Biting through constraints: cranial morphology, disparity and convergence across living and fossil carnivorous mammals
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Carnivory has evolved independently several times in eutherian (including placental) and metatherian (including marsupial) mammals. We used geometric morphometrics to assess convergences associated with the evolution of carnivory across a broad suite of mammals, including the eutherian clades Carnivora and Creodonta and the metatherian clades Thylacooleoids, Dasyuromorphia, Didelphidae and Borhyaenidea. We further quantified cranial disparity across eutherians and metatherians to test the hypothesis that the marsupial mode of reproduction has constrained their morphological evolution. This study, to our knowledge the first to extensively sample pre-Pleistocene taxa, analysed 30 three-dimensional landmarks, focused mainly on the facial region, which were digitized on 130 specimens, including 36 fossil taxa. Data were analysed with principal components (PC) analysis, and three measures of disparity were compared between eutherians and metatherians. PC1 showed a shift from short to long faces and seemed to represent diet and ecology. PC2 was dominated by the unique features of sabre-toothed forms: dramatic expansion of the maxilla at the expense of the frontal bones. PC3, in combination with PC1, distinguished metatherians and eutherians. Metatherians, despite common comparisons with felids, were more similar to caniforms, which was unexpected for taxa such as the sabre-toothed marsupial Thylacosmilus. Contrary to previous studies, metatherian carnivores consistently exhibited disparity which exceeded that of the much more speciose eutherian carnivore radiations, refuting the hypothesis that developmental constraints have limited the morphological evolution of the marsupial cranium.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The repeated evolution of hypercarnivory in mammals provides an ideal system for the study of ecomorphological convergence across temporally, biogeographically and reproductively diverse clades. Hypercarnivory has evolved at least twice in eutherian mammals (the clade including placental mammals and their stem relatives), in the extinct order Creodonta (although this may be a polyphyletic clade) and the familiar and extant clade Carnivora [1]. Within Metatheria (the clade including marsupials and their stem relatives), hypercarnivory has evolved in at least three clades, the Australian diprotodontian clade Thylacooleoids, the Australian Dasyuromorphia and the South American Borhyaenidea, including thylacosmilids [2]. Qualitative comparisons within and across these clades are popular, with many of the metatherian carnivores given familiar names that refer to placentals (e.g. ‘marsupial lion’ (Thylacooleos carnifex) or Tasmanian wolf or tiger (Thylacinus cynocephalus).

In addition to ecomorphological analyses, comparisons among eutherians and metatherians can be used to test long-standing hypotheses of developmental constraints on marsupial evolution. Marsupials are born after a short period of gestation, in some cases as little as a few weeks after conception, and the neonate is equipped with only well-developed oral apparatus, to suckle, and forelimbs, to perform the crawl to the pouch [3–15]. This early ossification has been demonstrated to have constrained the morphological evolution of the forelimb across marsupials [10], but has not been explicitly tested in the cranium. Specifically, it can be hypothesized that marsupial cranial morphology is limited in its evolutionary potential, relative to that of placental mammals, by the well-established early development of cranial structures (e.g. dentary, pre-maxilla and maxilla bones) that are necessary to support suckling in the highly altricial marsupial neonate [3].

There have been a few studies directly comparing cranial morphology of extant placental and marsupial carnivores using quantitative approaches. Werdelin [16] conducted a morphometric analysis of six dasyuromorphians and 34 placental carnivores, using 11 linear measurements of the cranium and mandible. He identified similarities between T. cynocephalus and the red fox, Vulpes vulpes, as well as between the Tasmanian devil (Sarcophilus harrisii) and Hyae-nidae. He also noted that carnivorous dasyuromorphians display comparable variability to a single family of Carnivora. In a follow-up paper concentrating on the masticatory...
apparatus, Werdelin [17] suggested that the lower variability, particularly in the post-canine dentition and jaw morphology, observed in carnivorous marsupials relative to placentals carnivorans, may be owing to the dental developmental pattern of marsupials. Specifically, in dasyuromorphians, all molars erupt in the position of the main vertical slicing teeth, the carnassials, and then are pushed forward by the next erupting molar, which usurps the previous molar’s position as the main carnassial. For this reason, all of the molars in a dasyuromorph are specialized carnassial teeth. By contrast, only the last (fourth) upper premolar and first lower molar of a placentar carnivor can be specialized carnassials, with post-carnassial teeth either reduced in hypercarnivores, such as felids, or expanded for grinding, as in bears and other hypocarnivorous or herbivorous carnivorans. Thus, it has been argued that placentar carnivorans can maintain greater dental and ecological flexibility than their marsupial counterparts.

