised other physiological functions, such as photosynthetic capacity. While such traits conferred fitness benefits in environments with large browsers, they would put the same species at a disadvantage in resource competition with undefended plants once the large browsers were gone. Janzen (1986) reviewed plant traits in the nopaleras, a vegetation formation of the Chihuahuan Desert dominated by large perennial plants with defensive growth forms that presumably evolved under pressure of megafaunal browsers. He suggested that after megafauna extinction, these plants would contract to monospecific stands, each species surviving only where habitat conditions are ideal for it and where it is not exposed to resource competition from others.

Second, many of these plants were originally most successful in ecological zones that were heavily used by large herbivores, which maintained dry and open conditions and suppressed fire. If these conditions changed as a result of herbivore extinction, and especially if fire became a more significant control of vegetation, ‘megafauna plants’ might have declined as a result. Third, in many parts of the world, the original large-herbivore guild has been partially replaced by introduced species with different foraging equipment, against which the ancient defences are useless. For example, divaricate plants in New Zealand are well defended against large browsing birds, but are more susceptible to the browsing ungulates that are the birds’ modern replacements (Bond et al. 2004).

Plants species that had depended wholly or partly on large herbivores for seed dispersal should have suffered declines in distribution and genetic variance following megafauna extinction, potentially leading to extinction. Guimaraes et al. (2008) tested for the existence of obsolete ‘megafauna fruit’ in Brazil, by identifying fruit traits of African species currently dispersed by elephants, then mapping those traits onto a comprehensive database of fruit traits in the Brazilian flora. This method identified 103 species matching elephant-dispersed African fruit, and which presumably were once dispersed by gomphotheres in Brazil. Such species are well represented in some plant communities, such as the Pantanal, a region of seasonally wet and dry flood plains in which 30 per cent of fleshy-fruited tree species have fruit with megafaunal characteristics. However, most have restricted distributions, reflecting the fact that few or no living animals disperse their seeds. Molecular genetic analysis of several species demonstrates lack of gene flow, by showing moderate levels of genetic variability within populations but high differentiation among populations. Many show
high capacity for vegetative propagation or vigorous resprouting, or are long-lived, or are able to establish beneath the parent plant (Donatti et al. 2007). Probably, local populations persist largely because of these traits, while other megafauna-dispersed species that lacked them may have gone extinct.

Plants with megafauna fruit in Central and North America typically have restricted distributions in lowlands and flood plains, reflecting their current reliance on gravity and water to move large seeds (Janzén & Martin 1982; Barlow 2000). There are also indications of extinction of large-fruited species that were widespread in the Pleistocene, such as in the genus *Maclura*, which declined from several species to a single narrowly distributed survivor, the Osage orange *Maclura pomifera* (Barlow 2000). Lee et al. (in press) argue that many New Zealand herbs fit Janzen’s (1984) syndrome of small-fruited plants dependent for seed dispersal on incidental consumption of seeds by large foliviore, and note that a high proportion of these are now threatened with extinction.

These observations suggest that, in many parts of the world, vegetation communities are in various stages in a process of long-term relaxation from a megafauna-conditioned to a megafauna-naive state, due to initial decline and ultimate extinction of plants that had formerly interacted strongly with extinct large herbivores. Possibly, this process could be reconstructed by comparisons of the representation in plant communities of traits such as structural defences against large terrestrial browsers and megafaunal seed dispersal syndromes, in relation to time since megafauna extinction.

6. CONCLUSION

There is a vast literature on vegetation changes in the Quaternary, but surprisingly little of it has considered the effects of recently extinct large herbivores on vegetation, or investigated the consequences for vegetation dynamics of megafaunal extinction. There are probably several reasons for this. The argument over whether the megafaunal extinctions had a human or environmental cause has confused the issue. Some extinctions happened at times of rapid climate change. Any changes in vegetation that coincided with extinction are perhaps too readily attributed to changes in temperature, rainfall or atmospheric CO2. This thinking has often gone further, to conclude that extinction of megafauna was a consequence of vegetation change, as if even powerful creatures such as mammoths were sensitively and helplessly subject to climate-driven shifts in vegetation.

There is, however, evidence of significant changes in the structure, composition and pattern of plant communities following megafaunal extinctions, and reason to think that the ecological aftershocks of those extinctions are still with us. Megafaunal extinction was apparently not coincident with extinction are perhaps too readily attributed to rapid climate change. Any changes in vegetation that coincided with extinction are perhaps too readily attributed to climate-driven shifts in vegetation.

plant communities, we need to re-imagine them with their full complement of Pleistocene megafauna. This insight should also provide the foundation for ecological restoration, which should aim to reintroduce interactions between large herbivores and vegetation where that is still possible (Galetti 2004; Donlan et al. 2006).
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REFERENCES


Owen, R. 1861 Palaeontology, or, a systematic study of extinct animals and their geological relations. Edinburgh, UK: Adam and Charles Black.


