Bottom-up effects of host-plant species diversity and top-down effects of ants interactively increase plant performance
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While plant diversity is well known to increase primary productivity, whether these bottom-up effects are enhanced by reciprocal top-down effects from the third trophic level is unknown. We studied whether pine tree species diversity, aphid-tending ants and their interaction determined plant performance and arthropod community structure. Plant diversity had a positive effect on aphids, but only in the presence of mutualistic ants, leading to a threefold greater number of both groups in the tri-specific cultures than in monocultures. Plant diversity increased ant abundance not only by increasing aphid number, but also by increasing ant recruitment per aphid. The positive effect of diversity on ants in turn cascaded down to increase plant performance; diversity increased plant growth (but not biomass), and this effect was stronger in the presence of ants. Consequently, bottom-up effects of diversity within the same genus and guild of plants, and top-down effects from the third trophic level (predatory ants), interactively increased plant performance.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The consequences of plant species diversity on ecosystem function and on the structure of associated communities of consumers have been increasingly recognized [1–7]. There is growing evidence that a greater diversity of plant species may stabilize the multi-trophic arthropod community structure. Plant diversity had a positive effect on aphids, but only in the presence of mutualistic ants, leading to a threefold greater number of both groups in the tri-specific cultures than in monocultures. Plant diversity increased ant abundance not only by increasing aphid number, but also by increasing ant recruitment per aphid. The positive effect of diversity on ants in turn cascaded down to increase plant performance; diversity increased plant growth (but not biomass), and this effect was stronger in the presence of ants. Consequently, bottom-up effects of diversity within the same genus and guild of plants, and top-down effects from the third trophic level (predatory ants), interactively increased plant performance.
2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

(a) Study area and species

We used three focal species belonging to the *Pinus* clade, which are broadly planted worldwide, particularly in the study area, the northwest of the Iberian Peninsula: maritime pine (*Pinus pinaster* Ait.), Monterey pine (*Pinus radiata* D. Don.) and Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.). These pine species coexist in mixed forests found throughout the study area, with overlapping distributions at altitudes of 400–800 m. Six-month old seedlings were provided by a local nursery (Norfor Nursery Ltd., Pontevedra; viverofigueirido@norfor.es).

The experimental plantation was established at a small agricultural plot located in Pontevedra (Galicia, northwest Spain, 42.26° N 8.39° W). The climate in this area is temperate humid Atlantic, with annual precipitation of about 1620 mm and mean annual temperature of 15.4°C. Previous inspections of the study site confirmed the presence of ant-tended aphids (mainly Cinara spp.) and aphid-tending ants (*Lasius grandis*) on the pine trees surrounding the plot.

(b) Experimental design

In early spring 2011, we planted six-month-old pine seedlings, manipulating the plant diversity by creating three assemblages of different pine species diversity (figure 1): (i) monocultures of the three pine species, (ii) all possible dicultures with those three species (three different combinations) and (iii) tricultures. The triculture treatment consisted of three different combinations, one with the three species studied in mono- and dicultures, and two additional combinations, including a fourth pine species (*Pinus pinea*, also native from the study area) not included in mono- or dicultures (figure 1). Each experimental unit (hereafter ‘combination’) consisted of six plants in two parallel rows of three plants each (figure 1). Neighbouring plants were separated by approximately 10 cm, and combinations were spaced at least 1 m apart, with the positioning of plants within the combination being randomized. The experiment followed a randomized split-plot design replicated in four blocks, with ant treatment (two levels: presence or absence) as the whole plot factor and species diversity (mono-, di- and tricultures) as the split factor, with three different combinations of each diversity treatment for a total of nine combinations per block. All blocks were separated by at least 3 m. In total, there were 432 pine seedlings, corresponding to four blocks × two ant treatments × three species diversity.
treatments × three combinations for each diversity treatment × six plants in each combination.

On 18 April, 2 days after plantation, we measured the stem height of all the plants and carefully placed a piece of tape around the shoot (2 cm wide) of each plant. Ants were excluded from half of the plants by coating the outside surface of the tape with a sticky paste (Tanglefoot, Tanglefoot Company, MI). Control plants, with tape but without sticky paste, allowed ant access.

