Ecological selection as the cause and sexual differentiation as the consequence of species divergence?
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Key conceptual issues about speciation go unanswered without consideration of non-mutually exclusive factors. With tests based on speciation theory, we exploit the island distribution and habitat differences exhibited by the Caribbean cricket *Amphiacusta sanctaecrucis*, and with an analysis of divergent ecological selection, sexually selected differentiation and geographical isolation, address how these different factors interact. After testing for divergent selection by comparing neutral genetic and morphological divergence in one ecological (mandible shape) and one sexual (male genitalia shape) trait, we examine whether ecological or sexual selection is the primary mechanism driving population divergence. We find that all three factors—isolation, ecological and sexual selection—contribute to divergence, and that their interaction determines the stage of completeness achieved during the speciation process, as measured by patterns of genetic differentiation. Moreover, despite the striking diversity in genitalic shapes across the genus *Amphiacusta*, which suggests that sexual selection drives speciation, the significant differences in genitalia shape between forest habitats revealed here implies that ecological divergence may be the primary axis of divergence. Our work highlights critical unstudied aspects in speciation—differentiating the cause from the consequence of divergence—and suggests avenues for further disentangling the roles of natural and sexual selection in driving divergence in *Amphiacusta*.

1. Introduction

A primary difficulty with testing mechanisms of speciation empirically arises from the inherent challenge of interpreting patterns of divergence. Evidence of local adaptation and divergent selection in promoting species differentiation is widely acknowledged [1], and theoretical models detail the processes underlying speciation [2]. Nevertheless, the processes, and specifically the pace and order in which ecological and sexual divergence accumulates, is much less clear in empirical studies. Ecological divergence, for example, may be a cause or consequence of speciation. Local adaptation may arise only after reproductive isolation leads to a cessation of gene flow, as opposed to driving speciation itself [3]. Likewise, when species differ in both ecological and sexual characters, it is notoriously difficult to distinguish between character divergence that is a cause or a result of species divergence [4–6]. Such distinctions are critical for understanding not only the drivers of speciation, but also for understanding why speciation remains incomplete in many cases (see review, [7]). For example, as the driver of speciation, selective differences cannot withstand the dilution effects of gene flow and adaptive divergence will remain relatively ephemeral unless selection is strong [8]. By contrast, if ecological differences are a consequence of speciation, where reproductive isolation evolved in some other context, adaptive divergence will accumulate irrespective of the strength of selection.

Here we investigate the relative contributions of ecological and sexual divergence, as well as differentiation associated with geographical isolation, on
species divergence in flightless Caribbean crickets (genus \textit{Amphiacusta}). Archipelago systems afford a natural scenario for studying the role of genetic drift in species divergence, while also providing an evolutionary arena where selection contributes to the speciation process [9].

Spatial isolation and limited vagility have indeed played a demonstrable role in the diversification of \textit{Amphiacusta} (Gryllidae: Phalangopsinae) across the Greater Antilles. Phylogenetic analyses show that the diversification history is dominated by inter-island diversification, with closely related species distributed across different islands [10]. Yet, incidences of intra-island speciation (i.e. sister taxa occur on the same island) raise the question about the role of selection in species divergence, although whether ecological or sexual selection is a cause or consequence of divergence is unclear [10]. The restriction of this highly diverse genus (more than 80 species) to the Caribbean islands, and individual species to wet or dry primary forest, or to damp caves [11], suggests that local adaptation is important. However, \textit{Amphiacusta} taxa are also characterized by conspicuous divergence in male genitalia [11,12], divergence that is largely concentrated in the C-sclerite, a portion inserted directly into the female genital tract during mating that exhibits unparalleled differentiation compared with other morphological traits both in the diversity of shape variation and magnitude of divergence among species. Extreme divergence in genitalia, especially in the absence of other extensive morphological variation, is generally taken to be a sign of sexual selection operating, either by female choice or male–male competition for fertilization opportunity, on male genitalia shape [13,14], and comparative studies lend support to such a hypothesis ([15]; for reviews, see [14,16,17]).

