Shared ancestry influences community stability by altering competitive interactions: evidence from a laboratory microcosm experiment using freshwater green algae
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The impact of biodiversity on the stability of ecological communities has been debated among biologists for more than a century. Recently summarized empirical evidence suggests that biodiversity tends to enhance the temporal stability of community-level properties such as biomass; however, the underlying mechanisms driving this relationship remain poorly understood. Here, we report the results of a microcosm study in which we used simplified systems of freshwater microalgae to explore how the phylogenetic relatedness of species influences the temporal stability of community biomass by altering the nature of their competitive interactions. We show that combinations of two species that are more evolutionarily divergent tend to have lower temporal stability of biomass. In part, this is due to negative ‘selection effects’ in which bicultures composed of distantly related species are more likely to contain strong competitors that achieve low biomass. In addition, bicultures of distantly related species had on average weaker competitive interactions, which reduced compensatory dynamics and decreased the stability of community biomass. Our results demonstrate that evolutionary history plays a key role in controlling the mechanisms, which give rise to diversity–stability relationships. As such, patterns of shared ancestry may help us predict the ecosystem-level consequences of biodiversity loss.

1. Introduction

Biologists since Darwin have argued that biodiversity is a major determinant of the stability of ecological systems in face of environmental fluctuations [1,2], but this often-cited influence of biodiversity continues to be hotly debated among biologists [3]. The persistence of this debate can be attributed to at least three non-mutually exclusive factors. First, researchers have loosely used the term ‘stability’ to refer to a wide variety of ecological concepts (resistance, resilience, temporal variation, ecological thresholds, potential for alternative states, etc.); yet theory has shown that diversity should not be expected to influence all these forms of ecological stability in the same way [4]. Second, stability has been measured at a variety of levels of biological organization (e.g. genes, populations and communities), and the stability of those different levels can be influenced by diversity differently [5–7]. Lastly, empirical studies rarely go beyond documentation of simple diversity–stability relationships to identify the underlying causal mechanisms [7–9], which has left the interpretation of results open to speculation and debate.

Despite continued controversy, one of the few diversity–stability relationships that appears to be robust is the positive influence of species richness on the temporal stability of community biomass [7,10–13]. Over 20 studies have now experimentally manipulated the number of species of bacteria, aquatic
invertebrates, algae or plants growing in some experimental unit (field plots, greenhouse pots and laboratory microcosms), and examined how a change in species richness influences fluctuations in the summed biomass of species through time. The most commonly used measure of stability in these studies has been the coefficient of variation, which is the standard deviation of community biomass through time scaled to the mean biomass of a community. Several experiments have shown that species richness tends to increase stability by increasing mean community biomass, leading to lower coefficients of variation [12,14–16]. Fewer studies have documented impacts of diversity on the variance component of stability [7], and for those that have, the mechanisms have been a source of debate [6,8,17]. Theory suggests that species richness can reduce temporal variation in community biomass by (i) reducing the summed variances of species biomasses if, for example, diversity increases the chances of including more stable species or by (ii) reducing the summed covariances in species biomasses, such as occurs when species exhibit compensatory dynamics [8,17]. Compensatory dynamics can be driven by negative species interactions such as competition that cause populations to exhibit negative covariance, or by species displaying independent, but asynchronous responses to environmental fluctuations [8,9,17]. Separating these possibilities has proved difficult for two reasons. First, past experiments have seldom been designed to identify the causes of compensatory dynamics; Second, the statistical metrics historically used to quantify covariance in population dynamics have been shown to be biased and inaccurate when quantifying the synchrony of fluctuations among more than two populations [8,9]. Improved metrics for estimating variances and covariances in communities containing more than or equal to three species are being developed [8,18]; but at present, there are few interpretable measurements of how biodiversity influences population covariances.

To better understand what contributes to biomass stability, researchers have recently begun to explore how the evolutionary relationships among species might influence the ecological functions performed by a community [19–23]. This focus parallels a broader trend among ecologists to consider how patterns of shared ancestry moderate the distribution of biological traits among species—traits that influence species’ abilities to coexist and to perform ecological functions [24–26]. Interest in the ecosystem-level impacts of evolution has also been driven by practical constraints. Namely, functional differentiation among species is often difficult to quantify directly, since the functions performed by organisms are controlled by a wide variety of biological traits, many of which are hard to identify and measure [23]. If genetic divergence corresponds to ecological divergence as is often assumed (i.e. phylogenetic niche conservatism; [25,27] but see [28]), then ecological differentiation may be measured more easily and holistically using molecular phylogenies that quantify evolutionary divergence among species [26].

