Forest productivity and water stress in Amazonia: observations from GOSAT chlorophyll fluorescence
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It is unclear to what extent seasonal water stress impacts on plant productivity over Amazonia. Using new Greenhouse gases Observing SATellite (GOSAT) satellite measurements of sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence, we show that midday fluorescence varies with water availability, both of which decrease in the dry season over Amazonian regions with substantial dry season length, suggesting a parallel decrease in gross primary production (GPP). Using additional SeaWinds Scatterometer onboard QuikSCAT satellite measurements of canopy water content, we found a concomitant decrease in daily storage of canopy water content within branches and leaves during the dry season, supporting our conclusion. A large part ($r^2 = 0.75$) of the variance in observed monthly midday fluorescence from GOSAT is explained by water stress over moderately stressed evergreen forests over Amazonia, which is reproduced by model simulations that include a full physiological representation of photosynthesis and fluorescence. The strong relationship between GOSAT and model fluorescence ($r^2 = 0.79$) was obtained using a fixed leaf area index, indicating that GPP changes are more related to environmental conditions than chlorophyll contents. When the dry season extended to drought in 2010 over Amazonia, midday basin-wide GPP was reduced by 15 per cent compared with 2009.

1. Introduction

The Amazon basin represents more than 50 per cent of tropical rainforest area [1], about half of total terrestrial biomass (120 Pg of C of global 247 Pg C) [2], and also hosts a quarter of global biodiversity [3]. How this system might respond to climate change, such as warming and droughts, has been a recent source of debate [4–8]. Observations show a decrease in dry season precipitation over the southern Amazon [9,10], and many Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change models predict a drier future southern Amazon [11] and an increased dry season length with warming [12]. Plant transpiration partially controls local temperatures, humidity and precipitation [10], which complicates assessment and prediction of land productivity. The productivity and vulnerability of tropical forest critically depends upon how plants cope with water stress in warmer and drier climates, and the question as to what extent changes of dry season length affect the Amazon ecosystem function and may alter its composition is an active research area [8,13].

Several flux towers located in Amazonia report that net ecosystem exchange (NEE) is often higher in the dry season, evapotranspiration rates are maintained through the dry season by deep-rooted trees [14–16] and remote sensing retrievals show either no decrease or even an increase in leaf area during drier periods.
2. Brief introduction to chlorophyll fluorescence

Photosynthesis (carbon assimilation or GPP), \(F_p\), can be represented by a light-use efficiency (LUE) parametrization as the following equation:

\[
F_p = I \cdot f \cdot e_p, \quad (2.1)
\]

where \(I\) is the incident photosynthetically active photon flux density (PPFD), \(f\) is the fractional absorption of the incoming light, and \(e_p\) is the efficiency with which light is used in photosynthesis.

Typically, about 1 per cent of the quanta absorbed by chlorophyll are re-emitted at longer wavelengths as fluorescence. As a first approximation, the flux of emitted SIF (\(F_s\)) detected by the satellite sensor can be expressed by an equation that is analogous to the expression for photosynthesis,

\[
F_s = I \cdot f \cdot e_s, \quad (2.2)
\]

where \(e_s\) is analogous to the light-use efficiency in equation (2.1) but takes into account both the yield of fluorescence at the leaf level and fraction of it that is captured by the satellite. These equations can be combined and rearranged to give

\[
F_p = F_s \frac{e_p}{e_s}, \quad (2.3)
\]

showing that \(F_p\) and \(F_s\) will have a linear relationship when variation in \(e_p\) and \(e_s\) are parallel tending to keep the ratio \(e_p/e_s\) constant. In the Soil Canopy Observation of Photochemistry and Energy flux (SCOPE) model, \(e_p\) is calculated using a physiological parametrization [25], and \(e_s\) is simulated based on an empirical calibration as was described in §3d.

When plants experience stress, heat dissipation increases, with a subsequent decrease in both photochemistry and fluorescence [26–28]. This process, termed non-photochemical quenching (NPQ), is dominant at the light levels that normally occur during daytime in the crown. Flexas et al. [26] provide experimental evidence that NPQ is a function of water stress as well as light intensity.