Such divergence in ecological and sexual characters not only characterizes different species of \textit{Amphiacusta}, but is also paralleled in divergence patterns within species whose populations are distributed across multiple islands (including the focal taxon of this study \textit{Amphiacusta sanctaecrucis}). In the Virgin Islands, \textit{A. sanctaecrucis} are restricted to wet tropical forest. However, on two islands (i.e. Beef Island and Virgin Gorda; figure 1a) that are characterized by nearby mountains sufficiently high to create a rain-shadow effect, this species inhabits two very different habitats: a wet or a dry tropical forest (figure 1b).

We exploit this situation to consider a set of non-mutually exclusive, and potentially interacting, factors—divergent selection associated with different ecological habitats, sexually selected differentiation and geography—that may contribute to species divergence in \textit{Amphiacusta}. Specifically, we approach the question of how different factors might interact with three testable hypotheses. First, we can test for evidence of divergent selection by comparing observed patterns of morphological divergence (Q_{ST} or P_{ST}) to a background level of divergence established from patterns of neutral genetic divergence (F_{ST}), as proposed by Wright [18,19].

Differentiation in the mandibles and male genitalia (figure 2) is quantified and used as a proxy for the presence of divergent selection [7]. Studies on the functional morphology of insect mandibles have identified their ecological relevance [20], including in Orthoptera more generally, where their chewing ability appears to be under selection [21]. Likewise, genitalia are a good proxy for sexual selection [13]; genital characters not only show species-level divergence in \textit{Amphiacusta} but have also been shown to mediate reproductive success in other taxa [13,14].

Second, selection and drift acting together will produce greater divergence than drift operating alone, because ecological divergence will lead to greater reductions in gene flow than occasioned solely by geographical isolation. Thus, if ecological differences contribute to divergence, there should be a correlation between neutral genetic divergence and ecological differences between populations. Lastly, we dissect the roles of ecological and sexual processes in species divergence. Because \textit{A. sanctaecrucis} inhabits both wet and dry forests, we can ask whether there is evidence of differentiation in mandible shape between crickets inhabiting dry forests and crickets inhabiting wet forests. Furthermore, if species divergence is driven by ecological selection, and divergence in sexual characters is a consequence (not the driver) of species divergence, then we would expect to see differentiation in shape in male genitalia between dry and wet forest populations. On the other hand, if there is no significant effect of habitat on species divergence, populations may exhibit differences in genitalia shape independent of the consequences of ecological selection.

We make these comparisons among the five wet forest island populations and two dry forest populations of \textit{A. sanctaecrucis}. By making comparisons among adjacent island populations (figure 1a), we can control for the effects of the timing of colonization on our analyses of divergence. Moreover, by studying population-level divergence, as opposed to species differences, we also avoid the confounding problems of comparisons in which post-speziaational differences obscure the processes that drive species divergence [7,22].

### 2. Material and methods

Specimens of \textit{A. sanctaecrucis} were collected from a population from a wet tropical forest habitat on each of five Virgin Islands and from the two islands (Virgin Gorda and Beef Island) that had dry forest habitats, for a total of seven populations (figure 1). Only adult males (the adult stage in males is unambiguous because only mature males have wings) were used for calculating phenotypic divergence because the morphological characters most subject to species divergence are the male genitalia.

(a) Genetic data and analysis

Neutral genetic differentiation was quantified with microsatellites in a total of 176 individuals across each of the populations: Beef Island (BI; \(n = 16\)), Saint Croix (STC; \(n = 26\)), Saint John (STJ; \(n = 31\)), Saint Thomas (STT; \(n = 37\)), Tortola (TOR; \(n = 25\)), Virgin Gorda Peaks (VGP; \(n = 30\)) and Virgin Gorda Baths (VGB; \(n = 11\)). Genomic DNA was extracted from the femur of each individual using a QIagen DNAeasy kit. Nine dinucleotide microsatellites were isolated using the protocol outlined in Glenn & Schable [23]. The clone sequences used to generate microsatellites are in Genbank (accessions JX087471–479). Individuals were genotyped for nine loci (AS15, AS17, AS18, AS19, AS29, AS44, AS54, AS69 and AS70). Microsatellites were amplified in a 10 \(\mu\)L reaction containing 5.7 \(\mu\)L 