Correspondingly, tempo-biogeographic analysis has suggested that it is the radiation of large mammalian omnivores, rather than hypercarnivorous forms, that has been most constrained in both Australian and South American faunas, since at least late Oligocene times [2]. However, there is certainly capacity for some molar specialization among dasyuromorphians and borhyaeonoids, as shown by correlation between the length and alignment of vertical shearing blades and relative areas of talonid basins [18,19]. We also note that among Australian marsupials this dental constraint appears to have been circumvented by thylacoleonids [20] and probably propleopine kangaroos [18].

Among placentar carnivores, creodonts modified more of their post-canine dentition to function as carnassials, again losing their flexibility [21]. Recent studies [22,23] have also assessed the importance of dental flexibility within Carnivora, demonstrating that hypercarnivory and the associated reduction of post-carnassial dentition have resulted in lower morphological and taxonomic diversity in some carnivoran clades, e.g. felids. As all of these studies have focused on dental and mandibular traits, the specific question of constraints on cranial morphology has not yet been addressed.

A recent study of cranial morphology [24] involved three-dimensional geometric morphometric analysis of 43 species of carnivorans (30 species) and marsupials (13 species), including the Thylacoleoidea, Dasyuridae and Peramelidae. The results of their study demonstrated that there were clade-specific constraints, but that both clades showed similar patterns of morphological variation associated with diet. Specifically, the authors of that study found that skull shape, feeding behaviour and bite force were significantly correlated, although more so in the sampled marsupials than in the carnivorans. However, that study neither included pre-Pleistocene carnivorans nor any New World marsupials except for the extant Didelphis virginiana. As noted above, and detailed below, carnivory has evolved in many other clades of mammals, including placental Creodonta and metatherian Borhyaeonoidea. Here we expand on these previous, mainly neontological studies with geometric morphometric data for 36 fossil taxa, providing a broad sample of extinct members of the well-studied Carnivora and Australidelphia, as well as Creodonta, Borhyaeonoidea and Didelphoidea, to test if the patterns in cranial shape observed by Wroe & Milne [24] apply across carnivorous mammals. We specifically test for ecological convergences among extint forms without ecological counterparts in modern ecosystems, as well as using the broader sample of fossil and extant taxa to rigorously test the hypothesis that metatherian carnivorans are constrained in cranial morphology, relative to eutherian carnivorans, by the early development of the facial region necessitated by their mode of reproduction.

(a) Carnivorous metatherian clades

Dasyuromorphia, as the only extant marsupial clade to include hypercarnivorous species, has been best studied in diet and ecomorphology. Recent representatives are divided into three families [25,26]: Dasyuridae (15 genera), Thyacilidae (one genus), and Myrmecobiidae (one genus). The majority of dasyurids are small, marsupial ‘mice’ that are primarily insectivorous or prey on small vertebrates. There are six species of Dasyurus or ‘native cat’ whose diets comprise variable proportions of small- to medium-sized vertebrate and invertebrate prey, while a single extant genus, Sarcophilus, is known to prey or scavenge on large mammals. The recently extinct Tasmanian tiger, T. cynocephalus, was a larger predator, weighing around 20–30 kg, and the last of the thylacine radiation ranging back to the Oligocene and comprising at least eight genera [27]. The last known thylacine died in captivity in 1936. The monotypic extant numbat, Myrmecobius fasciatus, is a highly specialized insectivore with a greatly reduced dentition. Two species of peramelemorphs, a closely related clade of small to medium-sized insectivorous and omnivorous Australian marsupials, have also been included for comparison.

Another Australian clade, Diprotodontia, includes herbivorous kangaroos, koalas and wombats, but has also given rise to thylacoleonids (including the marsupial lion) and propleopines (giant rat-kangaroos). The three known genera of thylacoleonids, Priscileo, Wakaleo and Thylacoleo, ranged from the late Oligocene to Pleistocene and are probable members of the vombatiform clade [28]. The specializations associated with carnivory in thylacoleonids are remarkable. Instead of the large canines observed in most carnivorous mammals, thylacoleonids modified the enlarged incisors that they share with all diprotodontians into pointed, canine-like teeth. In more derived species, the cheek dentition consisted almost entirely of a single, massive slicing third premolar [20]. Relative to body size, these are the largest carnassials observed in any mammal. Along with this morphology, the most recent species, Thylacoleo carnifex, may have had the greatest bite force, adjusted for size, known among living and extinct mammals and may represent a highly specialized predator of large prey [29–32]. The propleopine kangaroo radiation included three genera ranging in body mass from around 6–47 kg [2,33,34]. Although less marked than in thylacoleonids, the P3 of this subfamily is also a high-crowned blade and varying degrees of carnivory have been inferred from differing relationships between vertical and horizontal shear [18].

