Variation in hippocampal morphology along an environmental gradient: controlling for the effects of day length
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Environmental conditions may create increased demands for memory, which in turn may affect specific brain regions responsible for memory function. This may occur either via phenotypic plasticity or selection for individuals with enhanced cognitive abilities. For food-caching animals, in particular, spatial memory appears to be important because it may have a direct effect on fitness via their ability to accurately retrieve food caches. Our previous studies have shown that caching animals living in more harsh environments (characterized by low temperatures, high snow cover and short day lengths) possess more neurons within a larger hippocampus (Hp), a part of the brain involved in spatial memory. However, the relative role of each of these environmental features in the relationship is unknown. Here, we dissociate the effects of one theoretically important factor (day length) within the environmental severity/Hp relationship by examining food-caching birds (black-capped chickadee, Poecile atricapillus) selected at locations along the same latitude, but with very different climatic regimes. There was a significant difference in Hp attributes among populations along the same latitude with very different climatic features. Birds from the climatically mild location had significantly smaller Hp volumes and fewer Hp neurons than birds from the more harsh populations, even though all populations experienced similar day lengths. These results suggest that variables such as temperature and snow cover seem to be important even without the compounding effect of reduced day length at higher latitudes and suggest that low temperature and snow cover alone may be sufficient to generate high demands for memory and the hippocampus. Our data further confirmed that the association between harsh environment and the hippocampus in food-caching animals is robust across a large geographical area and across years.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Memory is an important trait used to gather, retain and recall information about the environment. As such, it is probably important for survival. We know that many environmental factors can produce increased demands for memory function. This may occur either via phenotypic plasticity or selection for individuals with enhanced cognitive abilities. For food-caching animals, in particular, spatial memory appears to be important because it may have a direct effect on fitness via their ability to accurately retrieve food caches. Our previous studies have shown that caching animals living in more harsh environments (characterized by low temperatures, high snow cover and short day lengths) possess more neurons within a larger hippocampus (Hp), a part of the brain involved in spatial memory. However, the relative role of each of these environmental features in the relationship is unknown. Here, we dissociate the effects of one theoretically important factor (day length) within the environmental severity/Hp relationship by examining food-caching birds (black-capped chickadee, Poecile atricapillus) selected at locations along the same latitude, but with very different climatic regimes. There was a significant difference in Hp attributes among populations along the same latitude with very different climatic features. Birds from the climatically mild location had significantly smaller Hp volumes and fewer Hp neurons than birds from the more harsh populations, even though all populations experienced similar day lengths. These results suggest that variables such as temperature and snow cover seem to be important even without the compounding effect of reduced day length at higher latitudes and suggest that low temperature and snow cover alone may be sufficient to generate high demands for memory and the hippocampus. Our data further confirmed that the association between harsh environment and the hippocampus in food-caching animals is robust across a large geographical area and across years.
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memory [5,11,12]. More demanding situations (e.g. more harsh environments) seem to result in specialized phenotypes (e.g. a larger Hp region) that underlie a specific cognitive task (e.g. memory-based cache retrieval). This pattern may be produced by the selection of enhanced traits in specific environments (e.g. adaptive specialization) [4,5,13,14] or may be the consequence of phenotypic plasticity whereby enhancements in the Hp are produced due to increased caching and increased memory use itself. In either case, our previous work [7] provides large-scale support for the association between environmental harshness and the hippocampus. Black-capped chickadees from harsh northern latitudes possessed significantly larger Hp with more neurons than those from more mild southern latitudes, a relationship that was also found in a preliminary study by Pravosudov & Clayton [6]. Thus, the evidence for the relationship between environmental severity and the Hp is robust in this species and holds as an ecologically relevant pattern across a latitudinal gradient composed of locations with differing climates.

