Biosilicification is widespread across the eukaryotes and requires concentration of silicon in intracellular vesicles. Knowledge of the molecular mechanisms underlying this process remains limited, with unrelated silicon-transporting proteins found in the eukaryotic clades previously studied. Here, we report the identification of silicon transporter (SIT)-type genes from the siliceous loricate choanoflagellates *Stephanocca diplocostata* and *Diaphanoeca grandis*. Until now, the SIT gene family has been identified only in diatoms and other siliceous stramenopiles, which are distantly related to choanoflagellates among the eukaryotes. This is the first evidence of similarity between SITs from different eukaryotic supergroups. Phylogenetic analysis indicates that choanoflagellate and stramenopile SITs form distinct monophyletic groups. The absence of putative SIT genes in any other eukaryotic groups, including non-siliceous choanoflagellates, leads us to propose that SIT genes underwent a lateral gene transfer event between stramenopiles and loricate choanoflagellates. We suggest that the incorporation of a foreign SIT gene into the stramenopile or choanoflagellate genome resulted in a major metabolic change: the acquisition of biomineralized silica structures. This hypothesis implies that biosilicification has evolved multiple times independently in the eukaryotes, and paves the way for a better understanding of the biochemical basis of silicon transport through identification of conserved sequence motifs.

1. Introduction

Biosilicification is the genetically controlled incorporation of amorphous silicon dioxide into the physical macrostructure of an organism. In eukaryotes, bi-silica formation occurs within a silicon deposition vesicle (SDV) to isolate the polymerizing silica from the general cell metabolism [1].

Silicon is present in the environment primarily as silicic acid (Si(OH)₄). Silicic acid autopolymerizes to silica at concentrations above 2 mM, so silica formation within the SDV is more efficient close to this concentration [2]. However, the low concentrations of silicic acid in modern oceans and freshwaters (10–180 μM, [3]) are insufficient to support silicon uptake by passive transport. Silicifying organisms, therefore, require an active transport mechanism to take up silicon from the external environment and to concentrate silicon within the SDV. Because silicic acid is a relatively inert species, with no known biochemical role outside that of biomineralization [4,5], silicon transport requires the evolution of transmembrane proteins with highly specialized interactions with silicon.

Silicon-transporting proteins are known from only a few eukaryotic groups. Diatoms possess the silicon transporter (SIT) family, whose activity and expression is tightly coupled to biosilicification [6,7]. SIT-like genes have been found in other siliceous stramenopiles (*Synura* and *Ochromonas* [8]), but in no
other groups. Land plants do not possess SIT-like genes, instead possessing NOD26-like intrinsic protein (NIP)-type transporters for silicic acid (Lsi1, Lsi6) \[9,10\] and active silicon efflux pumps (Lsi2) \[11\]. No SITs are known from siliceous sponges, but a Na\(^{+}\)/HCO\(_3\)/CO\(_3\) co-transporter has been postulated to have a role in silicon transport \[12\].

There is no obvious similarity or homology between the genes responsible for silicon transport from the different eukaryotic supergroups in the current literature. Within each transporter type, only a few silicon transport-related protein motifs have been identified, the GXQ amino acid motifs in SITs \[13\] and arginine/aromatic selectivity filter of silicon-related NIPs \[14\]. This lack of homology has hindered research into silicon biochemistry and prevented the identification of other silicon-interacting protein features.

Choanoflagellates are a group of heterotrophic aquatic protists that are the closest unicellular relatives of the animals \[15,16\]. Within choanoflagellates, the Acanthoeceans are a monophyletic group \[17\] characterized by the possession of an extracellular lorica constructed from a series of costal strips \[18\]. Each costal strip is a hollow tube of silica, formed individually within an SDV before being exocytosed from the cell \[19\]. Costal strip size and shape varies within individual loricae, and the overall lorica morphology varies between species \[20\].

Silicon-deprivation studies on loricate species revealed that costal strip formation and lorica assembly are affected incrementally in relation to silicon availability. Under silicon-depleted conditions, progressively thinner costal strips are produced, and under zero-silicon conditions no costal strips or SDVs are formed \[21,22\]. Upon silicon replenishment, costal strip production recommences, with silicon levels in the culture medium falling as new loricae are formed \[23\]. Electron microscopy reveals no evidence for vesicle-based pinocytotic uptake of silicic acid during lorica formation \[24\]. Collectively, this points towards choanoflagellates possessing a transporter-based system for the active uptake of silicon into the SDV for costal strip formation.

