Explosive eversion and functional morphology of the duck penis supports sexual conflict in waterfowl genitalia
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Coevolution of male and female genitalia in waterfowl has been hypothesized to occur through sexual conflict. This hypothesis raises questions about the functional morphology of the waterfowl penis and the mechanics of copulation in waterfowl, which are poorly understood. We used high-speed video of phallus eversion and histology to describe for the first time the functional morphology of the avian penis. Eversion of the 20 cm muscovy duck penis is explosive, taking an average of 0.36 s, and achieving a maximum velocity of 1.6 m s⁻¹. The collagen matrix of the penis is very thin and not arranged in an axial-orthogonal array, resulting in a penis that is flexible when erect. To test the hypothesis that female genital novelties make intromission difficult during forced copulations, we investigated penile eversion into glass tubes that presented different mechanical challenges to eversion. Eversion occurred successfully in a straight tube and a counterclockwise spiral tube that matched the chirality of the waterfowl penis, but eversion was significantly less successful into glass tubes with a clockwise spiral or a 135° bend, which mimicked female vaginal geometry. Our results support the hypothesis that duck vaginal complexity functions to exclude the penis during forced copulations, and coevolved with the waterfowl penis via antagonistic sexual conflict.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Sexual conflict is a common consequence of the differences in the reproductive interests of the sexes (Parker 1979), and it can result in antagonistic coevolution in genital morphology (Arnqvist & Rowe 2002; Morrow & Arnqvist 2003; Hosken & Stockley 2004). Recently, we described the first comparative evidence of coevolution in vertebrate genital morphology from male and female waterfowl, and proposed that waterfowl genital morphologies have coevolved through antagonistic sexual conflict (Brennan et al. 2007).

Waterfowl are among the few birds that have a penis (King 1981; Briskie & Montgomerie 1997). Penis length and surface elaboration are correlated with frequency of forced copulations among waterfowl species (Coker et al. 2002). Forced copulations can be very common in some waterfowl (McKinney et al. 1983), and may be facilitated by having an intromittent phallus (Briskie & Montgomerie 1997; Coker et al. 2002). Forced copulations result in overt sexual conflict between males and females to control fertilization. This conflict appears to have generated sexually antagonistic genital coevolution (Brennan et al. 2007), where the sexes evolve traits that allow them to control the outcome of fertilization (e.g. Parker 2006). The vaginas of females of some species of waterfowl have blind-ending pouches and a series of spirals that are opposite to the chirality of the corkscrew-shaped penis (figure 1a) (Brennan et al. 2007). We hypothesized that these anatomical structures can block and/or delay the progress of the phallus as it everts inside the vagina (Brennan et al. 2007).

Surprisingly, little is known about the functional morphology of the avian penis under natural conditions (King 1981). However, the basic anatomy of the avian penis is well described, and is characterized by several distinct and evolutionary derived features. At rest, the penis is kept inverted (i.e. outside-in) within the phallic sac (saccus phallicus) in the ventral wall of the cloaca. Unlike other amniotes, the erectile mechanism of the avian penis is lymphatic rather than vascular (Gerhardt 1933). During eversion, lymph accumulates in two lymphatic cavities at the base of the cloaca and enters a lymphatic lumen inside the penis forcing it out of the phallic sac (Gerhardt 1933). In waterfowl, males do not have an erection prior to copulation. Rather, intromission is accomplished by eversion of the penis into female reproductive tract. In birds and reptiles, semen is transported along the penis in an external groove (sulcus spermaticus), instead of an enclosed urethra as in mammals (figure 1a). However, during manual eversion, semen flows from the base of the penis, rather than from its tip at the end of the sulcus (apex phallicus), and therefore there have been questions over whether the sulcus is functional (King 1981).

The erect penises of mammals and turtles are stiff hydrostats supported by axial-orthogonal layers of inextensible collagen fibres (Kelly 2002, 2004; Babinski et al. 2005). Unlike mammals and turtles, the waterfowl...
penis is flexible when erect, suggesting that the collagen fibres are not arranged in axial-orthogonal arrays. Flexible hydrostats such as sea anemones or earthworms are generally reinforced with cross-helical arrays of fibres (Koehl et al. 2000).