However, the relative contribution of the three primary theoretical environmental factors (temperature, snow cover and day length) to this relationship is unknown. As diurnal birds cannot forage in the dark, day length may potentially comprise a large part of environmental severity, as it limits the amount of time available to accumulate necessary food reserves to survive the night. Because of this constraint, there may be increased demands for spatial memory function in birds from higher latitudes (i.e. shorter day lengths) in order to procure enough food for overnight survival. This may explain in part why northern chickadees have larger Hp with more neurons compared with their more southern conspecifics. However, northern environments are also characterized by lower ambient temperatures with more precipitation (snow) during the winter (see figure 1 and [7]), which could also contribute to latitudinal differences in memory and the hippocampus. Low ambient temperatures increase the metabolic needs of animals, while snow cover may reduce food availability and accessibility. Successful cache recovery may be especially crucial in these conditions in order to meet higher energetic demands.

To dissociate the importance of the compounding effects of day length on the relationship between environmental severity and the Hp, we compared the Hp volume and total number of Hp neurons in the same species, the black-capped chickadee (Poecile atricapillus), on a large geographical scale at five locations across its range in North America (figure 1). We specifically chose three of these locations (Seattle, Washington (WA); Grant, Minnesota (MN); Presque Isle, Maine (ME)) at approximately the same latitude that experience similar day lengths but very different climates. The other two locations (Anchorage, Alaska (AK); Mt. Vernon, Iowa (IA)) were used as a latitudinal comparison to ensure the robustness of our previous work [7]. According to our hypothesis, better spatial memory and increased Hp attributes should be more pronounced in populations living in more harsh, energetically demanding environments in which dependence on food caches is likely to be especially important. Thus, if day length itself is an important constraint in the relationship between environmental severity and the Hp, then we might expect no significant differences among the populations at the same latitude that experience differences in temperature and snow cover. If, however, other climatic variables (e.g. temperature and snow cover) are important independent of day length, then we should see differences among the populations along the same latitude that follow the same pattern as previously reported [7] of increased Hp volume and neuron number in more severe environments. We do not imply that birds use any of these factors as direct cues for the production of Hp attributes. Instead, we are dissociating the importance of the constraint on the time available to forage (day length) from the constraints of energetic demands (temperature) and access to food (snow cover). Because the MN and ME locations

**Figure 1.** Collection locations sorted by the north/south comparison (left panels) and the latitudinal/day length control (right panels). Within each panel, the locations are ranked by environmental severity, as determined by (a) average snow cover (mm) and (b) average temperature (°C) during the winter period (see text for details). Day length (min) at the winter solstice (c) differs for the locations in the left panel, but is similar in those on the right.
experience colder temperatures and greater snow cover than the WA location, we expect bigger Hp with more neurons in the MN and ME locations when compared with the WA location. Finally, we expect the relationship between AK and IA to be consistent with our previous examination of populations on a latitudinal gradient [7], that is birds from AK should have much larger Hp volume and more neurons than those from IA.

2. METHODS
(a) Collection sites
We compared the hippocampal (Hp) volume and total number of Hp neurons of black-capped chickadees (Poecile atricapillus) at five locations across North America: Anchorage, AK (61°07’ N 149°44’ W); Presque Isle, ME (46°39’ N 68°00’ W); Grant, MN (45°05’ N 92°57’ W); Seattle, WA (47°40’ N 122°18’ W); and Mount Vernon, IA (41°51’N 91°25’ W). These sites were chosen specifically (i) to compare populations with different climates at approximately the same latitude/day lengths (WA, MN, and ME; figure 1) and (ii) to make a comparison with the previous study by Roth & Pravosudov [7] on a latitudinal gradient (AK and IA). At each site, 12 birds were captured at feeders. While it would be best to collect birds at the peak of caching at each location to maintain consistency and to maximize the potential effects of food caching on the brain, due to logistics, we could not determine specifically when that peak occurred at each site. Thus, we chose to collect chickadees during the period of intensive autumn caching from mid September to late October based on the literature [15,16] and our previous work [7].