Here, we report the identification of genes from two loricate choanoflagellates, *Stephanoea diplocostata* and *Diaphanoeca grandis* (figure 1) with significant sequence similarity to the SIT genes of diatoms. This is the first identification of homologous silicon-related genes between siliceous species from different eukaryotic supergroups. The limited taxonomic distribution of SIT homologues suggests that they originated by lateral gene transfer (LGT), transferring an important biochemical innovation between disparate eukaryotic groups.

2. Material and methods

(a) Identification and cloning of silicon transporter sequences

RNA extracted from cultures of *S. diplocostata* was used to produce a cDNA library, which was sequenced using 454 pyro-sequencing. The resulting transcriptome reads were assembled into contigs for bioinformatic analysis (see the electronic supplementary material for detailed description). Those contigs containing SIT domains were selected for further tBLASTx analysis \[25\] against the EMBL/Genbank non-redundant nucleotide databases (see the electronic supplementary material). The relevant open reading frames were used as queries in PSI-BLAST \[25\] searches against the EMBL/Genbank non-redundant protein...
database. **CLUSTALX** was used to generate a protein alignment of all the translated contigs containing the SIT domain.

The longest *S. diplospora* contig was used as query sequence for a tBLASTx search (significance threshold value = \(1 \times 10^{-10}\)) against a partial genome sequence dataset from *D. grandis* (see the electronic supplementary material). A protein alignment of those contigs providing a significant hit was generated using **CLUSTALX**, and the longest contig analysed using **InterProScan**.

*Stephanoea diplospora* dtcDNA was made using SuperscriptIII First-Strand Synthesis reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) and 2 μl (approx. 1 μg) of cDNA used as RT-PCR template. A total of 200 ng of genomic DNA, extracted from *D. grandis* cultures using a cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) buffer based method [26], was used as PCR template together with the DgSITA primers. The RT-PCR and PCR protocols were as follows: hot-start denaturing step of 94°C for 4 min; 35 cycles of 94°C (30 s), 50°C (30 s), 72°C (105 s); final elongation step of 72°C for 5 min (see the electronic supplementary material for primer sequences and combinations). Amplified sequences were cloned into the PGEM-T Easy Vector System (Promega) and Subcloning Efficiency DH5α Competent Cells (Invitrogen). Plasmids were extracted using a Qiagen Spin Miniprep Kit (Qagen) and sequenced by SourceBioScience (Cambridge, UK).

### 3. Results

#### (a) Identification of silicon transporters in the

*Stephanoea diplospora* transcriptome

Transcriptome sequencing of *S. diplospora* produced 0.261 Gb of data assembled into 26,325 contigs. **InterProScan** analysis found six transcriptome contigs containing putative SIT protein domains. The most significant tBLASTx and PSI-BLAST search hits were to known diatom SIT sequences (see the electronic supplementary material). Searches excluding diatoms found significant similarity (e-value > \(1 \times 10^{-5}\)) to SIT sequences from the siliceous stramenopiles *Synura petersenii* and *Ochrononas ovata*. No significant similarity was found to any sequences from the non-loricate choanoflagellates *Monosiga brevicollis*, *Salpingoeca rosetta* or *Monosiga ovata*.

These six contigs appear to represent three full-length genes, with a shorter contig (08888) that may represent minor allelic variation, errors in sequencing that led to a distinct assembly or a partial or inactive gene. The three full-length genes were designated *S. diplospora* silicon transporter (SdSIT) a, b and c.

The validity of the transcriptome assemblies for all three genes was confirmed by RT-PCR from *S. diplospora* cDNA. SdSITA and SdSITb yielded products with 99 per cent nucleotide identity to the assembled contigs. In SdSITc, the gene-specific 5’ region was successfully amplified, while comparing the contigs showed that the 3’ region is identical to that of SdSITb. Repeating tBLASTx, PSI-BLAST and **InterProScan** analyses for the final sequences of each SdSIT gene produced the same results as the original contigs (see the electronic supplementary material).