(c) Sampling, plant measurements and chemical analysis
We recorded the number of arthropods on each tree on August 25, when aphid populations peak in this area (X. Moreira 2010, personal observation). Arthropods were identified to species or to the taxonomic level necessary to determine their trophic level by consulting relevant literature and with the help of taxonomist Alberto Gayoso (entomologist from Xunta de Galicia). Arthropods were classified as ant-tended aphids, ants, untended (non-aphid) herbivores or aphid predators. Some aphid parasitoids were also found, but in very low numbers. Ant-tended aphids consisted of Cinara maritima (95%) or Cinara pini (5%). These species of aphids feed on small colonies on terminal shoot and branches of young and mature pine trees (X. Moreira, personal observation). Ants always consisted of aphid-tending Lasius grandis (Hymenoptera: Formicidae), all from the same ant nest. Non-aphid herbivores consisted of phloem-feeders (Pisodes castaneus, Coleoptera: Curculionidae) and sap feeders (Stictocephala bisonia, Hemiptera: Membracidae; Lecapsis pini, Hemiptera: Coccidae; and Pentatoma rufipes, Hemiptera: Pentatomidae). Aphid predators consisted of wasps (Dolichovespula media, Hymenoptera: Vespidae), ladybirds (Adalia bipunctata, Coleoptera: Coccinellidae and Coccinella septempunctata, Coleoptera: Coccinellidae), one species of assassin bug (Hemiptera: Reduviidae) and spiders (Araneae, various families).

On 26 August, plant height was measured and all pine seedlings were harvested, transported to the laboratory in ice coolers and immediately sampled for above-ground biomass determination and for further chemical analyses. One fresh 5 cm-long piece of the terminal shoot of each plant was sampled, weighed, immediately frozen and preserved at −80 °C for analysis of non-volatile resin and antioxidant activity. Another subsample of terminal shoot was immediately weighed, oven-dried (45 °C to constant weight) and manually ground in a mortar with liquid nitrogen for analyses of phenolic compounds, nitrogen and non-structural carbohydrates.

Concentration of non-volatile resin in the stem was estimated gravimetrically as described by Sampedro et al. [30] and Moreira et al. [31] (see the electronic supplementary material, appendix S1), and expressed as milligram of non-volatile resin g−1 stem on a dry weight (d.w.) basis. Total phenolics in the stem were estimated by the Folin–Ciocalteu assay as described by Sampedro et al. [30] and Moreira et al. [31] (see the electronic supplementary material, appendix S1), and expressed as milligram of tannic acid equivalent × g−1 d.w. stem. These variables have been proved useful for identifying differences in resistance in previous studies [32,33]. The antioxidant capacity in aqueous extracts of stem tissue was measured by a modification of the method described by Noguera et al. [34] and Erel [35] (see the electronic supplementary material, appendix S1), and expressed as milligram of Trolox equivalent × g−1 d.w. stem. The concentrations of soluble sugars and starch in the stem were determined colorimetrically by the anthrone method [30,36] (see the electronic supplementary material, appendix S1) using glucose and potato starch, respectively, as standards and expressed as mg × g−1 d.w. Total nitrogen was determined with a CN-2000 macro elemental analyser (LECO Corporation, St Joseph, MI) at the central facilities of Universidade de Vigo, Spain (http://webs.uvigo.es/cactive/), and expressed in mg × g−1 d.w. of tissue. To reduce the analytical effort to reasonable levels, nutrient concentration and antioxidant capacity in the stem were analysed in a subsample of 48 selected pine trees. Specifically, we analysed only one plant per combination in the three monocultures (P. pinaster, P. radiata and P. sylvestris) and one plant of each species in the triculture including those three pine species.

(d) Statistical analyses
Data analysis was performed with mixed linear models for plant growth and defensive and nutritional status traits, and generalized linear mixed models for arthropod abundance, using the Mixed and Glimmix procedures, respectively (SAS 9.2 System, SAS, Cary, NC). The main effects of ants (A), diversity (D) and the A × D interaction were treated as fixed factors. The effect of the different combinations within each diversity treatment (C) and the A × C interaction were also included as fixed factors nested within the diversity treatments, in order to account for the variation between combinations and the effect of the species identity within each combination. The effects of block (B) and A × B interaction (i.e. the whole plots) were considered random factors in order to analyse the main effects of the split-plot design with the appropriate error terms [37]. To avoid confounding effects associated with size differences between pine species, final height was included as covariate in the analysis of arthropod abundance, defences and carbohydrates. Initial height was included as a covariate for the analysis of plant growth. Pearson correlations were used to evaluate the relationships among all traits separately in control and ant-excluded pine trees. Data are shown as mean ± s.e.