H\(_2\)O, 1.0 \(\mu\)L 10X buffer minus MgCl\(_2\), 0.3 \(\mu\)L MgCl\(_2\), 0.5 \(\mu\)L of each 10 \(\mu\)M primer, 0.4 \(\mu\)L bovine serum albumin, 0.6 \(\mu\)L of 2.5 mM dNTPs, and 0.04 \(\mu\)L Taq polymerase (Invitrogen) under the following conditions: 2 min of initial denaturation at 94\(^\circ\)C and then 35 cycles of 94\(^\circ\)C for 15 s, 50 s from 50\(^\circ\)C to 56\(^\circ\)C, 30 s at 72\(^\circ\)C and a final extension of 4 min at 72\(^\circ\)C. PCR products were genotyped on an ABI Model 3730 sequencer with a standard of ROX 500 (ABI). Alleles were scored using GENEMARKER v. 1.70 (Soft Genetics).
The program MICRO-CHECKER [24] was used to confirm that there were not null-alleles. However, one microsatellite, AS18, was not included in the analyses because of a departure from Hardy–Weinberg expectations (based on 100 000 permutations in ARLEQUIN v. 2; [25]) with p-values Bonferroni corrected for multiple tests. For eight loci, Weir & Cockerham’s [26] \( F_{ST} \)-values

![Figure 1. Populations of Amphicusta are restricted to two different habitats within the Virgin Islands and display a pattern of genetic isolation-by-distance. (a) Map of the Virgin Islands with the location of sampled populations marked by black circles and labelled with population designations. (b) Photographs illustrating the two very different habitats in which Amphicusta occur—either wet tropical forests or dry (desert-like) forests. (c) A significant pattern of isolation by distance across islands is evident (Mantel test: \( r = 0.756, p = 0.0056 \)). There was also a significant correlation between genetic distance and habitat type when controlling for the effect of geographical distance (partial Mantel test: \( r = 0.767, p = 0.028 \)). \( F_{ST} \)-values for wet versus wet forest comparisons are in white, for wet versus dry forest comparisons are in black, and for dry versus dry comparisons are in grey. STC, Saint Croix; STT, Saint Thomas; STJ, Saint John; TOR, Tortola; BI, Beef Island; VGP, Virgin Gorda Peak; VGB, Virgin Gorda Baths.]
were calculated among pairs of populations in FSTAT v. 2.9.3.2 [27] with 95% CIs determined from 1000 bootstrap replicates. We used the program IBDWS [28], which performs Mantel tests between genetic and geographical distance matrices, to examine whether populations exhibit isolation by distance. To examine whether habitat type influenced genetic divergence as well, we also performed partial Mantel tests between genetic distance and geographical distance, with habitat comparison as a co-variable. Significant relationships between genetic and geographical distances, as well as Mantel tests with 10 000 replicates. We used PWs to examine differences among different islands, they will exhibit stabilizing selection, $P_{ST} < F_{ST}$, and if they are the result of stochastic, drift-induced divergence, then $P_{ST} \approx F_{ST}$ [36,37].

The inclusion of environmental variance in this measure means that care must be taken in its interpretation. This study focuses on morphological traits that typically exhibit high additive genetic variance [38–42]. A range of heritability values (from 0.1 to 0.6) spanning those commonly reported in the literature for both ecological characters exhibiting patterns of local adaptation [43,44] and traits under sexual selection [45–48] were considered in our calculation of $P_{ST}$ to guard against misinterpretations. Results of statistical analyses presented in the text are based on a heritability scalar of 0.6, but all tests are robust to heritability scalar used to calculate $P_{ST}$ (i.e., the pattern remains significant for values of $h^2$ from 0.1 to 0.9). Note that the choice to present results based on $h^2 = 0.6$ is conservative for comparing $P_{ST}$ and FST-values, given that smaller heritability scalars result in a greater difference between $P_{ST}$ and $F_{ST}$ (see the electronic supplementary material, figure S1). The 95% CIs were calculated for each $P_{ST}$-value by bootstrapping of 2000 replicates for each trait using R (see the electronic supplementary material).