In a previous study, GOSAT chlorophyll fluorescence intensity aggregated to \(4' \times 4'\) exhibited moderate to strong linear correlations \((r^2 = 0.5–0.8)\) with GPP estimates from several different types of models [29,30]. While these models require substantial input data to obtain GPP—for example, temperature and the degree of water stress—fluorescence appears to show GPP directly without ancillary data. Modelling activities and field campaigns focused on the remote sensing of chlorophyll fluorescence have been carried out in preparation for the FLOuorescence EXplorer (FLEX) mission concept of the European Space Agency and provide fundamental context for this study [31,32]. For example, leaf-scale studies show that physiological effects of drought that lead to a decrease in LUE for photosynthesis are associated with decreases in fluorescence yield [26]. Furthermore, canopy-level measurements of fluorescence during an episode of drought demonstrate that fluorescence declines, whereas normalized difference vegetation index (and presumably light absorption) remains constant [27], confirming that the passive measurements of SIF can be used to track changes in GPP at the canopy scale even when there are no changes in greenness. Additionally, SIF from chlorophyll is directly linked via the fluorescence yield to the flux of absorbed sunlight. Leaf abscission and changes in leaf display can affect the flux of sunlight absorbed by chlorophyll and the intensity of the fluorescent ‘glow’ (i.e. emission) of the canopy. Thus, GOSAT fluorescence should capture changes in GPP associated with both changes in LUE and absorbed sunlight.

3. Data and model

We use: (i) SIF, measured by the Greenhouse gases Observing SATellite (GOSAT), which provides information on the physiological state of the canopy at the time of overpass (approx. 13.00 local time) [29,30,33], (ii) radar backscatter measurements of the SeaWinds Scatterometer onboard QuikSCAT (QSCAT) [34], which gives information on forest canopy water status, (iii) monthly rainfall data from the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) that captures the precipitation seasonality, and (iv) vegetation indices, the Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI) and Leaf Area Index (LAI), all of which provide information on the fractional absorption of sunlight and photosynthetic capacity [35].

We selected and compared three regions that are: (A) continually wet, (B) seasonally dry but evergreen, and (C) strongly seasonal, (A, B and C; figure 1) and aggregated monthly measures of fluorescence, precipitation canopy water and moderate resolution imaging spectroradiometer (MODIS) EVI to this scale (figure 2). More information on the seasonality of TRMM rainfall and dry season length are shown in electronic supplementary material, figures S1 and S2. We examine the response of fluorescence to seasonal changes in water relations in these representative areas.

(a) Fluorescence

The fluorescence signal was measured using high-resolution spectra covering Fraunhofer lines (narrow absorption features in the solar atmospheric spectrum) in the 755–772 nm range.

[6,17]. Given reduced cloud cover in the dry season, the increased solar influx, possibly increased greenness and deep-rooted trees not affected by surface soil drying, one might expect gross primary production (GPP) of the Amazon ecosystem to increase with drying. However, dry-season NEE increase over Amazonia is caused more by the respiration decrease with drier surface soil [18,19], and GPP can be lower with same transpiration if vapour pressure deficit (VPD) increases during the dry season [20,21]. Hence, it is not clear whether or not GPP is increasing during the dry season. Also, Saleska et al. [18] (and [22] to a lesser degree) focused on the GPP (or greenness) increase from the early dry season to late dry season rather than the difference between wet and dry seasons.

Another perspective is provided by an artificial drought experiment in Amazonia [23], which shows that above-ground net primary production declined by 25 per cent over 2 years—indicating that forest productivity decreases under periods of water stress even though, as in this experimental plot, the forest remains green. Consequently, it has been suggested that greenness indices are not sufficient to capture the dynamic response of plants to varying water status [24].