The last two clades of metatherian carnivorans are the extinct Borhyaenoidae, which probably lies outside of crown Marsupialia, and the extant Didelphoidea. Borhyaenoids comprised a diverse radiation ranging from
the early Palaeocene to the late Pliocene of South America [35,36]. During this lengthy period of isolation, borhyaenoids evolved forms that resemble mustelids, bears, and even sabre-toothed felids in their morphology [37,38]. The largest taxon, Proborhyaena gigantea, had a body mass of up to 600 kg [39], and perhaps the most famous, Thylacosmilus atrox, was arguably the most specialized sabre-toothed mammal to have existed [40]. This animal may have had the unique feature of ever-growing canines with roots that extended above the orbit [35]. Extantidelphid marsupials, the best known being the species of opossum (Didelphis), are largely insectivorous or omnivorous, but at least one genus, Sparassomus, is thought to have included a number of more carnivorous species [41].

(b) Carnivorous eutherian clades

There are two major clades of carnivorous eutherians generally recognized: the extant Carnivora and the extinct Creodonta. Creodonts ranged from late Palaeocene to the late Miocene and were the dominant mammalian predators for much of the Cenozoic. Whether creodonts are monophyletic is still unresolved, but they are generally separated into two families, the broad-skulled Oxyaenidae and the more elongate-skulled Hyaenodotoidea. Hyaenodonts are particularly noteworthy for including some of the largest known terrestrial mammalian carnivores, such as Megistotherium osteothlastes, for which some body size estimates exceed 800 kg [42]. Because both creodonts and carnivores bear carnassial teeth, it has been suggested that they share a common ancestor. However, the molars of creodonts form the carnassials, while the carnassials of carnivores are a premolar–molar combination. It has long been suspected that competition with carnivores led to the eventual extinction of creodonts, which has been supported by some recent morphometric analyses [43].

The best-known mammalian carnivores are of course members of the extant clade Carnivora. Carnivora is one of the most speciose clades of mammals, with over 260 living species, and is generally divided into two major branches: Feliformia (including cats, linsangs, civets, mongooses, fossas, fanaloucs and hyenas) and Caniformia (encompassing dogs, bears, seals, sea lions, walruses, the red panda, raccoons, skunks, weasels, badgers, otters and wolverines) [1,44,45]. Carnivorans also have an excellent fossil record, with at least 355 extinct genera recognized and stem taxa dating back to the early Palaeocene [46–48]. In addition to representatives of the extant clade, several of the best-preserved fossils represent specialized carnivoran clades that are entirely extinct, including Nimravidae, the ‘false’ sabre-toothed cats, which are probably not closely related to true felids, and Amphicyonidae, the bear-dogs, which are caniforms of uncertain affinities.

Despite their name, carnivores are an ecologically diverse group, with specialized foliovores, including the giant panda, as well as insectivores, like the aardwolf. While the large-bodied cats, dogs, bears and hyenas are probably best known, the greater taxonomic and ecological diversity of carnivorans rests in small- to medium-sized members of the caniform Musteloidea (weasels, raccoons, red pandas, skunks, badgers and otters), as well as the feliform Viverridae (civets), Herpestidae (mongooses) and Eupleridae (Malagasy carnivores). Carnivora also include a diverse clade of aquatic mammals, the pinnipeds. The number of ecological convergences within Carnivora has provided a rich source for studies of morphological convergence [21,49]. Here, because we are interested in convergences associated with a carnivorous diet across mammals, we concentrate on the terrestrial carnivorous forms within Carnivora, but we also include some closely related more omnivorous or insectivorous forms for comparison.