#### (b) Identification of an incomplete silicon transporter in the

*Stephanoea grandis* genome dataset

A tBLASTx search querying SdSITA against the partial *D. grandis* genome dataset found 25 hits at a significance
threshold of $1 \times 10^{-5}$ (data not shown). The longest contig is 931 bp and has potential to encode a protein with similarity to the *S. diplocostata* SITs over 193 amino acids. The remaining contigs, mostly shorter than 250 bp, encode protein fragments similar to short stretches within this region of the protein, but the fragmentary genome data precludes determination of how many genes they represent. We conclude that *D. grandis* also has a family of SIT-related genes.

The 5' end of the 931 bp DgSITa contig has low sequence similarity to other SIT sequences and contains in-frame stop codons. There is a putative splice acceptor site just upstream of the conserved protein coding sequence, suggesting that this 5' sequence represents an intron. This provides strong evidence against a bacterial origin for the SIT domain containing sequences in the *D. grandis* partial genome data. The conserved protein sequence runs to the 3' end of the available contig.

PCR amplification of DgSITa from genomic DNA produced a sequence identical to the original contig, except that a 71 bp long tandem repeat in the putative intron was absent in the PCR-derived sequence. Attempts to extend the available DgSITa sequence using RT-PCR or 5' RACE were unsuccessful. The results of the BLAST searches and InterProScan analysis using DgSITa are given in the electronic supplementary material.

(c) Bioinformatic analysis of *Stephanoea diplocostata* silicon transporter

The SdSIT-deduced proteins show high levels of sequence similarity (89–95% nucleotide identity). WoLF PSORT was used to analyse the sequences for predicted subcellular localization. All three databases gave a majority prediction that each SdSIT protein is targeted to the plasma membrane. Topology prediction programmes identified nine, 10 or 11 TMDs (see the electronic supplementary material). As diatom SITs have been consistently predicted to have 10 TMDs (orange line, figure 2), we applied 10 TMD prediction from TMPred to the SdSITs (black line, figure 2). The TMDs predicted for choanoflagellate and diatom SITs overlap to some degree; however, the location of TMD 4 is considerably different. The COILS software found no evidence for putative coiled-coil motifs in the SdSIT sequences, unlike pennate diatom SITs which contain prominent C-terminal coiled-coil motifs [13].

(d) Identification of conserved motifs in silicon transporter proteins

Alignment of choanoflagellate, diatom and stramenopile SITs revealed five motifs in common across all SITs (figure 2). The two pairs of GXQ-containing motifs have been noted previously, the first in TMDs 2 and 3, the second located in TMDs 7 and 8, but symmetrically orientated with respect to the membrane polarity [13]. In our alignment, the C-terminal motifs of the pair contained GRQ, apart from one CRQ motif (*Shionodiscus ritscheri*). The N-terminal motif sequences in each pair were resolved as EGXQ, X being cysteine, glycine or glutamine in the first motif pair and methionine, isoleucine or threonine in the second. Variants were again only found at the second motif of the pair: EAMQ (in *Navicula pelliculosa* SIT4) and KGMQ (in *Stephanodiscus yellowstonensis*). In choanoflagellate SITs, all motifs were EGCQ–GRQ (first motif pair) and EGLQ–GRQ (second motif pair).

We also identified an additional conserved sequence between the EGXQ–GRQ motif pairs: this motif is (G/S)QL. GQL is by far the more common variant and is present in all choanoflagellate SIT sequences. The CMLD motif [36] was not found in any choanoflagellate SIT.

In addition to these motifs, three hydroxyl-containing residues (T115, T290 and S382 in SdSITa), three negatively charged residues (T115, T290 and S382 in SdSITa) and one positively charged residue (T115 in SdSITa) were conserved in all SIT sequences. The conserved charged or hydroxylated residues are noted as per [13,30]; purple arrows, negatively charged; red arrows, positively charged; green arrows, hydroxyl-containing. Oo, *Ochromonas ovalis* (chrysophyte, non-diatom stramenopile); Cf, *Cylindrotheca fusiformis* (pennate diatom); Pt, *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* (pennate diatom); Tp, *Thalassiosira pseudonana* (centric diatom); Sa, *Synedra acus* (pennate diatom).
charged residues (E133, E225 and D476 in SdSITα) and one positively charged residue (R134 in SdSITα) were identified as being conserved across all SITs. These residue classes have been proposed to function in silicic acid transport [13] (see §4).

