Wild deer as potential vectors of anthelmintic-resistant abomasal nematodes between cattle and sheep farms
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Gastrointestinal (GI) nematodes are among the most important causes of production loss in farmed ruminants, and anthelmintic resistance is emerging globally. We hypothesized that wild deer could potentially act as reservoirs of anthelmintic-resistant GI nematodes between livestock farms. Adult abomasal nematodes and faecal samples were collected from fallow (n = 24), red (n = 14) and roe deer (n = 10) from venison farms and areas of extensive or intensive livestock farming. Principal components analysis of abomasal nematode species composition revealed differences between wild roe deer grazing in the areas of intensive livestock farming, and fallow and red deer in all environments. Alleles for benzimidazole (BZ) resistance were identified in β-tubulin of Haemonchus contortus of roe deer and phenotypic resistance confirmed in vitro by an egg hatch test (EC50 = 0.149 μg ml⁻¹ ± 0.13 μg ml⁻¹) on H. contortus eggs from experimentally infected sheep. This BZ-resistant H. contortus isolate also infected a calf experimentally. We present the first account of in vitro BZ resistance in wild roe deer, but further experiments should firmly establish the presence of phenotypic BZ resistance in vivo. Comprehensive in-field studies should assess whether nematode cross-transmission between deer and livestock occurs and contributes, in any way, to the development of resistance on livestock farms.

1. Introduction

It is well documented that the economics of cattle and sheep farming are negatively affected by high burdens of gastrointestinal (GI) nematodes through decreases in meat and milk productivity and reproduction [1–3]. Effects such as reduced feed conversion efficiency [4,5] and milk production [6] in cattle have been demonstrated and quantified at farm level. In sheep, studies of the economic impact of nematode infection are limited, but Nieuwhof & Bishop [7] estimated that in the UK GI parasites account for up to £84 million in annual losses, the main costs being owing to a reduction in the growth rate of lambs, and the cost of the treatment and control programmes.

Some of the nematode species infecting livestock mainly parasitize a single host, being found in other hosts only on very rare occasions—these are categorized as specialist species. On the other hand, some species are commonly found in more than one host, and these species are categorized as generalist. Recent molecular DNA evidence suggests that the Ostertagiinae have evolved in close relation with bovids and cervids [8], and nematode species strongly associated with each host species have evolved. By contrast, the Haemonchinae appear as generalist species, and it has been demonstrated that, under experimental conditions, Haemonchus contortus is able to be passed between cattle, sheep and white-tailed deer, and back to cattle and sheep from deer [9]. More recently, molecular genetic analysis investigating the divergence of the internal transcribed...
spacer (ITS) and mitochondrial gene sequences has supported this finding by suggesting that sheep, wild bovids and wild deer in northern Italy share a common field population of *H. contortus* [10].

Less is known about transmission to deer of other species commonly found in cattle and sheep, but nematodes thought to be specific to cattle and sheep were found in wild deer in a number of surveys, although no direct experimental evidence for cross-transmission exists. Pato et al. [11] concluded that there was cross-transmission of GI nematodes between roe deer and cattle in Spain as they identified eggs of *Nematodirus spp.*, *Trichuris spp.* and *Capillaria spp.* in the faeces of both roe deer and cattle. Their conclusion was further supported by examination of adult GI burdens, which revealed that *Ostertagia* (*Teladorsagia* *circumcincta*, *Trichostrongylus axei* and *Cooperia punctata*) were found in both cattle and roe deer. Furthermore, Pato et al. [12] also demonstrated that roe deer in the northwest of the Iberian Peninsula were widely and intensely infected with GI nematodes that are considered specific to domestic ruminants (*Ostertagia*, *Nematodirus*, *Trichostrongylus*, *Teladorsagia*, *Chabertia*, *Cooperia*, *Haemonchus*), and that they may act as potential reservoirs of nematodes for domestic ruminants.

While the cross-transmission of GI nematodes between wild deer and livestock is implied from the studies above, to date, there has been no investigation into whether deer could act as potential vectors of anthelmintic-resistant nematodes between cattle and sheep farms. Owing to the high potential of these GI nematodes to cause economic losses, commercial livestock production has been supported by heavy reliance upon anthelmintic drugs, without taking into account methods that minimize the development of anthelmintic resistance [13]. Such practices facilitated the selection of anthelmintic-resistant nematodes in both sheep and cattle, which has now been widely reported globally. While New Zealand is one of the countries that has well documented its extent [14,15], in the UK, anthelmintic-resistance reports are emerging, with triple class resistance being reported in sheep [16,17] and widespread inefficacy of macrocyclic lactones (ML) in cattle (K. Stafford & G.C.C. 2012, unpublished data).