Here, we used high-speed video to describe the natural eversion in male muscovy duck (Cairina moschata) and histology to examine the collagen fibres of the flexible hydrostatic tissue of a duck penis. Further, we observed penis eversion into glass tubes of different shapes to test the hypothesis that derived vaginal morphologies of female waterfowl present physical challenges to intromission of the penis.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

(a) Observations

We studied the penile eversion of muscovy drakes at a commercial duck farm in California. Drakes were trained to provide semen for artificial insemination for commercial production (Sellier et al. 2005). During the spring, drakes were kept in individual cages, and four days a week sperm was collected. A female muscovy was introduced into a cage and the drake was allowed to mount the female. As the male treaded on the back of the female, the swelling of the paired lymphatic bodies on either side of the male cloaca and the lymph flow into the base of the left fibrolymphatic body were observed. Once the cloaca swelling indicated that the male was ready to copulate, the duck handler held a glass container up to the male cloaca and touched the paired lymphatic bodies on the sides of the cloaca, triggering penile eversion and ejaculation into the container. We measured the straight length of the penis of 15 males immediately after ejaculation using a handheld ruler.

Penile eversions of 56 muscovy ducks were filmed with a high-speed video camera (AOS X-PR2; 1280 × 1024 pixel resolution) at 250 frames per second using a 50 mm Navitar lens and illuminated with a 500 W halogen lamp (Lowel V). Each male was filmed only once. Eversion into the air was recorded 11 times, while eversion into mechanical challenges was recorded 45 times. The velocity of eversion could only be estimated for those observations in which the penis was approximately parallel to the ruler (in air) and continuously in view (in air and all mechanical barriers). Therefore, our sample sizes from the video analyses vary, but actual sample sizes accompany each statistical test. After eversion/ejaculation, we video recorded the reversion of the penis back into the cloaca using a Canon Powershot SD1100 IS digital video camera (n = 13).

(b) Mechanical challenges

We video recorded duck penile eversion into glass tubes of four different shapes to examine functional response to different mechanical challenges (figure 1b). Each glass tube had a 15 mm outer diameter, 2.5 mm wall thickness and 10 mm inner diameter. The first tube was straight. The second was an anticlockwise spiral that matched the direction of the spiral of the waterfowl penis. The third and fourth tubes were designed to present physical challenges to eversion that are similar to those produced by coevolved waterfowl vaginal morphologies (Brennan et al. 2007). The third tube was a clockwise spiral in the opposite direction of the duck penis. This tube was the same diameter as the third tube, but spiraled in the opposite direction. The fourth tube had a 135° bend, 2 cm from the tube entrance, similar to the distance to the female's first blind pouch. Prior to testing, each glass tube was coated with mineral oil. The time to completion of eversion was quantified from high-speed video. Eversion was considered successful if the tip of the penis (apex phalli) was completely exposed and the penis had not folded back onto itself. We compared the rates of successful eversion between the control and each mechanical barrier using two-tailed Fisher exact tests.

(c) Video analysis

Time estimates for all observations were made by counting frames of the high-speed video. We calculated differences in speed of eversion along the length of the penis using a marked straight glass tube (0.5 cm scale). We estimated the instantaneous erection velocity by digitizing the position of the tip of the penis over time as it everted through the straight glass tube (n = 8 videos), relative to the tick marks. Speed of ejaculate was estimated by digitizing the position of
individual semen droplets over time in air. Only videos \((n = 3)\) in which the penis tip was relatively immobile, and both the ruler and apparent ejaculate trajectory were approximately coincident with the image plane were used. All data are reported as average \(\pm 95\%\) confidence interval.

(d) Histology

Following Kelly (1997), we injected the penis of two fresh specimens of male mallard (\(Anas platyrhynchos\)) with saline solution and preserved them in 10 per cent buffered formalin for histology sectioning. Specimens were embedded in paraffin; 5 \(\mu\)m thick histological sections were cut and stained with Masson trichrome to visualize the collagen fibres (blue). We examined 40 histological transverse cross sections and 15 longitudinal sections of the penis at different regions including the base close to the cloaca, the midpoint and close to the tip.

3. RESULTS

(a) Eversion, ejaculation and repositioning

Full eversion of the waterfowl penis was explosive (see video S1V in the electronic supplementary material). Domestic muscovy drakes have an average penis length of 19.23 \(\pm 0.70\) cm \((n = 15)\). Measured in air, eversion of the penis occurred in 0.346 \(\pm 0.07\) s, with a mean speed of 1.3 m s\(^{-1}\) \((n = 8)\), about 60 times faster than previously reported (20 s; King 1981). The average time of penis eversion was no different between the air and a straight glass tube (0.36 \(\pm 0.026\) s, \(n = 9\) ).