Assuming that closely related species are more ecologically similar than less related species, evolutionary divergence among species has the potential to influence the stability of community biomass in several ways. First, a select group of studies have shown that evolutionarily divergent species tend to produce more biomass when together than do closely related species [20,21]. We might, therefore, expect these communities to have lower coefficients of variation through time (higher stability). Phylogenetic divergence might also influence community stability by altering the strength of species interactions and/or the responses to environmental fluctuations that control compensatory dynamics. One prediction is that genetically and ecologically similar species are more likely to respond similarly to environmental fluctuations in a similar way, thereby decreasing compensatory dynamics [23]. An alternative prediction is that more similar species might compete more strongly for shared resources, leading to more negative covariances that increase compensatory dynamics [29,30]. The key point here is that evolutionary divergence has potential to influence community stability in contrasting ways that have yet to be disentangled. To understand the influence of evolutionary divergence on community stability, we need data showing how phylogenetic relationships influence both species interactions as well as similarities in species environmental responses, as both of these influence compensatory dynamics.

To explore how evolutionary divergence impacts the temporal stability of community biomass, we constructed a molecular phylogeny for 37 of the most widespread and abundant species of North American green algae. We then selected nine species for use in our experiments, with taxa chosen to maximize variation in evolutionary relatedness (as measured by phylogenetic distance (PD) among species pairs). All species were grown alone in monoculture, and together in all two-species bicultures, in each of two treatments—a temporally constant environment and an environment with random fluctuations in water temperature. These treatments allowed us to assess how evolutionary relatedness (PD) influences each facet of biomass stability (mean biomass, variances and covariances among species biomasses). In addition, the designs allowed us to test whether covariances were influenced by species interactions (in bicultures) or were instead the result of independent, asynchronous responses of species to a fluctuating environment (correlated dynamics of monocultures). While our laboratory microcosms and simplified algal assemblages are not intended to mimic natural lake ecosystems and should not be overextrapolated, these model systems allow us, for the first time, to demonstrate how each of the mechanisms that contribute to stability vary as a function of the shared ancestry of species.

2. Material and methods
(a) Species pool
This study focused on nine species of freshwater green algae *(Ankistrodesmus falcatus, Chlorella sorokiniana, Coelastrum microperum, Cosmarium turpinii, Elakatothrix obtusata, Scenedesmus acuminatus, Selenastrum capricornutum, Stauroastrum punctulatum and Tetraedron minimum)*, all of which were obtained from the culture collections at the University of Texas at Austin or the University of Göttingen (Germany). Based on a US Environmental Protection Agency’s 2007 survey of more than 1200 lakes in North America (http://water.epa.gov/type/lakes/), all nine genera are widespread, being present in at least 17% of all lakes sampled. In addition, the taxa were all relatively abundant in lakes for which they were found, ranking in the top 50% of species densities (for over 400 taxa in total). Aside from being widespread and abundant, all of these species grow well under laboratory conditions using common growth media and are relatively easy to distinguish morphologically, allowing for reliable estimates of population dynamics from cell counts (described more later). Lastly, and importantly, these taxa represent a relatively even frequency distribution of PDs among species spanning a more widely sampled phylogenetic tree of North
American freshwater algae, which we describe in the electronic supplementary material.

(b) Phylogenetic distance

Phylogenetic diversity is defined as the total PD among species in a community [31] and is jointly influenced by both the number of species (richness) and the evolutionary (phylogenetic) relatedness among species in a community. By keeping constant the number of species in culture (richness = 2), we obtained a measure of PD that depends only on relatedness among species and is independent from species richness. Using the generated phylogenetic tree (see the electronic supplementary material), we calculated the PD between each pair of species as the sum of tree branch lengths connecting them [19]. A number of additional metrics have recently been proposed for measuring phylogenetic relatedness, but many of these have become sufficiently convoluted that they suffer from lack of clear interpretation [32]. We calculated PD using a custom Bioperl [33] script from mean branch lengths (of all bootstrap pseudoreplicates for maximum likelihood and for all trees retained from the MCMC search for Bayesian analyses) connecting each species pair, and ignoring the root branch. In two cases (C. turpini and A. falcatus), sequences were not available for our experimental species. We therefore used distances for the genus rather than the species by including two representative species per genus and calculating distances from the genus. Results did not depend on whether or not we assumed a relaxed molecular clock to ‘smooth’ branch lengths to make them ultrametric. If fact, smoothed and unsmoothed branch length estimates resulted in highly correlated estimates of PD (p = 0.973, p < 0.0001).