Metatherians and eutherians diverged by 125 Ma, when their first fossil representatives appear in the record [50,51]. Sparassodonts probably diverged from other metatherians in the Late Cretaceous to earliest Palaeocene, with Didelphoidea diverging from other marsupials within a similar time frame [52]. Diprotodontia, including Thylacooleonidae, Peremelemorphia, and Dasyuromorphia are estimated to have diverged by the middle Eocene [53]. Creodonta and Carnivora must have diverged by the earliest Palaeocene, and, while stem carnivorans appear in the earliest Palaeocene [48], most of the living families, as well as Nimravidae and Amphicyonidae, first appear in the late Eocene, with crown divergence estimates around 42 Ma [54].

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

(a) Specimens

Specimens representing 62 species (table 1) in 10 extinct and extant carnivoran clades (Felidae, Viverridae, Herpestidae, Hyaenidae, Nimravidae, Amphicyonidae, Canidae, Ursidae, Procyonidae and Mustelidae), two hyaenodontid creodonts and 16 species in five metatherian clades (Thylacoleonidae, Dasyuromorphia (including Dasyuridae, Thylocinidae and Myrmecobiidae), Peramelidae, Didelphidae, Borhyaenoida) were studied. A total of 130 specimens (electronic supplementary material, table S1) were digitized, using an Immersion Microscribe three-dimensional digitizer (Immersion Corp., San Jose, CA, USA). For species in which multiple specimens were sampled, a mean shape was calculated and used in subsequent analyses. Because gender information is limited for many rare marsupial taxa, and impossible to obtain for most fossil taxa, we did not separate male and female specimens in analyses, and only adult specimens were used.

(b) Landmarks

Thirty three-dimensional landmarks were digitized, and every attempt was made to identify landmarks with clear homology, such as sutures and alveoli, across varied morphologies. Because the focus of this study was to assess convergences related to diet and feeding ecology, landmarks are focused on the facial, dental and zygomatic regions, as well as muscle attachment sites, such as the sagittal crest (figure 1; electronic supplementary material, table S2).

(c) Data analysis

Landmarks were subjected to Generalized Procrustes analysis, to remove the effects of rotation, translation and size [55]. Principal components (PC) analysis was then used to identify the major components of variation across taxa [56] and to assess ecomorphological convergences, based on position in morphospace, across the clades of interest. Analyses were conducted in MORPHOLOGIKA 2.5 [57]. Although unequal sampling of clades has the potential to skew analyses, we did
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not perform weighting or correction, primarily because the phylogenetic positions of many of the fossil taxa are currently ambiguous, complicating any attempt at phylogenetic correction. Because all of the clades overlap considerably in morphospace, we consider it unlikely that the larger sample of Carnivora is substantially skewing the results.

To test if metatherian carnivores are constrained in cranial morphology relative to their more speciose eutherian counterparts, we compared two measures of morphological disparity, variance and mean pairwise dissimilarity (MPD) [58,59], between both clades. Sample variance of the two groups (metatherians and eutherians) was calculated from Procrustes distances of specimens relative to the mean shape of each group and compared using Integrated Morphometrics Package Simple3D [60]. A delta variance permutation test, which randomly swaps residuals (900 repetitions, in this case) from the means of each group to assess whether the observed difference in variance between two groups differs from a random expectation, was used to assess significance. MPD, measured among all pairs of

**Table 1.** List of taxa used in analyses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eutheria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Creodonta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyaenodon leptocephalus*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pterodon dasyuroides*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carnivora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felidae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felis caracal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acinonyx jubatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panthera tigris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panthera pardus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panthera onca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panthera leo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neofelis nebulosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smilodon fatalis*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smilodon populator*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homotherium sp.*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panthera atrox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felis tisledorensis*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinofelis piveaeus*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinofelis barouei*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viverrida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paradoxurus hermaphroditus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arctictis binturong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viverricula indica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyaenidae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyaena brunnea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crocuta crocuta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteles cristatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pachycrocuta bellax*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyaena mahapami*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herpestidae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungos mungo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suricata suricatta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galerella sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herpestes ichneumoon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mustelidae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulo gulo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aonyx capensis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mellivora capensis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meles meles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procyonidae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procyon lotor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasua sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphipanthera brevirostris*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ursidae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ursus arctos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ursus americanus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ursus maritimus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ursus thibetanus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ursus spelaeus*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arctotherium sp.*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arctodus simus*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemicynon urimus*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canidae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otocyon megalotis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lycaon pictus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canis mesomelas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canis lupus domesticus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canis adustus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canis latrans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulpes chama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canis dirus*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dusicyon arvus*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theriodontis platensis*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protocyon scagharum*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Metatheria                           |
| Borhyaenoidea                         |
| Borhyaenidae                          |
| Arctictis sp.*                        |
| Thylacosmilidae                       |
| Thylacosmilus atrox*                  |
| Didelphimorphia                       |
| Didelphidae                           |
| Didelphis virginiana                  |
| Sparassocynus sp.*                    |
| Dasyuromorphia                        |
| Thylacinidae                          |
| Thylacinus cynocephalus*              |
| Dasyuridae                            |
| Sarcophilus harrisii                  |
| Dasyurus viverrinus                   |
| Dasyurus maculatus                    |
| Dasyurus geoffroyii                   |
| Barinya wagnera*                      |
| Nimbadicus dickson*                   |
| Myrmecobiidae                         |
| Myrmecobius fasciatus                 |
| Peramelemorphia                       |
| Peramelidae                           |
| Isoodon obesus                        |
| Macrotis lagotis                      |
| Diprotodontia                         |
| Thylacoleonidae                       |
| Thylacoleo carnifex*                  |
| Wakaleo vanderleurei*                 |

