Artificial light at night advances avian reproductive physiology
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Artificial light at night is a rapidly increasing phenomenon and it is presumed to have global implications. Light at night has been associated with health problems in humans as a consequence of altered biological rhythms. Effects on wild animals have been less investigated, but light at night has often been assumed to affect seasonal cycles of urban dwellers. Using light loggers attached to free-living European blackbirds (Turdus merula), we first measured light intensity at night which forest and city birds are subjected to in the wild. Then we used these measurements to test for the effect of light at night on timing of reproductive physiology. Captive city and forest blackbirds were exposed to either dark nights or very low light intensities at night (0.3 lux). Birds exposed to light at night developed their reproductive system up to one month earlier, and also moulted earlier, than birds kept under dark nights. Furthermore, city birds responded differently than forest individuals to the light at night treatment, suggesting that urbanization can alter the physiological phenotype of songbirds. Our results emphasize the impact of human-induced lighting on the ecology of millions of animals living in cities and call for an understanding of the fitness consequences of light pollution.

1. Introduction

All over the world, urban areas are growing faster than any other land cover type [1]. As cities spread, rural and natural areas are converted into urban landscapes, reducing the native habitat of many animal and plant species. However, there is an increasing number of species across many taxa that manage to successfully colonize and reproduce in urban environments and are, therefore, exposed to novel environmental conditions. Urban ecology is an established field of research: ecologists have long shown the impact of urbanization on population and community dynamics [2], and in the last two decades new interest has been arising around the mechanisms of individuals’ response to urbanization [3], e.g. stress and reproductive physiology [4,5], temporal and spatial activity patterns [6], metabolism [7] and behaviour [8]. Moreover, while the effects of noise at the community and individual level have started to be elucidated [9,10], knowledge about the ecological and evolutionary consequences of artificial night lighting is still limited (but see [11]). This is remarkable given the ample evidence that light through its diel changes and/or seasonal fluctuations (changes in day length) has strong biological relevance for the daily and annual rhythms of life [12].

Seasonal functions, such as reproduction, are thought to be under natural selection because optimal timing, i.e. when environmental conditions are most favourable, ensures best survival of both parents and offspring [13–15]. Because seasonal functions require relatively long periods of development, most of them cannot be initiated instantaneously when ultimate factors become optimal. This is especially true for reproduction, for which the main ultimate factor, food, becomes crucial when offspring require an increasing amount of food. Thus, responding to proximate cues is vital [16]. In birds, species living in temperate zones use photoperiod to predict optimal timing of reproduction. The increase in day length in early spring initiates a cascade of neuroendocrine events that leads to the development of the gonads [17]. If photoperiod is the main predictive
cue for temperate birds, how do these animals cope in a brightly illuminated habitat such as a city? There is increasing evidence that urban birds have an extended breeding season, mainly because of an advanced onset of reproduction. In European blackbirds (Turdus merula), for instance, onset of gonadal recrudescence is advanced up to three weeks in a city population, in both males and females [18]. Several potential factors have been considered to explain the observed differences in the timing of reproduction in urban areas, such as anthropogenic food supply [19], warmer microclimate and more intense social stimulation [18]. In addition, artificial light at night has been hypothesized already by Rowan [20] to stimulate early breeding in London starlings (Sturnus vulgaris). Poultry scientists have long known that exposing birds to long days can stimulate reproduction outside the natural breeding period [21]. However, the effects of light at night on gonadal recrudescence have been investigated using light intensities higher than 15 lux (reviews in [20,22,23]), a value likely to be far above the intensity birds are exposed to in urban areas. Indeed, one of the major challenges for experimental studies investigating the impact of light pollution on wild animals is the lack of knowledge about what levels of light at night animals actually experience in cities. We approached this problem by tagging wild-caught European blackbirds with micro-light loggers and releasing them in their native urban and rural habitats. The field data allowed us to experimentally simulate light intensities that free-roaming blackbirds can normally be exposed to in their natural urban environment, and to test whether these low light intensities at night may cause changes in the timing of reproductive physiology.