A MANOVA was used to assess whether population affiliation and habitat type independently explained a significant portion of the variation in mandible and genitalia shape. Canonical variate analysis (CVA) was used to examine whether there were significant differences in mandible and genitalia shape between wet and dry habitats, as well as to visualize how individuals from these habitats were ordinated in morphospace. The significance of the Mahalanobis distance ($D_{M}$) between habitat was assessed via permutation tests with 10 000 replicates. We used PWs to examine differences in mandible shape and relative warps (RWs) to investigate differences in genitalia shape, because a high variable to sample size ratio makes MANOVA impossible and can distort CVA, exaggerating differences. RWs are equivalent to principal components, are independent variables and their use reduces the dimensionality of the data. We then used discriminant function analysis (DFA) to assess the accuracy of the canonical variates for assigning individuals to wet and dry habitats. CVA and DFA of mandible RWs were performed in Minitab v. 1.0.5b. CVA and DFA of genitalia RWs were performed with the lda function of the 'MASS' package in R. Morphological and microsatellite data for this paper are deposited in Dryad: doi:10.5061/dryad.8b490.
P.0.1 to 0.9) used to calculate that this conclusion is robust to a broad range of heredity scalars (i.e. from about individual population comparisons, and figure S1 which demonstrates populations. See the electronic supplementary material, table S1 for details and genitalia is significantly greater than the average ¼ p ¼ 0.056 and p = 0.079).

Populations differed significantly in both mandible shape (MANOVA, Wilks λ = 0.226, F161 = 3.115, p = 0.0001) and genitalia shape (MANOVA, Wilks λ = 0.046, F335 = 3.70, p = 0.0001). Habitat type, wet or dry forest, also explained a significant portion of the variation in these structures (mandibles: MANOVA, Wilks λ = 0.635, F114 = 6.466, p = 0.0001; genitalia: MANOVA, Wilks λ = 0.599, F114 = 4.043, p = 0.0001). CVA also revealed highly significant differences in mandible shape between dry- and wet-habitat populations (D2 = 2.2037, p < 0.0001), and DFA correctly assigned 130 of 149 samples (87.2%), with the majority (17 of 19) of incorrect assignments being those from wet habitats incorrectly categorized as dry-habitat individuals. Similarly, CVA revealed significant differences in genitalia shape between dry and wet habitat populations (D2 = 2.04, p < 0.0001) and 83.1 per cent of samples were correctly assigned to wet or dry forest, with errors being almost entirely of dry habitat crickets incorrectly assigned to wet habitat (17 of 24). Altogether, there is significant separation in morphospace for both mandibles and genitalia between dry and wet forests, albeit with some overlap (figure 4).

4. Discussion

Evidence for ecological or sexual selection mediating population divergence continues to accumulate [4,53–55]; however, distinguishing between which of these factors has played the primary, and which the secondary, role can be a difficult task [5–7]. We demonstrate that isolated island populations of A. sanctaecrucis are diverging in both an ecological trait (mandible shape) and sexual trait (male genitalia). The evidence suggests that the divergence in these traits is due primarily to selection, rather than drift. First, both traits exhibit elevated PST-values relative to neutral expectations (see figure 3 and electronic supplementary material, table S1). Second, there is a significant relationship between neutral divergence and habitat, after controlling for geographical distance. Moreover, although values of PST may be confounded by a species demographic history (e.g. a correlation between FST and PST [42]), the lack of a significant correlation between patterns of neutral divergence and phenotypic divergence in both the mandibles and genitalia indicates that this is not the case here. Thus, our findings indicate that it is not just geographical isolation, but that ecological differences also contributed to species divergence.