There are two distinct regions in the duck penis that differ in the curvature of the anticlockwise coils (figure 2a), and they evert at different speeds as measured in the straight glass tubes. The basal region of tight coils (approx. 7–8 cm long) everts on a linear path with an average linear velocity of 0.92 m s\(^{-1}\) (time to evert: 0.16 \(\pm 0.026\) s, \(n = 9\) ). The apical region of more open coils (approx. 11–12 cm long) everts following a more circuitous path with an average linear velocity of 1.6 m s\(^{-1}\) (time to evert: 0.186 \(\pm 0.048\) s, \(n = 6\) ) (figure 2b). Ejaculation is synchronized with maximum eversion and ejaculate velocity in air was 0.75–1.56 m s\(^{-1}\).

Reversion began immediately (less than 1 s) after ejaculation. The tip of the penis inverted, and the male began pulsating the cloacal muscles and withdrawing the base of the penis into the cloaca. Reversion of the penis back inside their cloaca took an average of 124 \(\pm 53.27\) s, \(n = 13\), or more than 190 times longer than eversion.

(b) Mechanical challenges

There were no significant differences between successful eversion in the control straight tube and eversion inside of an anticlockwise spiral. Males were able to evert in both of these tubes in the majority of the trials \((n = 9/1\) and 7/2; two-tailed Fisher \(p = 0.58\)). The three instances of unsuccessful eversion during these ‘easy’ challenges \((n = 3)\) were caused by the penis tip turning back in the wrong direction in the apical region just before it was fully everted. As predicted, there were significant differences between eversion in the control and eversion in the female-like challenges, where the penis did not fully evert.
in 12 of 15 trials (clockwise spiral: 6/7, and 135° angle: 6/8; two-tailed Fisher, $p = 0.003$ and 0.01, respectively) (see video S2V in the electronic supplementary material). The penis was completely blocked in the basal region ($n = 7$), or continued evertting back upon itself in the wrong direction after a brief pause ($n = 5$). When the penis successfully everted in these female-like challenges, eversion took longer than the average in the straight tube (0.516 and 0.812 s versus 0.36 s, respectively).

Mechanical barriers did not prevent ejaculation. Even if the tip of the penis was not fully everted, semen was always ejaculated from the exposed end of the *sulcus spermaticus* at any point along the penis length. Only 2 of 56 observed eversions did not end in ejaculation.

(c) **Female behaviour**

While males were treading on the backs of the females prior to eversion, the female muscovy ducks showed multiple indications of sexual receptivity. Females assumed the well-described pre-copulatory posture with the body prone, and the tail lifted high. Females also contracted and relaxed their cloacal muscles at a rate of 41 times min$^{-1}$ ($\pm 7.49$, $n = 5$) (see video S3V in the electronic supplementary material).

(d) **Histology**

The fluid-filled lumen of the duck penis occupies a proportionally larger cross-sectional area than the collagen fibres (figure 3a), the opposite to the pattern present in mammals. The large lymphatic lumen of the waterfowl penis is surrounded by a thin layer of collagen fibres only 200–300 μm thick (figure 3a). This collagen layer is composed of two distinct layers: an inner layer (40–50 μm thick) next to the lumen which is made of circumferential fibres that encircle the lumen, and an outer layer (150–250 μm thick) that lacks any recognizable organization in either cross- or longitudinal sections (figure 3b,c). This collagen organization is distinctly different from the mammal and turtle penis, where the collagen fibres surrounding the lumen are arranged in axial-orthogonal layers. The surface of the penis is covered with keratinized ridges and spines. The ridges run around the circumference of the penis, but vary in precise position and orientation on the outer surface of the spiral. The spines are oriented with their tips facing backward towards the base of the penis.

4. **DISCUSSION**

(a) **Sexual conflict**

Our experiments support the hypothesis that the convoluted vaginal morphologies that have coevolved with penis size in various duck lineages present physical, mechanical barriers to the full eversion of the waterfowl penis. The straight and anticlockwise spiral tubes provided minimal barriers to the progress of eversion. However, the clockwise spiral tube and the 135° angle tube—which mimic geometric aspects of the mechanical challenges present in female duck vaginas—created significant physical barriers to eversion. The two spiral tubes we used differ only in the chirality of the spiral, supporting an antagonistic function for vaginal morphologies that conflict with, rather than match, the natural spiral of the duck penis.