The first GRQ motif (TMD 3), the second EGXQ motif (TMD 7) and the conserved residues are found in similar position with respect to membrane orientation in both choanoflagellates and stramenopiles. The first EGXQ motif is intracellular in diatoms but placed within TMD 2 in choanoflagellates. Conversely, the second GRQ motif is extracellular in choanoflagellates but is predicted to be within TMD 8 in diatoms. In choanoflagellates, the (G/S)QL motif is inside TMD 4, but is intracellular in diatom SIT structures. This relates to the major difference in the predicted location of TMD 4 between diatoms and choanoflagellates (figure 2).

(e) Evolution of silicon transporter genes

An ML tree produced from analysis of choanoflagellate, diatom and non-diatom stramenopile SIT protein sequences is shown in figure 3. This tree was obtained using PhyML ML analysis with the LG + Γ model from an alignment of 769 amino acid residues. The tree produced using RaxML and the WAG model had identical topology. Numbers at nodes are a percentage of 100 bootstrap replicates (PhyML bootstrap value/RaxML bootstrap value). Blue, pennate diatom; green, centric diatom; brown, non-diatom stramenopile; red, choanoflagellate. Dash (—/—) indicates a bootstrap value less than or equal to 70%. Scale bar indicates the average number of amino acid substitutions per site.

Figure 3. Phylogenetic tree demonstrates that choanoflagellate SITs are monophyletic within the stramenopile SITs. The tree was produced using PhyML ML analysis with the LG + Γ model from an alignment of 769 amino acid residues. The tree produced using RaxML and the WAG model had identical topology. Numbers at nodes are a percentage of 100 bootstrap replicates (PhyML bootstrap value/RaxML bootstrap value). Blue, pennate diatom; green, centric diatom; brown, non-diatom stramenopile; red, choanoflagellate. Dash (—/—) indicates a bootstrap value less than or equal to 70%. Scale bar indicates the average number of amino acid substitutions per site. Identical topologies. Trees are unrooted because of the lack of any known SITs or SIT homologues, from outside the choanoflagellates or stramenopiles, to act as an outgroup.

Choanoflagellate SITs (in red) form a distinct monophyletic clade (100% bootstrap support, posterior probability = 1). Within this, the S. diplocostata paralogues resolve as a clade, though with low bootstrap support. An exceptionally long branch marks the division between the choanoflagellate and stramenopile sequences.

The SITs from non-diatom stramenopiles (shown in brown) branch from within the diatom SITs with significant (94–100%) bootstrap support and posterior probability = 1). These synurophyte and chrysophyte SITs are sister to a subset of the pennate diatom SITs (blue). Centric diatom SITs branch together as a monophyletic clade (100% bootstrap support, posterior probability = 1) with pennate diatom SITs resolving as paraphyletic.

4. Discussion

The loricate choanoflagellates S. diplocostata and D. grandis possess a family of genes encoding the SIT protein domain, a domain previously found only in diatoms, synurophytes and chrysophytes. This is the first report of homology between
putative SITs in two different eukaryotic supergroups, opisthokonts and stramenopiles [37]. These SIT domains are not present in any of the sequenced non-siliceous choanoflagellate species [15,17,38,39], implying a correlation between their presence and lorica biomineralization.

(a) Evidence against a contaminant origin
That distinct, but closely related, SIT genes are found in two different loricate choanoflagellate species is strong evidence against an origin from stramenopile contamination. This is further supported by phylogenetic analysis, which resolved choanoflagellate SITs as a strongly supported clade separate to the stramenopile SITs (figure 3). Furthermore, no housekeeping genes (rRNA, tubulins, etc.), were found among those transcriptome contigs with highest tBLASTx similarity to stramenopile sequences, and no non-choanoflagellate eukaryotes were observed in the cultures.

(b) Silicon transporters in choanoflagellates
The SIT gene family is best characterized from S. diplocoelstata, but the evidence indicates that an SIT gene family also exists in D. grandis. SdSITa, b and c show very high levels of sequence identity, up to 95 per cent (nucleotide) and 94 per cent (amino acid). This is similar to identity levels in diatom SITs [13,40]. Such a high degree of conservation implies either strong functional constraints [41] or that these genes are the product of a relatively recent gene duplication event.

Different SdSIT paralogues may be specialized to different subcellular locations (e.g. plasma membrane or SDV). This is supported by variation at the N-termini, where eukaryotic localization signal sequences often occur [42]. Alternatively, each SdSIT may have different transport activity, as suggested for diatom SIT3 [7,40]. Unlike diatoms [43], S. diplocoelstata continually produces biosilica under normal conditions [19]. This would require a steady, constant uptake of silicon, which may be reflected in the similar read numbers observed for SdSITa, b and c.