*Indicates extinct taxa.

(Continued.)
specimens, is more robust to sample size [59] and was calculated in two ways: (i) from partial Procrustes distances, which takes into account all of the variation in the dataset; and (ii) from Euclidean distances between species across the first five PCs, those representing approximately 5 per cent or more of the total variance in the dataset. Significances of both measures were assessed with a permutation test (1000 repetitions) used to assess significance, in Mathematica 7.0 (Wolfram Inc., Urbana, IL, USA).

3. RESULTS

The first PC explained 35.5 per cent of the variance, and represented a shift from a short, wide and high cat-like skull on the negative end to a long, narrow and flatter skull on the positive end (figure 2a). The negative end was unsurprisingly dominated by felids, both saber-toothed and conical-toothed, as well as some extant mustelids, such as Gulo gulo, the wolverine, and extinct bears, such as Arctodus simus, the giant short-faced bear. The positive end of PC1 was occupied exclusively by metatherians, primarily insectivorous peramelids and dasyurids. Metatherians and eutherians overlapped extensively in the central region of PC1. Among eutherians, most extant and extinct canids, creodonts, amphicyonids, procyonids and viverrids fell on the positive side of PC1, in the same region as most dasyurids, thylacoleonids and borhyaenids. The slightly negative region of PC1 was occupied mainly by extant and extinct hyaenids, herpestids, extant and extinct bears, nimravids, and some procyonids and mustelids, as well as extinct borhyaenids and thylacoleonids.

PC2 (13% of the total variance) was dominated by the extreme morphology of the sabre-toothed metatherian, Thylacosmilus, which defined the positive end of this axis (figure 2a). Sabre-toothed felids, not including metailurines, were also strongly positive on PC2, intermediate between Thylacosmilus and the other taxa. Metailurines were removed from their sabre-toothed relatives because their canines, while flattened, are not greatly elongated, probably reflecting ecological differences from the ‘fully’ sabre-toothed felids. Interestingly, Dinofelis piveteaui fell between the fully sabre-toothed felids and the conical-toothed cats, while the other metailurine, D. barlochi, sat among the conical-toothed felids, consistent with previous analyses of variation across Dinofelis species [61]. The third group of sabre-toothed forms, the nimravids, fell with most of the other taxa near the centre of PC2. The negative end of PC2 was occupied by viverrids and herpestids. The variance described by PC2 relates to relative cranial height, particularly in the anterior region, which is greatly enlarged in sabre-toothed forms. In particular, PC2 clearly showed the enlargement of the maxilla and nasal bones at the expense of the frontal bones in sabre-toothed forms.

PC3 represented 8.2 per cent of the variance and showed a shift from a broader, more robust skull with a shorter face on the negative end to a pointer, narrower skull on the positive end (figure 2b). Metatherians generally fell on the negative end of PC3, while the positive end was dominated by caniforms, in contrast to the similarity of their positions on PC2. There was much overlap among species on PC3, but in combination with PC1 (figure 2b) there was substantial separation of carnivoran and metatherian species, with the creodonts occupying an intermediate position.

