A new angle on clinging in geckos: incline, not substrate, triggers the deployment of the adhesive system
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Lizards commonly climb in complex three-dimensional habitats, and gekkotans are particularly adept at doing this by using an intricate adhesive system involving setae on the ventral surface of their digits. However, it is not clear whether geckos always deploy their adhesive system, given that doing so may result in decreased (i.e. reduction in speed) locomotor performance. Here, we investigate circumstances under which the adhesive apparatus of clinging geckos becomes operative, and examine the potential trade-offs between speed and clinging. We quantify locomotor kinematics of a gecko with adhesive capabilities (Tarentola mauritanica) and one without (Eublepharis macularius). Whereas, somewhat unusually, E. macularius did not suffer a decrease in locomotor performance with an increase in incline, T. mauritanica exhibited a significant decrease in speed between the level and a 10° incline. We demonstrate that this results from the combined influence of slope and the deployment of the adhesive system. All individuals kept their digits hyperextended on the level, but three of the six individuals deployed their adhesive system on the 10° incline, and they exhibited the greatest decrease in velocity. The deployment of the adhesive system was dependent on incline, not surface texture (600 grit sandpaper and Plexiglas), despite slippage occurring on the level Plexiglas substrate. Our results highlight the type of sensory feedback (gravity) necessary for deployment of the adhesive system, and the trade-offs associated with adhesion.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Among vertebrates, lizards are incredibly adept at moving through complex three-dimensional habitats (Higham et al. 2001; Higham & Jayne 2004; Mattingly & Jayne 2004). Whereas many lizards employ claws or prehensile feet to cling to the substrate, geckos are noteworthy among relatively large animals (body mass range 1–50 g) in being able to temporarily and reversibly bond with substrata (Dellit 1934; Hiller 1968; Maderson 1970; Autumn et al. 2000) that range from the microscopically smooth (Autumn et al. 2002) to the macroscopically rough (Russell & Johnson 2007). They do so by employing microscopic integumentary outgrowths (setae) on the ventral surfaces of the digits (Russell 2002) that are controlled by a hierarchy of anatomical components (Russell 1975, 2002). Adhesion occurs through the creation of high attachment forces generated via a combination of Van der Waals bonding (Autumn et al. 2000) and shear-based friction (Autumn et al. 2006a; Tian et al. 2006). The deployment of the adhesive system is integrated with locomotor kinematics, allowing for controllable attachment and release (Autumn et al. 2006b).

Recent investigations (Autumn et al. 2006b; Chen et al. 2006) demonstrated that pad-bearing geckos exhibit major energetic differences when moving on horizontal versus vertical surfaces. Potentially implicated in these changes is the deployment of the adhesive system and the concomitant clinging that is enabled. In vertical locomotion, Autumn et al. (2006b) reported that the digits of Hemidactylus unfurled onto the locomotor surface subsequent to heel strike and were hyperextended, effecting release of the adhesive system, prior to any heel movement. The time taken to attach and detach was rapid (5 ± 2 and 15 ± 4 ms, respectively) and attachment occupied 12.7 per cent of stance time (Autumn et al. 2006b). Digital action in the same species during horizontal running was, however, not reported (Chen et al. 2006). Differences in locomotor energetics on horizontal and vertical surfaces (Autumn et al. 2006b; Chen et al. 2006) are suggestive of a trade-off in locomotor performance in the transition from level running to climbing. This may be related to the deployment of the adhesive system in climbing and the additional level of control this necessitates.

To explore these hypotheses, we investigated circumstances under which the adhesive apparatus of clinging geckos becomes operative, and examined the potential trade-offs between speed and clinging. To do this, we quantified aspects of locomotor kinematics of a clinging gecko (Tarentola mauritanica) and a primitively padless gekkoton (Eublepharis macularius) moving on horizontal and inclined surfaces that provided either good or minimal traction. We did this to ascertain whether mechanical grip or the perception of changes in the vector of gravitational loading is primarily responsible for initiating the use of the adhesive system. Furthermore, we determined
how incline running is accommodated by a clinging and a non-clinging gekkotan on surfaces that provide different degrees of traction.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

(a) Animals
Six Moorish geckos (T. mauritanica—average mass 8.0 ± 0.8 g and snout-vent length 57.8 ± 2.7 mm) and five juvenile leopard geckos (E. macularius—average mass 5.2 ± 0.4 g, snout-vent length 61.0 ± 0.7 mm) were obtained from a commercial supplier.