Here, we use sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF) to determine the degree of drought stress over Amazonian forests during the dry season. We also use additional satellite data and model simulations to support the SIF measurements.
Spectra were recorded by the thermal and near infrared sensor for carbon observation Fourier Transform Spectrometer (FTS) onboard the Japanese GOSAT satellite, launched on 23 January 2009. The retrieval method, data characterization and post-processing are described in detail in previous publications [29,30]. Each GOSAT retrieval samples a footprint area approximately 10 km in diameter. Averaging in time and space is needed owing to single measurement statistical noise. A typical value for monthly means in this study is $1 - 1.5 \pm 0.1 \text{ W m}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1} \mu \text{m}^{-1}$.

A unique and critical step in our data processing is the correction of an observed zero-level offset in acquired GOSAT O$_2$ A-band spectra, strongly biasing fluorescence because its impact on Fraunhofer line depth is indistinguishable from fluorescence. Compared with the previous analysis, we applied monthly calibration parametrizations for the GOSAT zero-level offset coming from a detector nonlinearity [30]. We overcome this problem by performing calibration steps on a month-to-month basis, allowing for less scatter in fluorescence averages. Fluorescence retrievals are nearly completely unaffected by non-absorbing aerosols [36], unlike vegetation indices based on reflected solar energy that are strongly affected by aerosols [5].

(b) Canopy water content

We chose QSCAT to study the Amazon vegetation water content because: (i) the radar backscatter at microwave frequency (13.4 GHz) and high incidence angle (approx. 46° and 54° from zenith) over dense forest cover are strongly sensitive to the canopy (predominately leaf and branch) water content through the canopy dielectric properties [34,37], and (ii) being an active microwave sensor, QSCAT images over tropical forests have almost no effects from the presence of clouds and aerosols, and no sensitivity to seasonal variations to incoming solar radiation. We used QSCAT backscatter data ($\sigma^0$) at H polarization from morning and afternoon passes (6.00 and 18.00 local time) to create monthly estimates of canopy water content by scaling the radar backscatter with the ground measurements of canopy water content for the period of 2009.

(c) MODIS data

The latest version of MODIS land data (Collection 5 product) was used to generate the EVI time series. MOD13A2 data (Vegetation Indices, 1 km resolution, monthly composites) were downloaded from the MODIS Land Processes Distributed Active Archive Center and pre-processed according to the quality assurance filtering criteria described in [5]. MODIS LAI and GPP data are based on the gap-filled version from Zhao & Running [35]. MODIS GPP values are calculated using MODIS greenness indices in conjunction with ancillary meteorological data.

(d) Soil Canopy Observation of Photochemistry and Energy flux (SCOPE) model description

SCOPE [38] is a vertical (one-dimensional) photosynthesis, radiative transfer and energy balance model. Photosynthesis

---

**Figure 1.** Seasonal variation of chlorophyll fluorescence, $F_s$ (W m$^{-2}$ sr$^{-1}$ $\mu$m$^{-1}$), retrieved from GOSAT in 755 nm over Amazonia during June 2009 – May 2010. The annual mean is subtracted from the seasonal mean to show fluorescence seasonality. Boxes A, B and C are relative everwet, wet and dry areas, respectively, for the analysis in figures 2 and 3. The outline in the map line represents the Amazon basin. The resolution in the figure is coarse because GOSAT provides only one measurement at every 4 s and the retrieval exhibits a high single measurement noise. (Online version in colour.)
is calculated using Farquhar et al. [39] and Collatz et al. [40] for C3 and C4 plants, respectively. It calculates the illumination of leaves with respect to their position and orientation in the canopy, and the spectra of reflected and emitted radiation as observed above the canopy in (satellite) observation direction. The spectral range (0.4–50\,\,\text{m}\text{m}) includes the visible, near and shortwave infrared and the thermal domain. The geometry of the vegetation is treated in a stochastic way. We used a canopy structure with a spherical leaf angle distribution with an LAI of six equally distributed over 60 elementary layers. Radiative transfer of chlorophyll fluorescence is calculated using a module similar to the FluorSAIL model [41], but allowing leaf fluorescence to vary depending on position and orientation in the canopy. A leaf-level biochemical model calculates 400–700 nm range fluorescence from the absorbed fluxes, canopy temperature and ambient vapour, CO$_2$ and O$_2$ concentrations, in conjunction with GPP, stomatal resistance and the energy balance of the leaf [25]. The model calculates radiation transport in a multilayer canopy as a function of the solar zenith angle and leaf orientation to simulate fluorescence in the observation direction (in this case nadir).