This study aimed to investigate transmission of abomasal parasitic nematodes between cattle, sheep and wild deer in the UK, and to determine whether anthelmintic-resistant nematodes are present in the wild deer population. Given the lack of treatment in wild deer, this would indicate nematode transmission from livestock to deer and raise the possibility that deer can transfer anthelmintic resistance between livestock farms. Abomasal nematodes were selected for study because they are economically the most important parasites of livestock, were previously shown to overlap in species composition with those of deer and include the species of greatest current concern with regard to anthelmintic resistance. The abomasum is also by far the most important site of colonization within the GI tract and has been shown to contain a higher number of nematode species than the large or small intestines individually [12].

### 2. Material and methods

#### (a) Animals

A total of 48 samples were collected from fallow (*n* = 24), red (*n* = 14) and roe deer (*n* = 10) grazing in three types of environment: farmed deer (fenced off from livestock or wildlife; fallow: 10; red: 8), wild deer grazing in the areas of extensive cattle farming (fallow: 4; red: 3) and wild deer grazing in the areas of intensive cattle farming (fallow: 10; red: 3; roe: 10). Electronic supplementary material SI further describes the samples collected mentioning location, the species of deer collected, the number of each species collected and the type of environment. Deer were killed by rifle, and none of the deer sampled had damage to the abdomen. Deer collected from venison farms were not treated with anthelmintics. It was not possible to collect farmed roe deer, and culling of roe deer was not practised in the area of extensive farming sampled (New Forest, UK).

#### (b) Sample processing

Samples collected from each deer included faecal samples and the abomasum. Faecal samples were used for a faecal egg count (FEC) and for extraction of nematode eggs. The abomasum was used for enumeration and description of the abomasal nematode burden. Each of these techniques is described below.

#### (i) Faecal egg counts

FECs were performed using the FLOTAC apparatus, described by Cringoli [18] and validated for use in red deer by Bauer et al. [19]. Ten gram samples of faeces were homogenized in 90 ml of water by shaking by hand, and the nematode eggs concentrated by centrifugation at 405 g for 2 min. The supernatant was decanted, and the eggs resuspended in 10 ml of saturated sodium chloride solution. Five millilitre of the suspension was added to one of the chambers of the FLOTAC apparatus. One chamber per animal was counted, giving a detection limit of two eggs per gram (epg). The FLOTAC apparatus was centrifuged at 67 g for 5 min to separate the eggs from the debris. The eggs were counted in the entire cell at 40 times magnification, using a Cobra (Vision Engineering, UK) microscope. Average FECs were calculated for each species of deer and 95% confidence limits determined by bootstrapping [20] over 200 iterations, as parasite burdens do not follow the normal distribution, using SPSS (IBM, USA). FECs for the faecal egg count reduction tests (FECRTs) were conducted using the standard modified McMaster method, with detection limit 50 epg, which was considered adequate in the light of high starting counts.

#### (ii) Adult abomasal nematode burden estimation

Following the processing of the abomasal contents according to the method described in MAFF [21], an aliquot of 10% of the contents, by weight, was examined under a microscope (Vision Engineering, Cobra Stereo Zoom), under six times magnification, for the presence of adult nematodes. Females and males were counted and stored separately in 70% v/v ethanol in water. The total adult abomasal nematode burden was estimated by summing the number of females and males, and multiplying by 10. Average adult abomasal nematode burdens were calculated for each species of deer, with confidence limits calculated as for the FEC above.

#### (iii) Nematode identification

Only males were identified visually, according to spicule morphology, using the key described by Skrjabin et al. [22]. A maximum of 40 males were identified from each sample. In those samples that had more than 40 males, 40 were chosen by spreading male nematodes in a Petri dish by shaking. Then, using a 5 x 5 grid and a random number generator, all males within individual blocks as determined by the random number generator were collected until a number of 40 was reached. The species composition of the total abomasal nematode burden was estimated from this count. Visual identification of eight male nematodes was not possible owing to the similar spicule morphology between...
O. ostertagi and O. leptospicularis, and these were identified using molecular techniques. DNA was extracted from these nematodes using the DNeasy blood and tissue kit (Qiagen, UK), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A region of the internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS2) was amplified using the primers detailed for O. ostertagi by Zarlenza et al. [23]. The amplicon was sequenced through dyeodeoxy sequencing, and fixed nucleotide differences were used to distinguish between the two species as the ITS2 region has lower intraspecific than interspecific variation in nematodes [25]. The following two fixed nucleotide differences were used: at position 100, A indicated O. ostertagi and G indicated O. leptospicularis; at position 111, T indicated O. ostertagi, and A followed by an insertion of TG indicated O. leptospicularis. The PCR was validated on known O. ostertagi provided by the Moredun Research Institute (Edinburgh, UK).