We acknowledge that FST-values can have multiple interpretations, such as being indicative of the timing of island colonization [56]. However, this explanation does not appear to fit our data. Rather, the lack of correlation between FST and PST suggests that the contribution of ecological differences to species morphological divergence has arisen through reductions in gene flow that are greater than predicted by

**Figure 3.** Comparison of average phenotypic and genetic divergence across populations. The average PST-value across populations for both the mandibles and genitalia is significantly greater than the average FST-value across populations. See the electronic supplementary material, table S1 for details about individual population comparisons, and figure S1 which demonstrates that this conclusion is robust to a broad range of heredity scalars (i.e. from 0.1 to 0.9) (see the electronic supplementary material, figure 1), and showed that PST-values remain elevated compared with FST-values. Even though we do not have heredity estimates for the characters (the study was conducted with wild-caught animals), this range of heredity values considered span those observed in other taxa for morphological traits, including those associated with ecological differentiation [42–44,52], and specifically for studies on insect genitalia [45,46]. There is no significant correspondence between population pairwise mandibular and genitalia P(ST-values (Mantel test: r = 0.556 and p = 0.079).

ST-values between A. sanctaecrucis from Saint Croix compared with other Virgin Island populations (see the electronic supplementary material, table S1). In addition to being geographically separated from the other islands, the geological history of Saint Croix is one of continual isolation, despite dramatic changes in sea level during the Pleistocene, whereas the other Virgin Islands experienced periods of connectedness in the past [49]. Additionally, there was a significant correlation between genetic distance and habitat type when controlling for the effect of geographical distance (partial Mantel test: r = 0.767, p = 0.028).

(a) Tests for divergent selection

To test for evidence of selective divergence, the background level of divergence established from patterns of neutral genetic divergence (FST) was compared with the level of population differentiation in selected characters (as measured from the FST analogue for morphological characters, PST) [50,51]. This comparison showed that both mandibular and genitalic divergence among populations was significantly greater than patterns of neutral genetic divergence, both globally and for nearly all population pairwise comparisons (see figure 3 and the electronic supplementary material, table S1). There was also no significant correspondence between pairwise FST and mandible PST (Mantel test: r = 0.162 and p = 0.28) or genitalia PST (Mantel test: r = -0.041 and p = 0.47). To guard against the inflation of PST-values via differential wear patterns associated with dietary differences in A. sanctaecrucis from dry versus wet forests, the geometric morphometric analyses were repeated, excluding landmarks associated with the mandibular teeth; the results were the same. Because calculations of PST require a heritability scalar, we examined the robustness of this conclusion across a broad range of heritability values (i.e. from 0.1 to 0.9) (see 0.1 to 0.9) used to calculate PST.
Finally, the mating behaviour of Amphiacusta pronauta (sister species to A. sanctaecrucis) [64] and A. sanctaecrucis (L. L. Knowles & E. Oneal 2007, personal observation) suggests a functional role for the cercus, as after a lengthy mating (approx. 15 min), males remove their spermatophore from the female’s genital tract.
after mating and consume it, behaviour that suggests the attempted removal of rivals' sperm [65].

Focusing on the level of genetic differentiation as an indicator of the completeness of speciation (i.e., ranging from population divergence to post-speziation divergence; [7]), the degree of isolation conferred by all three factors—geography, divergent ecological selection and sexual selection—can be considered. Our results indicate that isolation confers some genetic differentiation, but that ecological selection in different habitats has increased the genetic differentiation beyond that expected merely by drift. Ecological selection appears to be primary, perhaps rendering sexual selection less effective as a consequence of lower levels of gene flow (i.e., greater reproductive isolation) between populations from dissimilar habitats.

Lastly, the $F_{ST}$-value between the two dry forest habitats is exceptionally high (figure 1), suggesting that they indeed are the most reproductively isolated. The accumulation of greater genitalic divergence between populations from dissimilar habitats resulting from the greater reproductive isolation driven by ecological divergence predicts that populations from the dry forest should represent the highest level of completeness towards speciation (considering that a progression towards speciation occurs in stages [7]). Altogether, our study demonstrates that non-mutually exclusive factors influencing divergence can be considered together and their effects separated from one another. Finally, despite previous evidence suggesting that sexual selection is the primary driver of species divergence in the genus *Amphiacusta* [10], we have uncovered evidence that, when geographical isolation permits, ecological divergence precedes sexual divergence among populations of flightless crickets confined to the Virgin Islands.
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