(b) Tissue preparation
Tissue preparation was performed exclusively by T.C.R. and followed Roth & Pravosudov [7], as necessary for proper comparison (see [17]). Brains were extracted immediately after capture. Birds were anaesthetized (0.07 ml of 50 mg ml⁻¹ Nembutal) and perfused transcardially with 0.1 M phosphate buffered saline for 10 min followed by 15–20 min of 10 per cent methanol-free formalin (from paraformaldehyde) made with 0.1 M phosphate buffer. Brains were post-fixed in the 10 per cent methanol-free formalin (from paraformaldehyde) made with 0.1 M phosphate buffer. Brains were post-fixed in the 10 per cent methanol-free formalin solution for 7 days, cryo-protected in 15 per cent and then 30 per cent sucrose, and then frozen at −80 °C for storage. Tissue was cut into 40 μm coronal sections on a Leica CM 3050S cryostat at −20 °C. Every 4th section was mounted and Nissl stained with thionin.

(c) Tissue analysis
Tissue analysis was performed exclusively by T.C.R. and followed Roth & Pravosudov [7], as necessary for proper comparison (see [17]). Hp volume and neuron numbers were estimated with stereological methods using Stereo-Investigator software (Microbrightfield, Inc.) and Leica microscope (M4000B). Both the Hp and telencephalon were measured in their entirety. We measured the Hp as per Krebs et al. [4] and our previous work. We measured the telencephalon as an estimate of the brain region generally associated with cognitive ability to be used as a covariate to control for overall size of the brain [4]. Brain region volumes were estimated with the Cavalieri procedure [18]. Hp volume was measured with a 200 μm grid; telencephalon volume was measured with a 1200 μm grid. The optimal grid size and frequency of sections sampling has been determined previously [6,19]. Neuron counts were performed with an optical fractionator procedure [20] at 1000x. A 250 μm grid with a 30 × 30 μm counting frame, 5 μm dissector height, and 2 μm guards was used as in previous studies of chickadees [19]. We calculated a coefficient of error to estimate precision with the nugget effect for both neuron counts (CE mean (s.c.) = 0.098 (0.002)) and volume (CE mean (s.c.) = 0.016 (0.0001)). There were no significant differences between left and right hippocampal volume and between the total number of neurons in the right and left Hp (Repeated-measures GLM: Hp, F₁,₅₅ = 0.401, p = 0.807; Neuron numbers: F₁,₅₅ = 0.842, p = 0.505), thus the hemispheres were summed to produce the reported total values. All brains were measured blind to location.

(d) Climate data
Temperature (°C) and snow depth (mm) are represented as the average over the winter months (November–March) of yearly averages from 1971 to 2000. Day length (min) was measured during the winter solstice. Data were obtained from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration climate database [21].

(e) Statistical analysis
We analysed Hp volume and neuron count after controlling for telencephalon volume within a general linear model; we report least squares means in the analyses and figures (see table 1). The inclusion of body mass as a covariate has been used in the past, but may lead to erroneous interpretations (Roth et al. in preparation; see also [17]). We note that the relationships in this analysis are, nevertheless, quite robust, and the inclusion of either telencephalon volume and/or body mass does not change the interpretation of the results (see §3 for statistics). In addition, the use of Hp volume as a covariate in the analysis of neuron number has been used in the past. This analysis produces a least squared mean functionally equivalent to an analysis of density. We include this analysis for completeness in the results, but also note that the interpretation remains the same. Tukey post hoc analyses were performed for a comparison between the three locations at the same latitude. A planned comparison analysis was performed between AK and IA as we expect a directional difference between them. There was no significant sex bias in sampling (χ² = 2.986, d.f. = 4, p = 0.560) and no significant difference between the sexes in Hp volume (F₁,₅₈ = 2.081, p = 0.155) or neuron number (F₁,₅₈ = 0.405, p = 0.527); therefore, data for both sexes were pooled. The age of our birds was unknown, but there is no reason to suspect any systematic bias in age across different locations, as we sampled all populations using the same methodology.