2. Material and methods

(a) Measurement of natural exposure to light at night on free-living birds

In order to estimate the light intensity to which free-living blackbirds are exposed at night, we used micro-light loggers (Sigma Delta Tech., Australia, weight = 3 grams). Loggers contained a photodiode (TSL 235, TAOS, USA) whose spectral responsivity ranged from 300 to 1100 nm (peak at 780 nm). Each logger was calibrated during morning twilight against a photometer (LI-1400 and LI-2100, LI-COR, USA) to calculate illuminance (lux) from frequency values. Recordings ranged from 0.0004 to 40000 lux. Loggers recorded and stored light intensity every 2 min. We deployed the loggers between March and June in 2 years (2009 and 2010) on 15 male blackbirds captured in the city of Munich (Germany, 48° 07’ N, 11° 34’ E; 518 m asl) and in a rural forest near the village of Raisting (47° 53’ N, 11° 04’ E, 553 m asl), 40 km southwest of Munich. Birds were then immediately released on their territory. We recaptured the birds whenever possible after a week of recording. We only analysed data recorded between 10.00 and 16.00, because we wanted to avoid including the twilight phases in the analysis. For forest males (n = 7), light intensity at night was always the lowest detectable value by each light logger, so we simply averaged those values for all birds. For each city male (n = 8), we calculated the median and the maximum of the third quartiles of all its night measures, and then averaged those values for all birds (figure 1).

(b) Animals

In July 2010, we captured 40 new adult male blackbirds (20 urban and 20 rural) in the same rural and urban sites described in the previous section. Birds were transported in cloth cages to our facilities in Radolfzell (47° 44’ N, 8° 58’ E, 404 m asl), and kept individually in outdoor aviaries until 26 November 2010, when they were moved indoors into individual cages in two separate rooms. Each room contained 10 city and 10 forest birds, all being initially exposed to light/dark (LD) cycles simulating the natural variation of photoperiod in Radolfzell. Birds could hear but not see each other. Food (Granvita, Chemi-Vit, Italy) and drinking water were available ad libitum. We assessed body mass and fat score of birds on the day they were moved indoor, and thereafter, every month. Birds were weighted with a laboratory balance (KERN PCB 1000–2, precision 0.01 g, KERN, Germany) and the amount of subcutaneous fat was scored on a 0–8 scale following [24]. Moult was checked on a weekly basis starting from March 2011. To determine the onset of moult, we used the flight feather moult. To this end, we recorded the state of flight feather moult on a weekly basis using a method modified from [25]. As soon as a bird shed or re-grew the first inner primary (primary 1) of both the left and right wing we defined this date as the onset of moult.

(c) Light treatment

The experiment started on 18 December 2010. Photoperiod followed the local natural variation of day length in both treatment groups and throughout the experiment. Control birds stayed under an LD cycle. Experimental birds were exposed to light/dim light cycles (LLdim). Day-time light intensity in both groups ranged from 250 to 1250 lux, and was provided by dimmable fluorescent white bulbs (Biolux 36 W, Osram, Germany) emitting light at wavelengths covering the visible spectrum. Because lowest light intensity of dimmable fluorescent bulbs were still very high (approx. 20 lux), we used a dimmable incandescent light bulb (SLV Elektronik, Germany, with a wavelength range of 450–950 nm, measured with a Red Tide USB650 spectrometer (OceanOptics, USA)) to simulate the low light intensities which free-roaming blackbirds experienced at night. Incandescent light bulbs were installed so that birds could not see the light bulbs directly, but only received the indirect light. We chose this type of light bulb because first it is a common light source in urban areas, e.g. for outdoor light decoration of
houses and second it is representative of the spectrum of lights deployed in the city of Munich (yellow–red lights). Light intensity at night in the experimental group was set to 0.3 lux. Control birds were exposed to a light intensity of approximately 0.0001 lux during the night, provided by the same light bulb type as that used for the experimental group. This light intensity of approximately 0.0001 lux was used to allow birds to orientate in the cage during the night. Each group was exposed to a twilight phase of 35 min both in the morning and evening. Light programmes of both rooms were controlled by Gira Homeserver (Germany). Light intensity in the cages was quantified at all four perches in a cage using a LI-1400 data logger and LI-210 photometric sensor. Values are given as the mean of measurements at all four perches.