Diverse plots may have greater performance or arthropod abundance because of the increased probability of including species with distinct performance or communities (additive or sampling effects [38,39]). Alternatively, plant species diversity may modify plant performance and the structure of arthropod community via positive or negative interactions among neighbouring plant species (non-additive effects [38,39]). We structured our models not only to test for overall effects of diversity (and ant × diversity interactions), but also to determine whether such effects occurred through non-additive dynamics. Data were first analysed as plot means (i.e. the mean of six plants within a combination), including the combinations of each diversity treatment (nested within the diversity treatment) in the statistical model. By accounting for variation among combinations within diversity treatments, a significant diversity effect indicates that such effects are independent of the contribution coming from any single species combinations, and thus that such effects are non-additive (i.e. synergistic or antagonistic effect among species). In addition, we also analysed data for each species separately according to the same statistical models (see results in electronic supplementary material).

In these tests, significant diversity effects were also indicative of non-additive dynamics, as they showed differences based upon the diversity environment within which that single species occurs. Using these two approaches, we tested for
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Table 1. A summary of the linear mixed model for the effects of plant-specific diversity (mono-, di- and tricultures) and the presence of mutualistic ants (two levels: presence or absence) on plant performance. The effect of the particular combination of pine species (three mono-, three di- and three tricultures) nested in each diversity treatment was included in the model. Ant treatments took four months. Initial height was used as covariate. Significant p-values (p < 0.05) are indicated in bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DF_num</th>
<th>DF_den</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>above-ground biomass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ant</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27.47</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diversity</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>25.59</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ant × diversity</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>combination</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>12.40</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>6.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ant × combination</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>initial height</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>44.81</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. RESULTS

(a) Consequences of host-plant species diversity and ants on pine performance

Host-plant species diversity significantly affected pine primary growth (table 1). Specifically, final height was 12 per cent and 16 per cent greater in pine dicultures and tricultures, respectively, than in pine monocultures (figure 2a). In contrast, we found that pine above-ground biomass was not significantly affected by host-plant species diversity after four months of experiment (table 1 and figure 2b).

The presence of ants had significant effects on pine primary growth (table 1). After four months of growth, final height was 10 per cent greater in pines with ants than ant-excluded pines (figure 2a). Moreover, the effect of ants on pine primary growth depended on species diversity treatment (significant ant × species diversity interaction; table 1 and figure 2a). While plant diversity effects were significant for both control plants (F2,23 = 23.60, p < 0.001) and ant-excluded plants (F2,23 = 7.15, p = 0.003), the magnitude of plant diversity effects was greater for control plants (plants with ants; figure 2a). Pine above-ground biomass was not significantly affected by the presence of ants (versus absence), nor by the interaction between ant and species diversity treatments (table 1 and figure 2b).

Results for each pine species, when analysed individually, were consistent with those found at the plot level. We observed that plant species diversity significantly increased primary growth in all pine species (see the electronic supplementary material, table S1 and figure S2). Primary growth was higher in the presence than in the absence of ants for all three species, although the effect was significant only for P. sylvestris and marginally for P. radiata (see the electronic supplementary material, table S1 and figure S2). As we observed at the plot level, primary growth was greater in diverse treatments with ants (control treatment), but ant × diversity interaction was not significant (see the electronic supplementary material, table S1 and figure S2).

(b) Consequences of host-plant species diversity and ants on arthropod abundance

Four months after establishing the ant exclusion treatments, we recorded 1440 arthropods, which were classified as 561 ants (39%), 634 ant-tended aphids (44%), 215 aphid predators (15%) and 30 non-aphid herbivores (2%).
Plant species diversity significantly affected the abundance of associated arthropods (table 2). Specifically, the mean number of ant-tended aphids was approximately twofold and threefold greater in pine di- and tricultures, respectively, than in pine monocultures (figure 3a). Similarly, the mean number of ants was approximately twofold and threefold greater in pine di- and tricultures, respectively, compared with pine monocultures (figure 3b).

In addition to influencing ants through changes in aphid abundance, diversity also affected significantly the rate of ant recruitment to aphids (table 2). Specifically, we observed that the rate of ant recruitment (ant : aphid ratio) increases with increasing diversity (0.62 ± 0.09 in monocultures, 0.95 ± 0.08 in dicultures and 1.05 ± 0.10 in tricultures). The mean number of aphid predators and non-aphid herbivores were not significantly affected by species diversity treatment (table 2 and figure 3c, d).