3. RESULTS
There was a significant difference in both the Hp volume (F₄,₅₅ = 41.106, p < 0.0001) and total neuron count (F₄,₅₅ = 28.149, p < 0.0001) in black-capped chickadees from different locations along the latitudinal gradient when using Te volume as a covariate (table 1, figure 2). The inclusion of Hp volume as a covariate in the analysis of total neuron numbers did not change the interpretation (F₄,₅₅ = 18.159, p < 0.0001, even though the effect of the Hp in the model was significant; F₄,₅₅ = 8.085, p < 0.006). Likewise, the exclusion of covariates (Hp: F₄,₅₆ = 6.612, p < 0.0001; Neuron: F₄,₅₆ = 29.383, p < 0.0001) and the inclusion of body size (Hp: F₄,₅₄ = 8.417, p < 0.0001; Neuron: F₄,₅₄ = 27.882, p < 0.0001) did not change the results.
Based on post hoc comparisons of ME/MN/WA and a planned comparison analysis between AK/IA, there were large and significant differences among the locations (see figure 2). Along the same latitude, there was a stark and significant effect of climate. The Hp volume ($p = 0.008$) and neuron numbers ($p = 0.0001$) were significantly smaller in birds from the Washington location, but not different between the Maine and Minnesota locations ($p > 0.999$). The birds from the northernmost location (Alaska) had significantly larger Hp ($p = 0.0001$) and more Hp neurons ($p = 0.0001$) than those from the southernmost location (Iowa) as predicted.

## DISCUSSION

There was a significant difference in Hp attributes among populations along the same latitude with very different climatic features. Birds from the relatively mild location of Washington had significantly smaller Hp volumes and fewer Hp neurons than the birds from the more climatically harsh populations of Maine and Minnesota, even though all three populations experience similar day lengths. Maine and Minnesota were not different from each other (figure 2). These results suggest that day length may not be the main variable that affects caching intensity and thus may not be solely responsible for the previously reported relationship between latitude and Hp attributes. Rather, the other variables of theoretical interest (temperature and snow cover) seem to be the relevant factors in this relationship independent of the
constraint of day length. We cannot comment on the importance of day length in other contexts such as the regulation of seasonal changes in sexual behaviour. However, in regard to our study examining the explanation for the relationship between latitude and Hp attributes, day length does not seem to be the main driving force. In addition, the observed relationship between latitude, environmental severity, and Hp morphology of chickadees along our north/south environmental gradient followed our prediction. These results are consistent with our previous studies in this same system [6,7] and suggest that the relationship between environmental severity, memory and the Hp is robust.

This study expands our understanding of the variables important in the relationship between environmental severity and the brain in two ways. First, we have removed the potential compounding effects due to latitude/day length and still showed a significant pattern between the severity of the environment and Hp attributes. This suggests that although the theoretical basis for limited foraging time via day length may indeed explain some amount of the variation observed, it is not the primary explanation. This is not to say that day length has no effect on memory of caching birds, but that other variables such as temperature and snow cover appear to be important even at the same day length, at least in the context of our study. Second, we have replicated the results found previously [6,7]. This suggests a strong and robust pattern across the latitudinal gradient that is associated with environmental harshness.

Overall, we have support for the theoretical relationship that more severe climates with higher demands for enhanced memory require and thereby produce larger Hp with more neurons due to the need for advanced memory. We acknowledge that our control for the effects of day length includes a single comparison at the population level. However, these locations were chosen a priori specifically to address this issue and the results follow our predictions. Future studies should attempt to include and dissociate additional environmental variables that may impact this relationship such as food availability, resource distributions and structural habitat features. In addition, future work should experimentally investigate the possible causes of these differences in hippocampal morphology by dissociating the effects of genetic adaptation to the local climate from the influence of phenotypic plasticity and individual memory-based experiences.
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