(d) Hormone analysis
In order to quantify the effects of light at night on plasma testosterone (T) secretion, we collected blood samples. Blood sampling was conducted on 5 December 2010, before the start of the experiment. Thereafter, we obtained a blood sample from every individual every three to four weeks. We punctured the brachial vein with a 25 g needle and collected 200 μl of blood in a haemacrit tube. Plasma was separated from red blood cells by centrifugation for 10 min (G-force = 18.63) within 30 min after the end of the sampling and then the plasma fraction was stored at −80°C. We determined plasma T concentration using a commercial enzyme immunoassay (EIA) kit (no. 901–065, Enzo Life Sciences, NY, USA), following a double diethyl-ether extraction of 20 μl sample volume. After drying the extract under N2 stream, 400 μl of assay buffer (Tris-buffered saline) was added and the samples were allowed to reconstitute overnight. From each reconstituted sample we used 200 μl for the EIA, separated equally in two adjacent wells. We followed the instructions provided by the manufacturer throughout. Levels of T were calculated using a 5-parameter logistic curve-fitting programme (Microplate Manager; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., CA, USA). Plasma samples from each individual for the entire reproductive cycle (nine samples per bird) were analysed on the same plate. Samples from two individuals were included on each plate. A total of 20 assays were run. Assay lower sensitivity was 5.67 pg ml⁻¹ plasma T. The mean intra-assay coefficient of variation of two replicate standards per plate was 6.9 per cent and the inter-assay coefficient of variation was 11.9 per cent.

(e) Assessment of testicular size
Testicular size was assessed through laparotomy [26]. Incisions were made under isoflurana anaesthesia (CP-Pharma, Germany). The width of the left testis was measured to the nearest 0.1 mm. Incisions were treated with Actihaemyl gel (Meda Pharma GmbH, Germany) and sealed with Histoacryl (Braun, Germany). All birds recovered rapidly from the procedure. Laparotomies were conducted one week after the blood sampling described in the previous paragraph. We obtained baseline testicular width from all birds on 15 December 2010, before the start of the experiment. Thereafter, laparatomies were conducted monthly.

(f) Song recordings
Song activity was recorded every three to four weeks. An EM-9600 omnidirectional microphone (T-BONE, Australia) was fixed on the ceiling of each room pointing down to the cages. That is, song recording was made on a per room basis (control versus experimental), so standard errors were not quantifiable. The microphone was connected to a Tascam DR-08 digital recorder (TEAC Corporation, Japan). Recordings started in the afternoon and lasted for 24 h. For this study, only recordings from five hours before morning twilight onwards were analysed. The time the first song occurred was recorded and is reported in minutes before twilight.

(g) Statistical analysis
All statistical analysis were performed with software R v. 2.13.0 [27]. All tests were two-tailed and significance was accepted at α = 0.05.

Variation in testicular size was analyzed by general additive mixed-effect models (GAMMs, R package mgcv [28]). Log-transformed testicular width was included as response variable to reach normality and homogeneity of variance. Treatment, origin and interaction between treatment and origin were included as parametric terms. The four possible interactions between factors’ levels and date were modelled as smoothed terms. To account for non-independence of repeated measures, subjects were included as random intercepts in the model. In addition, we used threshold values of testicular width to estimate duration of the ‘reproductive season’. The onset and end of ‘functional testes’ were defined as the dates at which testicular width reached a value of 5 mm. This threshold was selected on the assumption that testes start producing sperm at half-maximum volume [18]. The exact date at which testicular growth and regression passed the threshold value was extrapolated for each individual from a four parameters logistic equation (GraphPad Software, USA). The equation used was: testicular size = B + (A − B)/1 + exp((C − date)/D), where A = lower asymptote of the curve, B = upper asymptote of the curve, C = response half way between bottom and top and D = slope of the curve at half way between bottom and top. Length of the ‘reproductive season’ was defined as the number of days for which an individual had functional testes.

Testosterone (T) data were analysed with linear mixed models (LMMs, R package lme4 [29]). Log-transformed T was included as response variable. Date, second-order polynomial date, origin, treatment and all possible interactions were modelled as fixed factors. Subjects were included as random intercepts. Models were evaluated by comparing their Akaike information criteria (AICs). We considered as best model that with the lowest AIC, which included date, origin, treatment and the interaction between treatment and date and treatment and second-order polynomial date. The p-value for each estimate was calculated by Monte Carlo Markow-Chain (MCMC) using the function psr.sic in the R package languageR [30]. The interactions were evaluated by comparing the 95 per cent Bayesian credible intervals (CI) of the estimates for each treatment group at each time point of the reproductive cycle. CI were calculated using the function sim in the R package arm [31]. We considered two groups to be significantly different if the CI of the estimate for one group did not include the estimates of the other groups. We used the same type of models and procedure to analyse the variation in body weight and fat scores. In all these models the best AIC was always given by including the linear effects of date, origin and treatment, but no interactions.