(b) Experimental protocol
We used a 1 m long, 10 cm wide wooden trackway, on the surface of which was mounted 600 grit aluminium oxide sandpaper or Plexiglas to provide a high-friction and smooth (presumed low-friction) surface, respectively. A single high-speed video camera (Photron APX-RS) was used to capture the movements of the lizards at 500 fps (1024 × 1024 pixels). The camera was oriented lateral to the trackway, and a mirror mounted at a 45° angle above the trackway provided a dorsal view of the lizard. The trackway was initially placed horizontally (0° of inclination), and was then raised to 10° and 30° of inclination for subsequent trials.

(c) Data analysis
The tip of the snout was digitized using DlTdataViewer3 (Hedrick 2008) in MatLab in order to obtain displacement. Velocity was calculated as the derivative of the displacement data. The extremely low noise in the velocity data obviated the need for smoothing. Comparisons between species and conditions were assessed using parametric t-tests and analyses of variance (the data were normally distributed).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

When running horizontally on the high-friction surface (600 grit aluminium oxide sandpaper), T. mauritanica averaged 80.0 ± 10.0 cm s⁻¹ (figure 1a), a velocity that compares favourably with that of other pad-bearing geckos on horizontal surfaces (60–180 cm s⁻¹ for Gekko gecko (Zaaf et al. 2001a,b)), but is considerably slower than the speeds of fast runners such as Callisaurus draconoides (354 ± 17.0 to 420 ± 10.0 cm s⁻¹), Uma scoparia (390 ± 10.0 to 400 ± 20.0 cm s⁻¹) and Aspidoscelis tigris (370 ± 5.0 cm s⁻¹) (Irschick & Jayne 1998, 1999; Jayne & Ellis 1998). The speed of T. mauritanica, a pad-bearing gecko, was significantly faster, however, than that of the primitively padless and slow-moving Leopard gecko (E. macularius) traversing the same trackway (average velocity 16.0 ± 2.0 cm s⁻¹; figure 1a). High-speed videography revealed that T. mauritanica achieved these velocities running with the subdigital pads held in a permanently hyperextended configuration. This posture noticeably shortens the portion of the foot able to transmit thrust to the substratum and is contributory to the smaller duty factor in this species (figure 1b) when compared with Eublepharis moving on level terrain (50.8 ± 4 versus 64.3 ± 2%). The duty factor for Hemidactylus garnotii, another pad-bearing gecko, running on level terrain was approximately 44 per cent (Chen et al. 2006). It is not known if the digits of Hemidactylus were held in a permanently hyperextended state (but it is likely that they were). Stance duration for Tarentola and Eublepharis (figure 1c) on level terrain is directly reflective of the difference in velocity achieved by these two taxa.

When Tarentola and Eublepharis were run on the smooth surface (Plexiglas) positioned horizontally, both incurred severe slippage of the feet, especially so for the hind limbs and most evidently at push-off in the transition from stance to swing. Forward progress was severely hampered. We confirmed that Tarentola was able to cling to this Plexiglas sheet when oriented vertically. Thus, the lack of traction in running is accounted for by the failure to deploy the adhesive system and not by an inability to cling. Data for Tarentola and Eublepharis moving on the smooth horizontal surface indicate that velocity decreased by 51 and 7 per cent, respectively (figure 1d), but duty factor and stance duration did not change significantly (figure 1e,f). Reduced velocity is explained by slippage, not gait characteristics. Lack of traction was not a sufficient stimulus, however, to trigger deployment of the adhesive system in Tarentola.

When challenged to move on a slope of 10°, the average velocity of Eublepharis remained relatively unchanged on the high-friction surface (figure 1a), whereas the average velocity of Tarentola fell markedly to 63 per cent of that attained on the same surface in a horizontal orientation (figure 1a). Of significance in our observations was the fact that three of the six Tarentola deployed their adhesive system. Those that did so exhibited a much greater decrease in velocity (achieving only 46% of the speed attained on the level surface—figure 1a, dark grey bars) than those that did not (attaining 81% of the speed on the level surface—figure 1a, cross-hatched bars), highlighting the additional cost (i.e. decreased locomotor speed) associated with the activation of the adhesive system. The individuals that did not deploy the adhesive system exhibited a similar value for the decline in performance as that for the more rapid (compared with E. macularius) eublepharid, Coleonyx brevis (Farley 1997), on a similar incline (10 versus 20°). Those that did deploy the adhesive apparatus exhibited a much more drastic decline in performance.