(c) Experimental design

We grew four replicates of all nine species in monoculture and four replicates of all 36 pairwise species combinations in 2 ml 48 well microplates (hereafter plates), with each well filled with 1.5 ml of COMBO growth medium [34]. To reduce the risk of cross-contamination among wells, we interposed at least one empty well between each culture. To reduce the effect of evapotranspiration, we did not culture algae in any edge well and filled eight of them with sterile water, increasing relative humidity in the plate. The different monocultures and bicultures were randomly distributed in different plates, with each plate holding 10 cultures for a total of 18 plates. Initial biovolumes inoculated in both mono and bicultures were 0.1 mm³ ml⁻¹, with the latter evenly divided among species assigned to a biculture. Algae were cultured for 35 days under a 16 L : 8 D cycle at a light intensity of 25 mol m⁻² s⁻¹ in temperature controlled Therm-Scientific BOD growth chambers. Fresh COMBO growth medium was replaced in well plates daily at a 20% exchange medium exchange (see above) was fixed by adding 60 µl of formalin (to keep algal morphology intact) and stored in the dark for further analysis. From these samples, we estimated the abundances of each species in culture by analysing 100 µl of fixated algal suspension in a FlowCam (Fluid Imaging Technologies, Inc. with 10× magnification lens), resulting in an analysis of approximately 7% of the entire culture volume and a number of cells per sample ranging from 10³ to 10⁵ depending on species’ cell sizes. Mean cell biovolume of each algal species was estimated from measures of 200 individual cells per species grown in monoculture at the end of the experiment. Estimates of community-level biovolume (summed biovolumes used as a measure of total community biomass) were made by multiplying cell densities by mean cell biovolumes. We used data from the 10 sampling dates to estimate the stability of total biomass in the algal cultures over the 21-day sampling period. All monocultures were included in our final analyses, as all species grew and persisted to the end of the experiment in both the constant and fluctuating temperature treatments. We excluded 11 bicultures from the final analyses: nine of these were excluded because one of the species went extinct in both replicates prior to the end of sampling. It is impossible to calculate summed variances or covariances from replicates that collapsed to only one species. Two other bicultures were excluded because of cross-contamination of both replicates with algae that were not assigned to the treatment (Chlorella sorokiniana). At present, the analytical methods do not exist to correctly estimate summed variances and covariances for communities with more than two species (see [8] for a mathematical explanation of the bias that results). In total, 25 different species combinations were retained for our analysis.

(e) Data analysis

The temporal stability of total biomass in each replicated biculture was measured as the inverse of the temporal coefficient of variation

\[
\text{stability} = \frac{1}{\text{cov}} = \frac{x}{\text{s.d.}} = \frac{x}{\sqrt{\Sigma (\text{var} + 2 \times \text{cov})}},
\]

where x being the community biomass averaged over all time points, s.d. the standard deviation of community biomass over all time points, var the summed variance of species biomass over time and cov the covariance in species biomass over time. Thus, the temporal stability of community biomass can be influenced both by changes in mean biomass (numerator) or by changes in any of the components of the temporal standard deviation (denominator), which include the sum of the individual species variances and the covariance of individual species biomass over time.
We used linear regressions to assess the effect of PD on each component of stability (\(\xi, \text{ var and cov} \)). Two non-mutually exclusive factors can influence the covariance component of species fluctuations through time, including species interactions (e.g. competition that leads to compensatory responses) and species-specific responses to a fluctuating environment (e.g. resulting in synchrony or asynchrony of their biomasses over time). Our experimental design allowed us to further tease apart how each of these contributed to covariance (see the electronic supplementary material). By performing separate regressions on bicultures that were exposed to a constant environment, we were able to isolate how species interactions contributed to covariance, as independent responses to a fluctuating environment were not possible. By examining monocultures that were grown in a fluctuating environment, we were able to isolate species independent responses to a fluctuating environment, as there were no interspecific interactions. Each data point used in these regressions represented the mean value of two independently cultured replicates having the same species composition, with each summarizing species biomasses over 10 time points.