The fluorescence equations in the original SCOPE model were modified to accommodate a decrease in maximum dark-adapted fluorescence, $F_m$ with increasing stress, following [42]. This was achieved by introducing a rate constant, $K_a$, for additional heat dissipation in case of light adapted conditions on the top of heat dissipation in dark-adapted conditions, $K_d$. Thus, $F_m$ is formulated in the following:

$$F_m = \frac{K_t}{K_t + K_d + K_a},$$

where $K_t$ is the rate constant for fluorescence. In our formulation, we used 0.05 and 0.95 for $K_t$ and $K_d$, respectively. Here $K_a$ varies with the balance of excitation and sink strength, suggested by Duysens & Sweers [43]. We calibrated this relation using the empirical data from Galmés et al. [28] resulting in

$$K_a = x(6.2473x - 0.5944).$$

Here, $x = (1 - J_o/J_a)$ is relative reduction of photochemical yield, $J_o$ is the actual electron transport rate calculated from the CO$_2$ exchange data (the carboxylase limited rate), and $J_a$ is the maximum possible electron transport calculated from the absorbed PPFD and the dark-adapted rate constants.

4. Results

The Amazon basin exhibits substantial spatial and temporal variations in fluorescence (and GPP), and figure 1 depicts...
the seasonal departures of GOSAT fluorescence from the annual mean on a 4° × 4° grid. Austral summer (December–February) is the relatively wet season, and austral winter (June–August) is the dry season over most of the area south of the equator (see the electronic supplementary material, figures S1 and S2). Dry season length and intensity increases east- and southwards, and the seasonality of fluorescence also increases in those directions.

Over the everwet northwestern Amazonia (region A in figure 1) both fluorescence and MODIS EVI/LAI show small seasonality (figure 2). This contrasts with region C, to the south of the Amazon forest, with deciduous trees and/or grasses (e.g. the cerrado ecosystems), where water stress is more pronounced, and fluorescence and greenness covary with each other. However, over central Amazonia with a relatively moderate dry season of approximately three months (region B), fluorescence decreases significantly (approx. 27%) during the normal (2009) dry season compared with the wet season, while LAI increases by 11 per cent during the dry season. MODIS EVI, on the other hand, shows similar variations to fluorescence (r² = 0.52). Table 1 summarizes r² between different parameters.

As shown in figure 2, canopy water content follows the pattern A > B > C and the diurnal variation in water content tends to be lower during the dry season than in the wet season. Hence, we observe a high negative correlation between VPD and fluorescence (r² = 0.75 in region B; figure 3a), and low fluorescence values during the 2010 drought (filled symbols in figure 3). We point out that VPD is not only a measure of the atmospheric condition, but also is an indicator of soil moisture status and hence water stress as decreased soil moisture limits evapotranspiration, increases temperature and decreases atmospheric moisture content (hence higher VPD).

To provide a more mechanistic basis for analysing the changes in fluorescence and GPP in region B, we performed simulations of GPP and fluorescence using the SCOPE model. The model was run using meteorological data from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts Reanalysis (ERA-Interim) with constant LAI. SCOPE-predicted fluorescence is highly correlated with GOSAT fluorescence (r² = 0.79; figure 4a), and SCOPE-simulated GPP for the Amazon basin was highly correlated with simulated fluorescence (r² = 0.64; figure 4b), as previously observed in a global study [30,31]. Overall, GOSAT fluorescence explains a large part of SCOPE GPP (r² = 0.43, p < 0.01). The correlation between fluorescence and EVI is significant (r² = 0.52), but EVI is not correlated with SCOPE GPP (r² = 0.01, figure 4d).

During the ‘normal’ dry season, we observe a 27 per cent in Amazonian fluorescence decline compared with the wet season in midday fluorescence, which is coincident with water stress in soil derived from TRMM rainfall and canopy water observed by QSCAT; this implies a decrease in productivity over a large part of the Amazon forests at midday during the dry season.