Ant presence increased aphid abundance approximately fourfold compared with ant absence (table 2 and figure 3a). Interestingly, the effect of ant treatment on aphid abundance depended on species diversity treatment (significant ant × species diversity interaction; table 2 and figure 3a). By analysing ant-excluded and control plants separately, we observed that the effect of host-plant species diversity was significant in control plants ($F_{2,23} = 18.57, p < 0.001$) but not in ant-excluded plants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DFnum</th>
<th>DFden</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ant</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>172.32</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>19.80</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diversity</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>25.96</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ant × diversity</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>33.29</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>combination</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ant × combination</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.504</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>final height</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ants</td>
<td>diversity</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19.13</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>combination</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>final height</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.933</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. The effect of host plant species diversity (mono-, di- and tricultures) and the presence of mutualistic ants (two levels: presence or absence) on the abundance (mean number per plant) of associated arthropods grouped as (a) ant-tended aphids, (b) ants, (c) aphid predators and (d) non-aphid herbivores. Ant treatments were in place for four months. Final height was used as covariate in the statistical model. Least-square means ± s.e. (n = 72).

Table 2. A summary of the generalized mixed models for the effects of plant-specific diversity (mono-, di- and tricultures) and the presence of mutualistic ants (two levels: presence or absence) on the abundance of the associated arthropod community at several trophic levels. The effect of the particular combination of pine species (three mono-, three di- and three tricultures) nested in each diversity treatment was included in the model. Ant treatments took four months. Final height was used as covariate. Significant p-values ($p < 0.05$) are indicated in bold.
**Consequences of host-plant species diversity and ants on pine defensive status, nitrogen and non-structural carbohydrates in the stem**

Host-plant species diversity did not significantly affect the concentration of quantitative pine chemical defences (measured as total phenolics and non-volatile resin; see the electronic supplementary material, table S4; figure 4), antioxidant capacity (see the electronic supplementary material, table S6 and figure S5), nitrogen (see the electronic supplementary material, table S6 and figure S5) and non-structural carbohydrates (measured as soluble sugars and starch; see the electronic supplementary material, table S4; figure 4) in the stem. Similarly, the presence of ants did not affect the concentration of pine chemical defences, antioxidant activity, nitrogen or non-structural carbohydrates (see the electronic supplementary material, tables S4 and S6 and figure S5; figure 4). However, the interaction between ant and species diversity treatments was significant for the concentration of soluble sugars in the stem (see the electronic supplementary material, table S4; figure 4c). By comparing ant exclusion and control (with ants) treatments across the three host-plant species diversity treatments, we observed that ants slightly increased stem soluble sugars on pine dicultures, while they decreased it on pine mono- and tricultures (figure 4c).

Results for each pine species analysed individually were markedly close to those observed at the plot level (see the electronic supplementary material, table S5 and figure S4).

**Correlation between arthropod abundance and pine performance**

We observed that the abundance of mutualistic ants was positively correlated with the abundance of ant-tended aphids ($r = 0.85$, $p < 0.001$, $n = 216$; electronic supplementary material, table S7), and negatively correlated with the abundance of aphid predators ($r = -0.50$, $p < 0.001$, $n = 216$; electronic supplementary material,
of ants (pine trees was positively correlated with the abundance in plants growing in more diverse assemblages (e.g. plant defences [41]); however, we did partially trading off with plant growth in more diverse effect of diversity on plant performance plus direct effects are observed only in the presence of ants (control plants).

4. DISCUSSION
This study demonstrates that diversity within the same genus and guild of plant and mutualistic ants interactively determined arthropod community structure and ecosystem functioning. Three results are noteworthy. First, plant species diversity had strong positive effects on the abundance of aphids, but this effect occurred only in the presence of aphid-mutualist ants. Second, this bottom-up effect of diversity on aphids in turn cascaded up to the third trophic level, increasing ant abundance. Third, diversity effects on ants in turn fed back to influence plant performance. While plant diversity consistently increased pine primary growth, ants increased the magnitude of these diversity effects. Taken together, these results demonstrate the importance of a multistrophic perspective for a complete understanding of the consequences and mechanisms behind plant diversity effects.