To examine how species interactions influenced the performance of each species, we estimated two different relative yields (RYS). An RY is the ratio of the biomass of a species in polyculture to its biomass in monoculture and is the most widely used metric of competition [35]. First, we estimated \(R_{Y(x,0)}\) which is the mean effect of competitors on a particular focal species (equivalent to ‘competitive response’ or ‘tolerance’ ([36])); e.g. species A in monoculture compared with species A in presence of species B, to species A in presence of C, to species A in presence of D, etc. When \(R_{Y(x,0)} < 1\), this indicates that the biomass of the species decreases in the presence of another species (e.g. interference, exploitative competition). Second, we estimated for each species \(R_{Y(x,0)}^c\), which is the mean effect of a focal species on other competitors (equivalent to ‘competitive effect’ ([36])); e.g. species B in monoculture compared with B in presence of species A, species C in monocolure compared with C in presence of species A, species D in monoculture compared with D in presence of species A, etc.). When \(R_{Y(x,0)} < 1\), this indicates that the focal species decreases the biomass of its competitors. Thus, \(R_{Y(x,0)}^c\) (competition effect) and \(R_{Y(x,0)}\) (competitive response) are two complementary measures of the average competitive abilities of each species. To establish how the presence of each species in a culture influenced community biomass, we also calculated the mean selection effect of diversity. The selection effect is estimated as the covariance between the biomass of species in monoculture and their biomass in biculture. A negative value of the selection effect suggests that the most productive species in monoculture became the less productive in biculture and vice versa (for a more detailed description of this method, see the electronic supplementary material).

To quantify the strength of interactions among species and determine how these varied with relatedness, we first estimated for each biculture the mean RY (\(R_{Y(x,0)}\)) as the ratio of the biomass a species achieves when grown in polyculture to its biomass in monoculture. \(R_{Y(x,0)}\) is an average of two different \(R_{Y(x,0)}\) values previously described (e.g. for the community composed species A and B \(R_{Y(x,0)}\) is the average of \(R_{Y(x)}\)). Then, for each biculture, we took the opposite of the mean \(R_{Y(x,0)}\) as a measure of the strength of interspecific competition. When \(1 - R_{Y(x,0)}\) close to 1 (\(R_{Y(x,0)}\) close to 0) this means that species achieved much lower biomasses in polycultures than in monoculture. As \(1 - R_{Y(x,0)}\) diminished (\(R_{Y(x,0)}\) increases), the biomasses achieved in polyculture were closer to the biomasses in monoculture.

To further quantify the similarity in species’ responses to environmental variation, we performed a supplemental experiment in which we measured species’ responses to temperature fluctuations along a gradient from 13°C to 23°C. For this, we first allowed the nine monocultures to reach steady state at 18°C. Then, for the next 6 days, cultures were subjected to daily media exchanges (20% replacement) and temperature fluctuations. Each monoculture was replicated twice and encountered the same random fluctuations at six different temperature levels (15°C, 23°C, 19°C, 13°C, 17°C and 21°C). This set of temperatures was also encountered by algae during the main experiment. Every day, after growing in a particular temperature, we measured their immediate response to temperature fluctuations between two media exchanges as \(\ln(N_{t+1}/N_t)\), with \(N_{t+1}/N_t\) being the ratio of abundances over a period of 24 h. The compiled environmental responses were used to calculate a temperature response ‘similarity’ among species, which was measured as the correlation coefficient between any two-species growth rates along the temperature gradient.

### 3. Results

The temporal stability of total biomass in the algal bicultures decreased as the PD among species increased (linear fit: stability = 8.63 – 12.28 × PD; \(r^2 = 0.21, p = 0.02\) or exponential decay fit: stability = 5.52 + 27.01 × \(e^{-0.29538 × PD}\); \(r^2 = 0.45, p < 0.01, n = 25\); figure 1). This trend was partially driven by the fact that bicultures characterized by higher values of PD (less related species) also tended to have a reduced mean biomass (\(r^2 = 0.22, p = 0.02, n = 25\); figure 2a). The two additional components of temporal stability (summed variances and covariance) were not influenced by the PD among species (\(r^2 < 0.01, p = 0.75, n = 25\) for both, figure 2b,c, respectively).