5. Discussion

The controversy over whether Amazonian forests are water-limited versus light-limited arose because they maintain high transpiration rate during the dry season and are often

### Table 1. Square of correlation coefficient (r²) between monthly mean variables. (Correlation coefficient greater than 0.15 is statistically significant at 95% confidence interval. Negative signs in parentheses indicate that the sign of correlation is negative.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GOSAT SIF</th>
<th>SCOPE SIF</th>
<th>SCOPE GPP</th>
<th>EVI</th>
<th>VPD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GOSAT SIF</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.75 (−)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCOPE SIF</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.72 (−)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCOPE GPP</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.58 (−)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVI</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.36 (−)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPD</td>
<td>0.75 (−)</td>
<td>0.72 (−)</td>
<td>0.58 (−)</td>
<td>0.36 (−)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 3.** (a) The relationship between vapour pressure deficit and GOSAT fluorescence at 755 nm and (b) MODIS EVI. Values are monthly mean for region B, and VPD values have been extracted from the ERA dataset at the time and location of the GOSAT measurement. Filled symbols represent values for months with precipitation lower than 100 mm month⁻¹ in 2010 during the Amazon drought (June – September). Correlation coefficients are calculated using all values. (Online version in colour.)
covered by clouds during the wet season. Using chlorophyll fluorescence retrieved from GOSAT, we show that while Amazonian rainforests retain high productivity during the dry season as previous studies indicated [16,18,44], dry-season productivity is lower than wet season productivity by approximately 27 per cent under the midday condition, which supports that a large part of Amazonia is water stressed during the dry season. This does not contradict the observed high evapotranspiration rate during the dry season because higher VPD and temperature during the dry season lower the water-use efficiency of photosynthesis [20,21]. We postulate that midday GPP decreases during the dry season because stomatal conductance decreases when hydraulic capacity cannot meet transpirational demand, particularly with increasing atmospheric VPD [21].

The balance between radiation and water stress is explored in more detail with SCOPE model simulations. Under low-light conditions, GPP increases linearly with increasing light intensity, but the increase saturates when photon flux density (PPFD) is greater than approximately 400 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) (figure 5). Given that Amazonian forests receive more than 400 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) during the midday even in the wet season (see the electronic supplementary material, figure S3), water stress (higher VPD) leads to decreased GPP and as a result, GPP is lower during the dry season than the wet season. We note that during the dry season plants may maintain their chlorophyll content (e.g. greenness) but photosynthesize less owing to stomatal closure (except in the early morning when soil water potentials have had time to recover).

The regulation of stomatal aperture in response to variations in light intensity and VPD effectively operates as a mechanism to avoid hydraulic failure while maximizing GPP for a given amount of water loss [45]. Reduction in stomatal conductance with increasing VPD occurs even in mildly stressed vegetation. Partial closing of the stomata causes a temporal imbalance between carboxylation and light harvest owing to reduced diffusion of carbon dioxide into the mesophyll. The balance between carboxylation and light harvest is restored by reducing GPP and fluorescence [25].

Greenness indices are often used as proxies to estimate plant productivity [35,46]. However, it is known that greenness indices are difficult to interpret over Amazonia for many reasons: saturation over densely forested regions, varying treatments of atmospheric contamination of the MODIS optical bands [5], structural changes of forest canopy [7], and potential variations in the reflectance properties as leaves age [47]. MODIS LAI retrievals show opposite seasonality to fluorescence and EVI, peaking in the dry season, as opposed to fluorescence and EVI, which peak in the wet season (figure 2; [6]). Land Surface Models (e.g. National Center for Atmospheric Research Community Land Model)
often use MODIS LAI [46], which causes these MODIS-based
models to estimate higher productivity in the dry season
than the wet season (opposite to fluorescence, electronic
supplementary material, figure S4). Ground-based meas-
urements over temperate evergreen forests also show that
the maximum in LAI does not coincide with the maximum
GPP: over the Metolius site in Oregon, United States, GPP
maximum occurs in May or June, whereas LAI maximum
occurs in August [48].