PC4 (5.9% of the variance) mainly described shifts in the relative sizes of the premaxilla and maxilla and the robustness of the zygomatic arch, without any strong phylogenetic signal apparent in the distribution of taxa. PC5 (4.7% of the variance) reflected the flexion of the cranium, and also showed little apparent phylogenetic signal, with metatherians falling near zero among a wide scatter of eutherian carnivores.

Because of the highly anomalous morphology of Thylacosmilus, we also conducted analyses without this taxon. Results were very similar, with the first five PCs comprising 37.4, 10.2, 8.7, 6.3 and 5.0 per cent of the total variance, respectively. The distribution of taxa along these axes was relatively unchanged, and the main difference was a slightly greater separation of the sabre-toothed felids from the other cat-like forms (true felids and nimravids) on PC2.

Comparisons of the three measures of disparity between these samples of metatherian and eutherian carnivores showed that, when Thylacosmilus was included, variance was significantly greater in metatherians (0.099) than in eutherians (0.022, p < 0.01). However, when Thylacosmilus was excluded, the variances of the metatherian and eutherian samples were not significantly different (0.027 and 0.022, respectively; p = 0.12). Results for MPD, calculated across the first five PCs were similar, with metatherians showing greater disparity when Thylacosmilus was included (p < 0.001). However, when Thylacosmilus was removed, MPD was near equal for the two groups (p = 0.26). When MPD was calculated from partial Procrustes distances, disparity was significantly greater in metatherians than in eutherians, whether T. atrox was included (metatherian MPD = 0.242, placental MPD = 0.203, p = 0.001) or not (metatherian MPD = 0.221, p < 0.001).

4. DISCUSSION

A previous study demonstrated consistent shifts in cranial shape associated with diet in extant marsupials and placentals [24]. While diet cannot be explicitly tested with all the taxa studied here, because of the inevitable lack of reliable dietary reconstructions for many of the extinct taxa, some general patterns were clear. PC1 showed a
shift from hypercarnivorous forms to more omnivorous and insectivorous forms. This pattern was reflected both across the full range of taxa, as well as within each clade. For example, several of the extinct bears, such as *Arctodus*, occupied the short-faced, generally hypercarnivorous region of morphospace, consistent with previous reconstructions of some of these taxa as carnivores ([62], but see [63]). Interestingly, while most ursids overlapped...
with felids on PC1, the early ‘dogbear’, ursid *Hemicyon*, fell near canids on PC1.

Both canids and dasyurids occupied a large range on PC1, probably reflecting the broader ecological diversity in these clades than in, for example, felids. Extinct dasyurids all fell closer to the insectivore side of morphospace, while most of the extant forms overlapped strongly with extant canids. By contrast, extinct canids, which occupied a noticeably larger range of morphospace than the extant forms, showed more forms, such as *Enhydrocyon* and *Theriodictis* falling near the hypercarnivorous end of morphospace. This result is particularly interesting, as analyses based on dental characters and body size of extant taxa have suggested that living canids have low disparity relative to other carnivore clades [64]. Among metatherians, thylacoleonids, borhyaenids, the extinct didelphid *Sparassocynus*, and the recently extinct marsupial wolf, *T. cynocephalus*, fell closer to the hypercarnivorous end of morphospace than the other taxa (other dasyuromorphians, didelphoids, and peramelids), supporting reconstructions of these taxa as the more carnivorous within the clade. All of the main clades of metatherian carnivores, dasyuromorphians, borhyaenoids and thylacoleonids, as well as *Sparassocynus*, fell into the same region of morphospace (PCs 1 and 2) as most caniforms, as did *Thylacosmilus* on PC1. Thus, ‘dog space’ has been converged upon independently by at least four lineages of metatherian carnivores. By contrast, it appears that ‘cat space’ has been left relatively unexplored by extant or extinct metatherian carnivores, despite the frequent application of felid common names to metatherian carnivores, such as the marsupial lion and native cat. One striking example of morphological convergence was the strong overlap in cranial shape of *Thylacoleo* and *Enhydrocyon*, a ‘cat-like’ hypercarnivorous hesperocyonine canid [49], despite their markedly different dentitions. However, neither the cat-like canid nor the marsupial lion overlapped with any felids in morphospace, and it appears that, in the drastic shortening of the rostrum, as well as the related reduction of the post-canine dentition, cats, as well as the odd muskels, are unique among carnivorous mammals.