To better understand why bicultures composed of less related species achieved lower total biomass (figure 2a), we examined the yields and RYS (relative to monocultures) of all the species. We found that species differed considerably in their biomass achieved at steady state (figure 3a). For instance, *Chlorella sorokiniana*, *C. turpinii* and *Elakatrothrix obtusta* achieved the lowest biomasses both in mono- and biculture. We also found evidence for large differences in the competitive abilities of the different species (figure 3b).
Notably, the biomasses of two species: *C. turpinii* and *Coelastrium microporum* were unaffected by the presence of other species (RY = 1, or competitive response not different from 1); yet, they considerably reduced the biomass achieved by their competitors (RY < 1). However, only communities that contained *C. turpinii* showed a selection effect that was significantly less than 0 (see Material and methods; figure 3c). Taken together, these results suggest that the presence of superior competitor with low biomass production (*C. turpinii*) led to a negative selection effect that decreased productivity in bicultures with high PD (figure 2a).

When we excluded the cultures containing *C. turpinii* from analyses of temporal stability, we were surprised to

---

**Figure 2.** Effects of PD on the three components of stability. (a) Effect of PD on mean temporal biomass of algal bicultures. The solid line represents the general linear relationship, which is only significant when *C. turpinii* is included (see main text). (b) Effect of PD on the summed variances. (c) Effect of PD on the temporal covariance. In (a–c), each dot represents a single biculture, with black dots showing those bicultures that include the low productivity-superior competitor *C. turpinii*.

---

**Figure 3.** Species biomasses, competitive capacities and selection effects. (a) The nine species differed in their mean temporal biomasses achieved in monoculture (x-axis) and in their mean biomasses in biculture in the fluctuating treatment (y-axis); black dot shows *C. turpinii*. (b) Species also differed in their competitive abilities as indicated by differences in RYs. The grey zone represents RY values less than 1. (c) Mean selection effect of bicultures including each of the nine species used in this experiment. (Ank, Ankistrodesmus; Chl, Chlorella; Coe, Coelastrium; Cos, Cosmarium; Ela, Elakatrothrix; Sce, Scenedesmus; Sel, Selenastrum; Sta, Staurastrum and Tet, Tetraedron). Error bars represent standard errors among bicultures containing the species. *Ela* and *Sce* have no error bars because only present in one biculture. Dashed lines in (a,b) represent the 1:1 isocline.
find that the negative relationship between PD and temporal stability remained significant ($r^2 = 0.26$, $p = 0.02$, $n = 20$).

This suggests that, while the negative selection effect was contributing to the inverse relationship between PD and stability, it was not the only causal factor. For the subset of data that excluded bicultures containing *C. turpinii*, we found that the negative relationship between PD and stability was instead driven by an increase in the covariance component of stability ($r^2 = 0.48$, $p < 0.001$, $n = 20$; figure 4a), whereas the mean biovolume was no longer affected by PD ($r^2 = 0.104$, $p = 0.222$, $n = 20$).

To determine which factors were contributing to covariance, we analysed the two experimental treatments allowing us to separate the role of competitive interactions from the roles played by species independent responses to a fluctuating environment (see the electronic supplementary material). Analyses of bicultures that were grown at a uniform temperature, which reveals the covariance component that was driven solely by species interactions, showed that the covariance was positively related to PD ($r^2 = 0.52$, $p < 0.001$, $n = 20$; figure 4b). Analyses of species grown as monocultures that experienced temperature fluctuations, which reveals the covariance component that was driven by species’ independent responses to environmental fluctuations (in the absence of interactions), showed that the covariance was not related to the PD among species ($r^2 = 0.009$, $p = 0.69$, $n = 20$; figure 4c). Thus, for the subset of data that excluded the superior competitor *C. turpinii*, competition among species appeared to be the primary contributor to stability, and the influence of competition on stability tended to decrease as species became more evolutionary dissimilar.

Consistent with this interpretation, we found that the strength of competition—measured as $1 - R_{Y_{com}}$ of the two interacting species—decreased as PD increased ($r^2 = 0.27$, $p = 0.02$, $n = 20$; figure 5a). Thus, closely related species...
competed more strongly and reduced each other’s yields more than distantly related species. By contrast, the similarity in species growth responses to the temperature gradient, measured as the correlation coefficient relating species growth rates along the temperature gradient, was not related to PD ($r^2 = 0.0077$, $p = 0.712$, $n = 20$; figure 5b). Thus, closely related species showed stronger compensatory dynamics than less related species, resulting solely from strong competitive interactions among similar species rather than any type of temporal asynchrony in their response to environmental fluctuations.