The time of initiation of moult was compared between treatments and population by using generalized linear models (GLMs) with a Poisson error structure and a log-link. The date of moult start was included as response variable, and treatment, origin and their interaction were modelled as explanatory variables. The interaction was not significant, therefore, we removed it.

3. Results
In the field, free-living forest birds (n = 7) were exposed on average to 0.00006 lux at night. By contrast, free-living city birds (n = 8) were exposed to highly variable light levels at night (range 0.07–2.2 lux, average 0.2 lux, figure 1).
Our experimental city and forest birds did not differ in body mass (LMM, MCMC estimate $=-0.40$, pMCMC $=0.67$) or fat score (LMM, MCMC estimate $=0.06$, pMCMC $=0.70$) before and during the entire course of the experiment. Furthermore, light treatment did not have an effect on body weight (LMM, MCMC estimate $=0.08$, pMCMC $=0.93$) or fat score (LMM, MCMC estimate $=0.17$, pMCMC $=0.35$) during the reproductive cycle.

Experimental birds exposed to a light intensity of 0.3 lux at night developed functional testes on average 26 days (interpolation from logistic model; table 1 and figure 2a) earlier than control birds kept under dark nights (GAMM, $p < 0.001$, table 2). City birds became reproductively active on average 13 days earlier than forest individuals (table 1). After taking into account the effect attributable to the different light protocol, this difference was significant (GAMM, $p = 0.025$, table 2), confirming previous results on wild male blackbirds from the same populations [18]. The outcome of our model revealed a significant interaction between treatment and origin effect (GAMM, $p < 0.041$, table 2). This interaction was evident during the testicular regression phase. City birds exposed to light at night regressed their testes 14 days earlier than forest conspecifics exposed to artificial light at night, whereas forest and city birds under dark nights differed only by three days in the timing of testicular regression (table 1). Overall experimental birds maintained functional testes 12 days longer than control birds. In particular, experimental forest and city birds had, respectively, 9 and 12 days longer duration of the reproductive season than their counterparts in the control group (table 1).

Levels of plasma T also differed between treatment groups. Birds which were exposed to light at night started to increase the secretion of plasma testosterone earlier than control birds (significant effect of treatment $\times$ date interaction: LMM, MCMC estimate $=-0.6$, pMCMC $=0.0001$ and significant effect of treatment $\times$ 2nd order date interaction: LMM, MCMC estimate $=-0.05$, pMCMC $=0.0002$). In particular, we found significant differences in the measurements done on 21 January (control: mean $=-1.76$, CI $=-2.02$, $-1.50$; experimental: mean $=-1.24$, CI $=-1.50$, $-0.99$). Although urban birds tended to increase their T concentration earlier than forest birds, these effects are not significant nor is the interaction between origin and treatment significantly different (figure 2b).

Light at night also had an effect on the timing of moult (figure 2a, horizontal box plots). Birds under light at night started their moult on average 22 days before birds under dark nights (GLM, d.f. $=38$, $z = -5.59$, $p < 0.001$). In addition, we detected within-treatment differences: in both treatment groups city birds started moult on average 13 days before forest birds (GLM, d.f. $=38$, $z = -3.28$, $p = 0.001$).

Both control and treatment groups showed seasonal variation in the onset of morning song. The experimental group showed an earlier onset of dawn chorus than control group, advancing song onset most dramatically in March and April (figure 2c).

### 4. Discussion

Here, we show that even extremely low light intensities at night are able to alter the timing of reproductive physiology in songbirds. Although the effect of light stimulation at night on the reproductive system of birds has long been known and effectively used in poultry science to increase egg production, previous studies used light at night of intensities unrealistic for what animals experienced in cities [22,23]. Our results are novel because we used a very low light intensity at night which was determined from data recorded on individual free-roaming urban blackbirds. We used a light intensity at night of 0.3 lux, a value 20 times lower than the light intensity emitted by a typical street lamp in our study site (approx. 6 lux).