(c) Silicon transporter evolution
Phylogenetic reconstruction (figure 3) found that choanoflagellate and stramenopile SIT sequences are evolutionarily distinct, with a long and well-supported branch between the two groups. SdSITs appear to be monophyletic, indicating gene duplication within the loricate choanoflagellates.

The synurophyte and chrysophyte SIT sequences group together as a well-supported monophyletic clade, as do the centric diatom SITs. Both these clades branch from within the paraphyletic pennate diatom SITs. This branching order is incongruent with previous hypotheses of stramenopile evolution based on siliceous fossils [44], but this may be an artefact of taxon sampling that could be resolved by obtaining more SIT sequences from non-diatom stramenopiles. The phylogenetic analyses are in agreement with some aspects of previous analyses [13,31], such as the close relationship of C. fusiformis SIT genes and the highly divergent nature of TpSIT3 and PtSIT3.

The phylogenetic analysis clearly shows that the diatom SIT numerical classification system is incongruent with patterns of orthology between species and therefore choanoflagellate SIT genes were classified alphabetically, to avoid any statement of homology with individual members of the diatom SIT gene families.

(d) Proposed structure and function of choanoflagellate silicon transporter proteins
The similar TMD topology predictions and conserved residues between choanoflagellate and stramenopile proteins (figure 4) can be used to support a common mechanism for SIT transmembrane silicon transport in both these groups, similar to that proposed by Thamatrakoln et al. [13].

SITs possess an inverted symmetrical structure of 5 + 5 TMDs (figure 4). This is characteristic of the LeuT-fold-type sodium symporters, which all share a common inverted 5 + 5 TMD structural pseudosymmetry [45,46]. The degree of symmetry observable from the amino acid sequence of SITs is notable in comparison with other LeuT-fold transporters, whose inverted pseudosymmetry is only discernible from their three-dimensional structure and is not visible in the primary protein sequence [45]. This strongly supports the theory that SITs evolved by homodimerization of an ancestral transmembrane transporter by gene duplication followed by gene fusion [13,47]. The inverted symmetrical structure would allow bidirectional silicon transport, predicted for both diatom and choanoflagellate SITs. Silicic acid efflux transport is thought to have had a major role in the high-silicon pre-Cenozoic oceans [3], to prevent over-accumulation of silicic acid and subsequent uncontrolled auto-polymerization of silica in the cytoplasm [13,48].
Owing to the structural similarities, we applied the alternating access transporter mechanism developed for the LeuT-fold-type transporters (reviewed in [46,49]) to SITs. We suggest that the highly conserved TMDs 2, 3, 7 and 8 form the central helices (equivalent to TMDs 1, 2, 6 and 7 of LeuT), with the remaining TMDs forming the surrounding scaffold helices. The EGXQ and/or GRQ motifs are likely candidates to be the silicic acid-binding sites, as the charged residues could provide a localized polar environment characteristic of the unwound helix region found at binding sites [50]. The glutamine side-chains may interact with local negative charges in the hydroxyl groups of the silicic acid molecule [13]. The remaining EGXQ and/or GRQ motifs would lie at the point of substrate entry to or exit, where the charged residues could play a role in orientating the substrates into and out of the aqueous vestibule.

Sodium and silicon are transported in a 1:1 ratio in diatoms [51], and calculated Hill binding coefficients of SITs [48,52] indicate the presence of a second binding site. In LeuT-fold transporters, Na⁺ binding is required for correct substrate binding and to cause conformational change of the core helices for transport [46,49], and this must also be the case for SITs. The conserved hydroxylated residue (S382 in SdSITa) in the proposed central bundle region (figure 4) is likely to be involved in substrate binding. This hints that they may play a structural role, rather than having direct involvement in substrate binding.

At the cytoplasmic opening to the proposed binding vestibule (between TMD 2 and 3, figure 4), the intracellular loop contains conserved charged and hydroxylated amino acids. These residues may interact with the theorized cytoplasmic silicic acid-binding component that forms an organic complex for intracellular silicon transport to the SDV [48]. The (G/S)QL motif and other conserved features lie outside the proposed central bundle helices. This hints that they may play a structural role, rather than having direct involvement in substrate binding.