4. Discussion

Identifying the mechanism by which biodiversity influences the stability of community and ecosystem processes is crucial for understanding the ecological consequences of diversity loss, and for developing appropriate conservation strategies. Here, we have shown that the biomass of algal cultures composed of closely related species was more stable in the face of daily temperature fluctuations than the biomass of cultures composed of distantly related species. Our experiment helped quantify two independent mechanisms that contribute to the relationship between biodiversity and the temporal stability of biomass production. First, evolutionarily diverse assemblages had a greater chance that a competitively superior species would dominate biomass of bicultures. In our case, the competitively superior taxon had low biomass production, which reduced the mean biomass of bicultures, lowering the coefficient of variation and reducing stability. This so-called ‘negative selection effect’ occurs in roughly 40% of studies that have attempted to link the diversity of primary producers’ to production of biomass [37]. Because the presence of positive selection effects—where the competitively superior species increases rather than decreases community biomass—tends to be more common [37,38], one might expect that phylogenetic divergence would frequently lead to increased overyielding, as has been shown in other studies [19,20]. Regardless of the direction of the effect, one key point is that the evolutionary relatedness of species correlates with the probability of including a competitively superior taxon in a community and this will either increase or decrease stability depending on the productivity of the dominant species.

The second mechanism by which evolutionary relatedness impacted the temporal stability of community biomass was by influencing the strength of compensatory dynamics that produce negative covariance in species populations. There has been much speculation about the underlying cause of compensatory dynamics in ecological communities [6,9,10,39–42]. It is generally thought that two distinct mechanisms produce compensatory dynamics: competition among species that lead to negative covariance in population dynamics, and asynchrony in the independent responses of species to environmental fluctuations. To our knowledge, our study is the first to disentangle the relative contributions of these two mechanisms to stability. We found no evidence for an effect of evolutionary relatedness on the asynchrony in species responses to temperature fluctuations. However, analyses of bicultures grown at a uniform temperature revealed compensatory dynamics driven solely by species interactions. Strong interactions led to greater compensatory dynamics and negative covariance when species had high levels of evolutionary relatedness. But the strength of competition decreased as PDs among species increased, and this tended to reduce the negative covariances among species populations that are needed to maintain stability. A similar negative influence of evolutionary divergence on the strength of species interactions has been observed in protists [28] and terrestrial plant systems [29]. If, as many have assumed, evolutionary relatedness is negatively associated with the degree of ecological divergence among species [43–45], then PD could generally be associated with a decline in the strength of competitive interactions and a reduction in the compensatory dynamics that maintain community stability. See the electronic supplementary material for a brief discussion of possible traits influencing competitive interactions in our study.

It is important to note that our experiment was never intended to represent the complexity of real lakes, which have much higher environmental variation than considered here, and where levels of diversity are typically far greater (although mono- and bicultures do exist). The value of our study, and the benefit of using simplified experimental systems, is that we were able to explicitly assess the influence of phylogenetic relatedness on each facet contributing to biomass of stability—something that would be difficult, if not impossible, in more natural environments or with higher levels of diversity. If the mechanisms documented in our laboratory study ultimately prove to be common in more natural systems, then our work would have several important implications for conservation and management. The stabilizing effect of diversity on community-level processes like biomass production is often used to argue that conservation will provide ‘insurance’ that minimizes change in ecosystems exposed to environmental fluctuations or stress [46–49]. To maximize this insurance, it is often argued that we should maximize the evolutionary diversity of species to ensure adaptability of communities and future ‘options’ [33], and/or maximize species diversity to ensure communities are composed of taxa that will exhibit differing, but complementary, responses to environmental fluctuations [50–52]. Our results run counter to these suggestions. We found no evidence that species exhibit complementary responses to environmental fluctuations, and we showed that the compensatory dynamics which maintain community stability are strongest in systems that have the lowest (not highest) evolutionary diversity. Therefore, the assumption that species and evolutionary diversity provide insurance against changes in community-level processes may need to be re-evaluated. As the field of diversity–stability continues to amass data, it is worth considering whether management goals that focus on community stability might be better achieved by conservation of ecologically redundant species or overlapping levels of evolutionary relatedness.
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