Eublepharis was not able to move on the smooth surface inclined at 10° (figure 1d–f). Tarentola was much less impacted, and those individuals that employed their adhesive apparatus performed better than those that did not (average velocity 51.5 versus 38.4 cm s⁻¹) (figure 1d). This clearly demonstrates that deploying the adhesive system enhances locomotor ability on surfaces that furnish less traction (velocity achieved being 131% of that attained on the horizontally oriented smooth surface—figure 1d). The individuals that did not deploy the adhesive system achieved a similar velocity (91%) to that on the horizontal smooth surface (figure 1d). All individuals deployed their adhesive system on the 30° incline (figure 1d). Duty factor (figure 1b,e) shows an increase for Tarentola in relation to increasing slope. This is more pronounced for the smooth surface (figure 1e). Stance duration (figure 1e,f) remained essentially unchanged for Tarentola regardless of surface type or inclination, indicating a temporally consistent pattern for foot placement and release. This provides a stable interval into which the deployment of the adhesive apparatus can be inserted when operative. Further illustration of this is provided by the unchanging percentage of stance...
occupied by the adhesive phase of the cycle when the subdigital pads are deployed (figure 2).

Our results reveal that the adhesive apparatus is activated on inclined surfaces, but not on smooth surfaces that are not inclined, even though Tarentola experienced significant amounts of slippage of the feet in such situations, resulting in greatly reduced sprinting velocity. At an incline of 10°, 50 per cent of the Tarentola engaged their adhesive apparatus on both the high-friction and smooth surfaces, indicating that such an angle lies close to the threshold for the perception of gravitational effects that differ from those experienced in horizontal running. This probably provides the feedback that initiates a differential neural response, resulting in the unfurling of the digits, and the associated generation of the perpendicular pre-load necessary for initiating adhesive contact of the
The shift in the pattern of digital usage in Tarentola is associated with these postural changes, the added locomotor demand of controlling the attachment and detachment of the adhesive apparatus and the regulation of the fixed time of contact of the subdigital pads. On the high-friction surface, Eublepharis maintained a similar velocity on the 30° incline as it did at 10°, indicating that it had not reached the maximum output of its locomotor apparatus (Farley 1997), but exhibited an increased duty factor and a decreased stance duration, indicative of shorter strides being taken. Eublepharis was unable to scale the smooth surface inclined at 10° or 30°. On the high-friction surface, Eublepharis suffered no decrease in velocity and showed no appreciable change in duty factor or stance duration when scaling a 10° incline. Thus, the switch of behaviour in Tarentola was accompanied by a change in body posture, with the individuals keeping the body much closer to the substratum. These findings have implications not only for the patterns of deployment of the clinging apparatus of geckos as they scale surfaces of a variety of inclinations, but also for why the adhesive apparatus may become reduced in relation to secondary terrestriality (Johnson et al. 2005; Lamb & Bauer 2006). Carriage of the digits in a permanently hyperextended state while moving on horizontal surfaces has led to a distal displacement of the adhesive apparatus (Russell 1976), resulting in longer proximal portions of the digits being able to contribute to performance in horizontal sprinting (Bauer et al. 1996; Johnson & Russell 2009). In extreme cases, this has resulted in complete reduction of the external manifestation of the adhesive apparatus (Russell 1976).

Recognition that sensory feedback is crucial to the deployment of the clinging apparatus provides new impetus for understanding the neural control of gekkotan adhesion. A switching point at approximately 10° of slope seems to trigger this locomotor transition. At any angle other than 0°, gravitational loading will be perceived differently by the vestibular apparatus and the digits will be physically loaded along their long axes if aligned with the pull of gravity. Up to an inclination of 90°, this gravitationally mediated stimulus will be effective. There is much yet to be learned about how geckos control neuromuscular output in relation to changing sensory feedback conditions.
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