While sabre-toothed felids clustered separately from the rest of the placental taxa, members of the other placental sabre-toothed clade, the Nimravidae, overlapped with most of the other taxa in the centre of PC2. It is interesting that the cranial morphology of sabre-toothed nimravid and felids is not as strongly convergent as their dentition would suggest. This pattern is consistent with studies of cranial modularity [65], which have demonstrated that nimravids show a typical placental mammal pattern, while sabre-toothed felids show a reduced integration of the anterior facial region that is unusual for mammals.

The distribution of taxa on PCs 1 and 2 (figure 2b) was very similar to that of PCs 1 and 2 in the study of Wroe & Milne (fig. 2 in [24]). Thus, while PC1 strongly reflected diet, PC2 here represented variation in fossil taxa that is not represented in extant forms. That PC2 was driven primarily by the inclusion of sabre-toothed felids, nimravids and metatherians highlights the importance of incorporating fossil taxa when testing hypotheses of morphological evolution and diversity. The intriguing placement of creodonts intermediate between metatherians and carnivorans in figure 2b further suggests that the focus on Carnivora has led to underestimation of eutherian carnivore diversity.

In functional terms, a relatively short cranium, which contributed to the variation on PC1, confers a reduced distance between the temporomandibular joint and bite points in the dentition, and hence a shorter outlever and higher bite forces. Similarly, a broader cranium, one of the aspects of shape captured by PC3, typically correlates with larger jaw-adducting muscles, an ability to generate higher bite forces, and a capacity to resist high stresses generated in the killing of large prey or biting into hard material such as bone [29]. Our within-group results are broadly consistent with these interpretations for living placental and metatherian carnivores. Thus, among marsupials, the osteophagous Tasmanian devil (*S. harrisii*) recorded the lowest values for PC1 and PC3, and insectivorous peramelids recorded the highest. Likewise, among extant placentals, low values for PC1 and PC3 were found among hyaenids and conical-toothed felids, and high values were generated for canids that take relatively small prey.

Phylogeny is clearly a major factor in cranial morphology, and some phylogenetic groupings were evident in this dataset. Nearly all of the family-level clades grouped together strongly in morphospace, from felids and canids to creodonts, thylacoleonids and nimravids. This phylogenetic clustering is particularly interesting for some of the most ecologically diverse clades, such as ursids, for which herbivorous/omnivorous to hypercarnivorous forms were sampled. The relatively close clustering of the social insectivorous hyaenid *Proteles* with the carnivorous and bone-cracking hyaenas was also surprising, although it did fall farther towards the positive, insectivorous end of PC1 than its relatives.

While the eutherian carnivorans sample was nearly four times larger than the metatherian sample, the selection of specimens represents nearly the full morphological and ecological range of carnivorous forms in both clades. A lack of complete cranial specimens for many borhyaenid and all propleopine taxa prohibited more comprehensive coverage of those metatherian clades. Among eutherians, creodonts were represented solely by hyaenodontids, and inclusion of oxyaenids would improve this analysis. The mesonychid ‘condylarths’ represent another group of carnivorous eutherians that could be considered in such an analysis. However, the sampling in this study demonstrates several important conclusions. First, metatherian carnivores converge strongly with caniform carnivorans, as do hyaenodontid creodonts. Second, and consistent with previous analyses of mainly extant taxa [24], within clades, the shape changes associated with hypercarnivorous to insectivorous diets remain consistent when fossil taxa are included, providing a valuable tool for reconstructions of diet in extinct metatherians and eutherians.

Finally, as noted above, previous hypotheses based on cranial and postcranial developmental maturity of the marsupial neonate have suggested that marsupials may be constrained relative to placentals by their mode of reproduction [3]. While these hypotheses on marsupial evolutionary ‘potential’ are supported by postcranial data [10], developmental constraints on morphological evolution are not evident in the cranial data presented here. Cranial disparity of metatherian carnivores is significantly greater than that of eutherian carnivores, although admittedly this is driven by a single taxon, *Thylacosmilus*. However, even when *Thylacosmilus* is removed from the analysis, disparity of metatherian and eutherian
carnivores was either near equal and not significantly different or, when disparity was calculated from pairwise partial Procrustes distances, still significantly greater in metatherians. This result suggests that the marsupial mode of development has not constrained the morphological evolution of the cranium in marsupial carnivores. Specifically, the early ossification of the facial bones and their usage during suckling in the highly altricial marsupial neonate does not appear to have limited the ability of the cranium to evolve morphologies highly specialized for carnivory, including some of the most extreme forms encountered in the mammalian record.
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