Ecological theories, such as the resource specialization hypothesis [10,11] and the more individuals hypothesis [12], predict that plant diversity is one of the primary mechanisms explaining the structure of multistrophic communities and ecosystem processes. In particular, these ecological theories propose that greater plant diversity generates greater productivity and diversity of resources, and therefore would attract greater diversity and abundance of associated arthropods. However, our findings show that the positive effects of plant diversity on the structure of arthropod communities and plant performance may be strongly mediated by top-down control from the third trophic level. In particular, our results suggest that bottom-up effects of plant diversity (i) interact with top-down effects of higher trophic levels and modify the patterns of species interactions (i.e. plant–herbivore–predator interactions) and (ii) cascade up the food web to promote positive effects on higher trophic levels, which in turn positively influence plant growth (positive effects beget positive effects).

Our results showed that plant species diversity increased aphid abundance, but only in the presence of ants, and these diversity effects in turn indirectly increased ant abundance. There are different potential mechanisms to explain these effects (i) The positive direct effect of diversity on plant growth may indirectly increase aphid abundance and, in turn, indirectly increase the abundance of tending ants. Because aphids depend on ants for protection, these effects are observed only in the presence of ants (bottom-up effect of diversity on plant performance plus direct effect of mutualistic ants on aphids). Some other plant properties that affect aphid performance could be potentially trading off with plant growth in more diverse assemblages (e.g. plant defences [41]); however, we did not find evidence of altered defensive or oxidative status in plants growing in more diverse species mixtures with ants. (ii) Plant diversity could be positively increasing aphid populations directly owing to greater attraction of dispersing aphids to airborne volatiles from more diverse assemblages, as has been reported elsewhere [15,42]. (iii) Finally, the effect of plant diversity could be mediated by the third trophic level, such that greater aphid abundance could be due to an increase in the protective services of ants provided to aphids in the context of more diverse host plant resources. Aphid honeydew varies by host plant species [43,44], and a mixture of honeydew types may thus be more attractive to ants than any single honeydew type due to a more complete nutritive value. Our results are consistent with this last hypothesis because the rate of ant recruitment (i.e. ant/aphid ratio) was about 1.5-fold higher in diverse plots than in monocultures. All these direct bottom-up effects could potentially be contributing to greater aphid populations on more diverse assemblages, and in fact interacting with the direct top-down effects of ants on aphid predators, non-aphid herbivores and aphid performance, and subsequently leading to the observed pattern of increased plant performance.

Consistent with past biodiversity-ecosystem function (BEF) studies [7,45–48], our results showed that plant species diversity increased plant performance (measured as primary growth), presumably through niche partitioning. Competition for limiting resources (carbon, water, light, nutrient, etc.) is lower among than within species; so plants in diverse species mixtures may occupy more niches and more efficiently uptake the limiting resources [45,49]. As this study was based upon relatively small seedlings, the marked differences observed in pine growth between poly- and mono-cultures after just four months were unlikely to be associated with light competition, but rather were likely to be due to below-ground interactions (i.e. water acquisition [50]).

In addition to the strong direct effects of plant species diversity on pine growth, our results offer clear support for the presence of mutualistic ants to have enhanced the strength of diversity effects on plant performance. In particular, we observed that plant diversity promoted greater ant abundance, and that these ants in turn increased pine primary growth. Similarly, observations from a long-term BEF experiment suggest that the positive effect of plant species diversity on plant productivity might be due entirely, or in part, to stronger top-down suppression of herbivores in diverse plots [4]. Although we did not detect effects of ants on non-aphid herbivores in our late August sampling, they were relatively rare at this time and we speculate that ant effects were probably stronger and indirectly promoted pine growth earlier in the season. Contrary to our early predictions, we found that plant species diversity and the presence of mutualistic ants had no detectable effects on above-ground biomass production, probably because it is necessary to allow more time than four months in a growing season to find significant differences in above-ground biomass production [2]. Nevertheless, primary growth may be the most important measure of plant performance in forest seedlings in terms of long-term consequences for individual plant performance and forest structure due to the need to overcome understory vegetation.

In summary, this study showed that host-plant species diversity, even within the same genus and plant guild, strongly influenced plant performance and the associated
arthropod community at several trophic levels. We found greater plant growth rates and more ants and aphids in the most diverse assemblages, independently of which species compose them. However, in the absence of mutualistic ants, plant species diversity did not have marked effects on the community structure of associated arthropods, nor on plant growth. These results together suggest that plant diversity effects cascaded up to higher trophic levels, which generated, at least in part, a positive feedback on plant performance.
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