Comparison of diatom and choanoflagellate SITs provides evidence against other proposed models. Choanoflagellate SITs lack the conserved extracellular cysteines previously suggested to play a role in diatom SIT activity [40], and the CMLD motif is absent in all choanoflagellate SITs, as is the possible YXXL-binding site, strongly arguing against a critical role for zinc-binding role in SIT transport [36].

(e) Lateral gene transfer and the origin of silicon transporters in loricrte choanoflagellates

The genomes of choanoflagellates and some stramenopiles contain SIT genes encoding highly similar proteins. The absence of genes with any recognizable homology to SITs in eukaryotes other than loricrate choanoflagellates and siliceous stramenopiles makes it extremely unlikely that such SIT genes were present in the last common ancestor of choanoflagellates and stramenopiles. This organism would be equivalent to the last common ancestor of all eukaryotic supergroups [37], and therefore this hypothesis would involve the loss of all SIT homologues from all other sequenced eukaryotes.

The convergent evolution of SITs in loricrate choanoflagellates and siliceous stramenopiles would require not only parallel evolution of multiple SIT-specific features, but also the loss of all related or ancestral genes in all other opisthokonts and non-siliceous stramenopiles. The taxonomic coverage of available sequence data [55,56] makes such a conclusion highly unlikely.

We therefore believe that on the basis of current evidence, the best model for the evolution of SITs is through LGT. The most parsimonious hypothesis involves a single LGT event between a choanoflagellate and a stramenopile, in one direction or the other.

LGT is prevalent both in choanoflagellates [57] and diatoms [58]. In choanoflagellates, this may be related to their phagotrophic lifestyle [59]. In M. brevicollis, LGT from both eukaryotic and prokaryotic sources has been proposed to be responsible for the gain of stress-related genes [60]. Stramenopile-derived genes are thought to be unusually frequent in M. brevicollis [57], including genes linked with the evolution of multicellularity [61]. Diatoms are believed to have gained some of their biosilification machinery (related to long-chain polyamine formation) from LGT [62] in addition to other diatom-specific metabolic pathways [58].

Though the genomic arrangement of choanoflagellate SIT genes is unknown, diatom biosilification genes are often clustered [31]. These clusters possess their own promoter sequences, with a possible silicon-specific promoter suggested from T. pseudonana [63]. This spatial association of biosilification genes allows for coordinated regulation in diatoms [31], but it may make SITs more amenable to LGT by having a self-regulating genomic unit that can interact with existing metabolic pathways with minimal disruption [60].

Major questions concern the direction and timing of the SIT-related LGT event. The earliest confirmed diatom fossils are from the Mesozoic [44], with putative Precambrian chrysophyte fossils [64]. Choanoflagellate silica lack a fossil record, though molecular clock estimates place the origin of the choanoflagellate branch to approximately 750 Ma [65]. Choanoflagellate biosilification is believed to have evolved only once, in the ancestor of loricates [17], but further evidence is required to confirm this, or to determine whether SIT-related silica biomineralization is the ancestral condition of the choanoflagellates and was lost in non-loricate groups [17]. This ambiguity, together with the incomplete fossil record and phylogenetic results (figure 3), means that it remains inconclusive as to whether the LGT event was stramenopile-to-choanoflagellate, diatom-to-choanoflagellate or choanoflagellate-to-stramenopile.

(f) Evolutionary implications for biosilification

In addition to SITs, there are several classes of genes involved in biosilification in diatoms (e.g. silaffins [66] and silacidins [67]). None of these, other than SITs, were identified in our sequence data. Additionally, no evidence was found for choanoflagellate versions of the silica-related sponge enzyme silicatein [68]. This suggests that other components of the
biochemistry of silicon [71], the acquisition of silicon transport by LGT may be relatively more prevalent than their de novo evolution from existing transporter genes.

Biosilicification provides many selective benefits (e.g. protection, [72]), so once a foreign SIT gene was incorporated into the genome it would confer a strong selective advantage for that organism. Several common biomolecules, such as collagen [73], glycoproteins [69], polyamines [2] and proteases [74] are known to have the capacity to direct silica polymerization. It may be the case that many taxa possess the capacity for silicification but lack the means to concentrate sufficient silicon for polymerization to occur. This difficulty would be overcome by the acquisition of a laterally transferred gene for silicon transport, with important implications for the ecology [43], evolutionary diversity [53] and biogeochemistry [3] of the newly biomining lineage.
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