There is ample evidence that urban populations of a variety of bird species living in urban areas exhibit an earlier onset of breeding compared with their rural conspecifics [18,19]. Several factors have often been stated to be responsible for this advanced onset of breeding, such as warmer microclimate, increased food availability and higher social stimulation [4]. In addition, light pollution was always listed as an alternative among those possible causes [11,32],

### Table 1. Effect sizes of light treatments on timing of testicular growth and regression. Calendar dates (1 = 1 Jan) of threshold (5 mm in testicular width) crossing during testicular growth (a) and regression (b), interpolated after fitting logistic growth curves to each individual. (c) Duration of functional testes, calculated as number of days between (a) and (b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>trait</th>
<th>treatment</th>
<th>origin</th>
<th>dates (s.e.m.)</th>
<th>days (s.e.m.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) testicular growth</td>
<td>control</td>
<td>forest</td>
<td>72.97 (4.07)</td>
<td>64.97 (3.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>city</td>
<td>50.63 (5.20)</td>
<td>33.33 (6.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) testicular regression</td>
<td>control</td>
<td>forest</td>
<td>144.08 (4.92)</td>
<td>142.46 (3.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>city</td>
<td>134.27 (8.27)</td>
<td>120.71 (9.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) duration of functional testes</td>
<td>control</td>
<td>forest</td>
<td>74.41 (6.33)</td>
<td>75.58 (3.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>city</td>
<td>83.63 (7.81)</td>
<td>87.39 (7.66)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
but experimental evidence was lacking. The results of the present study confirm the idea of a stimulatory effect by artificial light at night on the timing of reproduction in urban birds. In particular, our study highlights the fact that low intensities of artificial light at night affects reproductive timing not only during the phase of egg-laying, as suggested before [32], but it can advance gonadal growth and testosterone production by up to one month, a considerable amount of time given the length of the breeding season in European blackbirds (three to four months). However, the ecological consequences of the effect of light at night on the timing of reproduction are still unknown. Early breeders could potentially gain a fitness advantage by increasing the number of broods per season and, therefore, reproductive output. However, early breeding in males could also result in decreased fitness if females were not ready to breed. We do not have data on females’ reproductive timing in the year we conducted our experiment, but a previous field study from the same populations found that gonadal growth was advanced in both urban males and females blackbirds compared with forest conspecifics [18]. Therefore, early gonadal recrudescence could be advantageous for males. However, to grow the reproductive system early in the spring when weather conditions and food availability are not optimal might come at a metabolic cost. Lack of energy stores might in turn negatively affect the response of the immune system eventually reducing reproductive success and survival [33,34].

The mechanisms through which low light intensities at night may have affected the reproductive system are still unclear. Photoperiodic time measurement in birds depends on a circadian oscillator. If light hits the sensitive phase of the circadian rhythm (12–16 h after dawn), luteinizing hormones are produced and gonadal growth is eventually stimulated [17]. However, light at night may not just have a direct effect on timing of reproduction, but also indirect effects may exist. Two possible indirect effects may be conceivable. First, there is evidence that light at night can alter metabolic processes in mice leading to excess fat storage [35], and high energy stores might speed up reproduction [36,37]. For example, Wikelski et al. [38] have recently shown that the speed of the avian circannual clock may be modified by energy expenditure: house sparrows (Passer domesticus) with higher daily expenditure showed faster circannual reproductive rhythms than birds with lower energy turnover. Because in our experiment birds exposed to light at night had higher levels of daily activity (D. Dominoni 2010, unpublished data), we suggest that the earlier onset of testicular growth in this group may have been a result of changes in metabolic signals. A second hypothesis involves the hormone melatonin. Although melatonin has been generally thought to play little role in avian seasonal biology, recent findings suggest that (i) constant melatonin administration can delay lay date of wild great tits (Parus major) [39] and (ii) melatonin can induce gonadotropin-inhibitory hormone expression (GnIH) [40], whose effect in can directly be seen at the level of the gonads [41]. Because dim light can reduce melatonin production at night [42,43], it is possible that in our experiment birds under light at night had lower level of melatonin and this in turn could have accelerated testicular growth. These direct or indirect effects of light at night are not mutually exclusive hypotheses.