(iv) Nematode egg extraction
The salt flotation method described by the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food [21] was used. Faecal samples were homogenized in water, and the resulting mixture centrifuged at 405g for 2 min. The supernatant was decanted and the pellet re-suspended in saturated sodium chloride. A coverslip was placed over the tube, ensuring a tight seal with no air in the tube, and the tubes centrifuged at 67g for 5 min. The coverslip was washed into a 14 ml Falcon tube, the eggs counted in five 10 µl aliquots and the average number of eggs per 10 µl calculated. The volume of water was adjusted to give approximately 100 eggs per 10 µl.

(c) Nematode cross-transmission between wild cervids and domestic livestock
(i) Abomasal nematode species diversity
Nematode species diversity was summarized in each species of deer by calculating the total number of nematode species found in each host species, and the mean number of nematode species found per individual deer.

(ii) Statistical analysis
Principal component analysis (PCA) was undertaken on nematode counts from individual deer, considering major and minor morphs as a single species. Zero values were entered for parasite species absent in individual deer. Scores for individual deer were calculated for the first two principal components and these were used to graphically represent the data, following standardization around zero on each axis. The effect of the species of deer and the environment was further investigated using a generalized linear model (GLM) on the values of the principal components for individual deer. Paired t-tests were used to study the influence of the environment on the nematode fauna of fallow and red deer, with Bonferroni correction applied to the critical p-value in order to take account of multiple comparisons. SPSS was used for the aforementioned tests.

(iii) In vivo cross-infection
To confirm cross-infection of nematode species, an in vivo cross-infection study was undertaken. Approximately 3000 infective third-stage larvae were cultured from bulked faeces from the roe deer sampled in this study. Abomasal parasites in the roe deer were identified before the experimental infection, but no species identification of the larvae was undertaken as it was intended to assess which of the species of nematodes occurring in the natural population in deer would be able to infect the livestock. Recovered larvae were used to infect a single calf, using a trickle infection with a quarter of the larvae (approx. 750 larvae) given as a single daily dose over four consecutive days. This method of infection was used as it has been observed that infection with a lower number of larvae over consecutive days results in a more stable and reliable infection compared with a single high dose, and mimics natural infection more closely. After 21 days, faeces from the calf were collected and used for larval culture and extraction. Approximately 8000 larvae were obtained and they were used to infect a single lamb, again using a trickle infection. Faeces from this lamb were collected for two weeks starting from day 21 and used for larval culture and extraction. The calf and the lamb were demonstrated as parasite-free by FECs using the FLOTAC method before experimental infection. No anthelmintic treatment was given pre-infection to either the calf or the lamb. Both the calf and the lamb were slaughtered at the end of the study and abomasal nematodes were collected from the calf.

(d) Benzimidazole resistance testing
(i) Molecular tests
All H. contortus individuals collected from a 10% aliquot of abomasal nematodes from two wild roe deer were tested for benzimidazole (BZ) resistance using the PCR detailed by Coles et al. [26]. The forward primer GGAACTGGAACGGTCTCG and the reverse primer GGAACTGGAACGGTGTCGT were used to amplify a 750 bp product from the isotype-1 β-tubulin gene. The NovaTaq hot start master mix was used, and PCR cycling conditions were as follows: 15 min at 95°C for activation of the DNA polymerase, 39 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 90 s at 60°C, 2 min at 72°C, final extension of 10 min at 72°C. The PCRs were checked by agarose gel electrophoresis and gel purified using the gel purification kit (Qiagen, UK). The purified amplicons were sent for sequencing to Dundee DNA and Sequencing Services, UK.

(ii) In vitro tests: the egg hatch test
To confirm the results of the molecular tests for BZ resistance, faeces from the lamb mentioned in the in vivo cross-transmission study above were used to extract nematode eggs. This was done as described above, and the eggs were used in an egg hatch test (EHT). The EHT was carried out according to the method described by von Samson-Himmelstjerna [27]. Briefly, the eggs were incubated in increasing concentrations of thiabendazole diluted in DMISO in triplicate, in 24-well plates, for 48 h, at 25°C. At the end of the incubation period, the total number of eggs and the number of eggs hatched in each well was counted. The probit function in SPSS was used to calculate EC50 for the test. A discriminating dose (LD50) of 0.1 μg ml⁻¹ thiabendazole was also used as advocated by Cudekova et al. [28].