EVI is well-correlated with fluorescence ($r = 0.52$) probably
because both are sensitive to the absorbed PPFD, but diverges
from fluorescence at the peak of the dry season, when EVI
is greatest but fluorescence lowest (figure 2 and table 1). More-
ever, for temperatures greater than 30°C, EVI does not
change, whereas fluorescence decreases (figure 6). Also, EVI
does not vary with VPD nor SCOPE GPP (figure 3b). Although
EVI may be indicative of chlorophyll content (photosynthetic
capacity), our analysis suggests that additional environmental
conditions are necessary to determine GPP.

We conclude that measurements of sun-induced fluorescence
provide new insight into the effect of drought on productivity in
Amazonian forests. Still, the cumulative seasonal difference in
GPP may not correspond exactly to that indicated by the
midday clear-sky 'snapshots' provided by fluorescence because
the cumulative radiation input may vary between seasons [5].
Anyway, GPP estimates from the Max Planck Institute for
Biogeochemistry [49], a model that links GPP to water-use
efficiency, shows similar seasonality to fluorescence (see the
electronic supplementary material, figure S4).

Figure 5. SCOPE-simulated relationships (a) between incoming radiation ($R_\text{in}$)
and GPP and (b) between VPD and GPP. Different lines in (a) represent GPP
values at different VPD ranging from 3.5 to 32 hPa in nearly equal steps
(actual values are for relative humidity from 10 to 90%; high VPD values
give low GPP values), and different lines in (b) represent GPP values at differ-
ent solar energy from 50 to 1200 W m$^{-2}$ in 50 W m$^{-2}$ range (low solar
radiation values give low GPP values). Midday incident radiation over Ama-

zonia ranges between 400 and 800 W m$^{-2}$ and VPD range from 3 to 40 hPa
(see the electronic supplementary material, figure S3). The symbols indicate
the mean midday ECMWF ERA values of VPD and irradiance during the dry
(June-July-August) and wet (December-January-February) seasons of 2009
and 2010. (Online version in colour.)

Our results suggest that during severe dry conditions,
such as in 2010, the Amazonian uptake of carbon from
the atmosphere can decrease significantly because of the
suppression of photosynthesis. Midday GPP is reduced by
15 per cent during the dry season (June to September) in 2010
compared with 2009. This decrease corresponds to a total
GPP decrease of 0.8 Pg C when we use the linear relationship
between GPP and fluorescence from [30]. GPP estimates from
MODIS also show a 12 per cent reduction, strengthening the
finding that fluorescence and greenness correspond better
under more severe conditions. Fluorescence decreases over
region A during 2010 drought owing to high water stress with precipitation less than 100 mm month$^{-1}$.

6. Summary and conclusion

Photosynthetic plant carbon assimilation is regulated by
water availability and dynamic stomatal response. Our
results indicate a strong synchronization of the carbon and
water cycle over Amazonia [8] even under only moderate
water stress levels through changes in vapour pressure defi-
cit. Our results suggest that during severe dry conditions
such as in 2010, Amazonian carbon uptake of carbon from
the atmosphere can decrease significantly because of the
larger suppression of photosynthesis during the dry season
than the wet season (figure 2). Over the everwet area (region A), water stress is generally not high enough to influ-
ence fluorescence, but fluorescence (and GPP) decreases
when precipitation falls below 100 mm month$^{-1}$.

While traditional greenness indices only capture the
changes in reflectance owing to leaf loss or chlorophyll
content—thus requiring ancillary meteorology data to esti-
mate GPP [35]—fluorescence can capture the decreased
GPP directly through radiative emission by the photosyn-
thetic machinery as gas exchange lags with stomatal closure
owing to mild water stress. Satellite measurements of fluo-
rescence are sensitive to stomatal conductance as well as
changes in chlorophyll content and hence, compared with
vegetation indices, offer a more direct and complementary
proxy for GPP over large scales. These data can be used to
improve the representation of GPP in land surface models
and to quantify the response of GPP to environmental
stress. Satellite-based chlorophyll fluorescence opens a new
approach to estimate photosynthetic rates of vegetation over
large scales and to evaluate the impact of environmental
parameters on GPP.
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