The spectrum of light at night in cities is very diverse resulting in a mosaic-like spatial distribution of different wavelengths of artificial light systems. We are aware that not only light intensity, but also the spectrum of light can affect the photoperiodic response of birds. We designed our experiment to test for the effect of low light intensity alone using a light bulb system which is commonly found as decoration on houses in cities. Furthermore, we controlled for
the influence of light spectra by using the same type of light bulbs in both treatment groups. Thus the only difference between the two experimental groups was light intensity during the night. It is remarkable that a light intensity of only 0.3 lux was able to produce such a strong response in the reproductive system. In chickens, the spectral sensitivity of the deep-brain photoreceptors involved in seasonal breeding (VA-opsins) peaks at 492 nm [44], a considerably lower wavelength than what used in our experiment. Moreover, Menaker et al. [45] found that in sparrows the light intensity required for a photoperiodic response is approximately 10 lux. This may highlight the possibility that other indirect effects of light at night might have sped up gonadal growth (see above).

As often the case in laboratory studies, we designed our experiment with only one control and one experimental room. We cannot exclude the possibility that by chance some individuals in the experimental room, that initiated their gonadal growth earlier owing to their high photosensitivity to low light intensities at night, could have stimulated the other animals in the same group resulting in an overall earlier gonadal recrudescence [46,47]. However, we believe that social stimulation cannot explain the observed difference alone since we found within-treatment population differences in reproductive timing. Indeed, our captive city birds showed earlier testicular growth compared with forest individuals in both treatment groups, independently of the light at the night stimulation. This result is in contrast to our previous findings from the same populations. In the field, both male and female urban blackbirds showed a three weeks earlier gonadal growth than forest conspecifics and thus better body condition alone cannot explain the earlier gonadal development of urban blackbirds in the present study. Moreover, age is known to be one of the factors affecting reproductive timing, because usually older birds grow their gonads earlier than first-year individuals [48,49]. If, for instance, the forest population has a higher mortality rate, then there could be a sampling that is biased towards birds that are younger, and hence less likely to grow their testes at an early date compared with the urban males. To minimize this bias, we only used adult birds (two years old or older). Nevertheless, ageing European blackbirds after the first post-breeding moult is impossible, thus it could be that by chance we had a bias of older urban birds. We cannot directly address this hypothesis. However, we have evidence from our study population that adult male European blackbirds do not grow their testes earlier in consecutive years. This may argue against a confounding age effect in our results. Our captive city and forest birds did not only differ in the timing of gonadal growth but even within the experimental group exposed to light at night city birds showed different gonadal cycles compared with their forest conspecifics, mostly in the timing of testicular regression. These data may indicate that city birds have a different photosensitivity to light at night compared with forest birds, but this hypothesis requires further direct testing. All together our findings suggest that urbanization can modify the physiological phenotype of songbirds.

Although our song recordings were not performed on an individual basis, these data indicate that light at night may also affect the timing of dawn song. Light pollution has been repeatedly associated with advanced daily cycles in songbirds [32,50,51], and here we show a potential direct association between light at night and onset of dawn singing. The effect was season dependent, that is, blackbirds under light at night started to sing earlier than birds under dark nights in the middle of the reproductive cycle, when testes were largest. At the beginning and end of the cycle, when testes were smaller, the effect of light at night was drastically reduced. Because the group of birds under light at night

Table 2. Effect of treatment, origin and date on testicular size. Model is generalized additive mixed model (GAMM) with treatment and origin as fixed effects, and interactions between treatment (c, control; e, experimental), origin (f, forest; c, city) and date as smooth terms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>parametric terms</th>
<th>estimates</th>
<th>s.e.m.</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>intercept</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>35.22</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>treatment</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>origin</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>treatment × origin</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>−2.05</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>smooth terms</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>date : treatment (c) : origin (f)</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>65.42</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>date : treatment (c) : origin (c)</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>63.52</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>date : treatment (e) : origin (f)</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>63.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>date : treatment (e) : origin (c)</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>62.75</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
showed seasonal variation in timing of dawn song we suspect that birds were still interpreting the light schedule as a day/night cycle and not a 24 h day.

Our study confirms the hypothesis that artificial light can have a major impact on life-history traits of animals that have colonized urban areas. Hundreds of millions of birds live in cities, but our knowledge of the fitness consequences of light pollution for these animals is still very limited (but see [52]). Light at night has been recently considered to be a relevant issue not just for biodiversity [53], but also for human health and economy [54,55]. We suggest that in the urban millennium, the age of cities and urbanization, it is high time for scientists to intensify research on light pollution and for policy makers to start discussing solutions to mitigate the effects of urbanization on wild animals.

All experimental procedures were carried out in accordance with the guidelines of the relevant German agencies.
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