Although female-like mechanical challenges prevented full eversion, they did not prevent ejaculation. Semen was always ejaculated from the exposed end of the external sperm channel (*sulcus spermaticus*) regardless of the extent of eversion. Males that are prevented from fully evverting inside the oviduct will deposit sperm at lower positions in the reproductive tract. Our observations support the hypothesis that novelties in waterfowl vaginal morphology can restrict forced intromission, and prevent the deposition of sperm deep within the reproductive tract where it would be more likely to achieve fertilization.

We hypothesize that the complex vaginal morphologies function during forced copulations and not during receptive copulations. Observations confirm that behaviour of sexually receptive female muscovy ducks includes several features that may make full intromission easier. Females assumed a characteristic receptive posture with the body prone and the tail lifted high to expose the cloaca (McKinney 1992). Females repeatedly contracted and relaxed their cloacal muscles, similar to contractions that allow passage of an egg down the oviduct (Shimada & Asai 1978). Contractions during receptive copulations
Our observations document that the *S. spermaticus* forms an efficient channel for sperm transport. Semen is ejected from the tip of the penis at a speed of up to 1.6 m s\(^{-1}\). Ejaculation is exactly timed relative to the contraction of the muscles of the lymphatic bodies to ensure that it takes place at the brief moment of maximum eversion. This mechanism differs from that found in mammals and turtles, where either thrusting or the establishment of a genital lock is required for ejaculation to take place (e.g. Davis & Jackson 1970; Dewsbury 1975).

The distinct basal and apical regions of the duck penis that we describe here correlate with the structure of the female vagina. The length of the female region of blind pockets (7 cm) is similar to that of the basal region of the penis (7–8 cm), whereas the length of the region with spirals (11.5 cm) is similar to the apical region (11–12 cm) (P. Brennan 2009, unpublished data). The different shape between these two distinct regions may have functional significance within the context of copulation. In addition, the shape and position of the keratinized ridges, bumps and spines on the surface of waterfowl penis suggests that they engage the surface of the lumen of the vagina and function mechanically in preventing the penis from slipping during eversion. The unrealistic rigid surfaces of the glass tubes prevent these mechanical interactions during our observations. However, the glass tubes allow us to test the hypothesis that the shapes found in the female vagina make eversion of the penis more difficult.

Unlike the stiff hydrostat penis of mammals and turtles, the duck penis functions as a flexible hydrostat, and remains flexible when fully everted. The curved, flexible penis of male waterfowl apparently functions in the navigation of the inside of the folded female vagina during rapid eversion. The collagen matrix that surrounds the central lumen of the penis lacks the axial-orthogonal layers that provide rigidity in mammal and turtle erections (Kelly 2004). However, we did not find the typical arrangement of cross-helical fibres of flexible hydrostats in the duck penis. Instead, we found a circumferential inner collagen layer (at a 90° angle from the longitudinal axis of the penis), and a second outer layer that was not organized in a discernable pattern. This unusual organisation could be related to spiral shape of the waterfowl penis. Hydrostatic cross-helical skeletons usually do not twist or spiral when they extend because when the fibres perfectly oppose one another, they cause the clockwise and anticlockwise torques to balance (Koehl et al. 2000; Wolgemuth et al. 2005). In the waterfowl penis, when pressurized lymph is forced into the penis, the inner circumferential collagen layer probably restricts the expansion of the lymphatic lumen to a fixed maximum diameter, thereby forcing additional lymph towards the apical portions of the penis. The outer collagen layer in the duck penis may also contribute to the apical portion’s spiral shape. A biomechanical model for the development of spiral bacteria suggests that unbalanced torque between ‘sub-orthogonal’ fibres results in a spiral shape; for example, if stretch-resistant fibres all wrap helically in the same direction, resulting in a spiral shape when the interior is pressurized (Wolgemuth et al. 2005). More data are required to test this mechanism in the waterfowl penis. In addition to collagen, β-keratin in the epithelium of the penis probably offers additional
structural support for the hydrostatic skeleton of the waterfowl penis, as keratin is an inextensible polymer.

The functional novelties of copulation in waterfowl include a combination of features that have evolved in earlier avian ancestors and those that have probably evolved within waterfowl. The early evolutionary origin of the flexible hydrostat avian penis was probably coincident with the evolution of lymphatic erection and the associated loss of the mechanical capacity to maintain higher pressure in the hydraulic lumen of the penis for extended periods of time.
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