(iii) In vivo tests: the faecal egg count reduction test
To confirm phenotypic BZ resistance in vivo and to investigate ML resistance, the larvae extracted from the single lamb above were used to infect six other lambs. All lambs were confirmed as nematode-free by FECs using the FLOTAC method. Each of the lambs was given approximately 5000 larvae as a trickle infection, over 4 days with a quarter of the dose each day. At 21 days, a FEC was done on each of the lambs. The lambs were weighed and treated orally as follows: two control lambs with sterile saline, two lambs treated with 5 mg kg⁻¹ fenbendazole (full therapeutic dose) and two lambs treated with half the manufacturer’s recommended dose of ivermectin: 0.1 mg kg⁻¹. This dose was used because previous trials have indicated that ivermectin has a high overkill [29], and that the half-dose can therefore give an early indication of developing resistance. After 14 days, all six lambs were euthanized, and the abomasum and intestines collected for parasitological examination as described above. FECs were also performed in each lamb at this point.
3. Results

(a) Abomasal nematode burdens in deer, and cross-transmission to livestock

(i) Nematode burdens and faecal egg counts
Abomasal nematode burdens were determined by direct abomasal counts and indicated that roe deer had the highest burdens, followed by red and then fallow deer (figure 1a). FECs were determined in order to gain an indication of pasture contamination potential rather than a measure of abomasal nematode burden. Again, roe deer had the highest counts, followed by red, then fallow deer. Mean nematode FECs for the three species of deer studies are given in figure 1b.

(ii) Nematode species identified
All three nematode species commonly found in fallow (Ostertagia asynmetrica), red (Spiculopteragia spiculoptera) and roe deer (Ostertagia leptospicularis), respectively, were identified in this study and species considered livestock-specific were also identified. The prevalence and mean abundance of all nematode species identified in the abomasum of each host species is given in table 1. The presence of T. colubriformis in the abomasum, which is usually an intestinal parasite, could be explained by leakage of intestinal contents into the abomasum between shooting and sample collection. The number of abomasal nematodes of each species found within individual deer is given in electronic supplementary material SII.

(iii) Species diversity
Roe deer had the highest abomasal nematode species diversity, followed by red and fallow deer, as indicated by the total and mean number of nematode species identified in each species of deer: in fallow deer 3 (mean, $x = 2.1 \pm$ s.d. 0.49) species were observed, in red deer 4 ($x = 2.6 \pm 0.62$) species and in roe deer 6 ($x = 4.3 \pm 1.05$) species. The median number of species was significantly different across the three host species (Kruskal–Wallis test, chi-squared test: 21.99, 3 d.f., $p < 0.0001$).

(iv) Differences in abomasal nematode fauna between deer species
Having identified the species of nematode present in each of the deer species studied, PCA was used to assess the differences in abomasal nematode fauna of deer. This analysis apportioned variation in the presence and abundance of different parasites at individual level, integrating information on all nematode species, and complements the host species-level data in table 1. Roe deer grazing in the areas of intensive farming had an abomasal nematode fauna different from that of fallow and red deer, irrespective of where the latter two were grazing (figure 2). In the PCA, roe deer were consistently separated along PC1 with high positive values compared with other groups, and generally negative on PC2 (with two exceptions). The factor loadings suggest that this is explained by greater general abundance of parasites in roe deer compared with the other deer groups, including livestock-associated species such as O. ostertagi, Trichostrongylus colubriformis, T. axei and H. contortus.

(v) Influences on abomasal nematode fauna
The unbalanced number of samples across grazing environments and deer species confounds the data, and conclusions on the contribution of factors to the determination of abomasal nematode fauna should be interpreted with this limitation in mind. However, a GLM ($F = 33.875; p < 0.001$) on the first principal component (PC1) of the PCA indicated that deer species ($F = 53.34; p < 0.001$) was a significant factor explaining the abomasal nematode fauna. The environment also played a role, but only in interplay with species of deer ($F = 5.161; p = 0.01$) and not alone ($F = 1.23; p = 0.30$). Tukey’s post hoc analysis of the GLM highlighted that the roe deer sampled had a different abomasal nematode fauna to fallow ($p < 0.001$) and red deer ($p < 0.001$). A second PCA restricted to the small numbers of deer sampled from the areas of intensive livestock grazing also showed separation of roe from red and fallow deer (results not shown), confirming that within this environment, deer species was a major determinant of abomasal nematode fauna.

Only red and fallow deer were sampled from all three types of environment, and paired t-test analysis of the influence of grazing environment within each of these species showed no differences between the three types of environment ($p > 0.21$, critical $p$ with Bonferroni correction = 0.016). Together with the GLM result above, this indicates that nematode fauna was little affected by the environment alone in red and fallow deer. The result of the GLM indicating that the environment was an influencing factor in interplay with deer species could...
be a result of sampling bias and the fact that roe deer were only sampled in the intensive environment.

(vi) In vivo cross-transmission
Larvae extracted from cultures of roe deer faeces successfully established an infection in the experimental calf. Between days 21 and 35 post-infection, the FECs ranged between 3 and 8 epg. A total of 19 nematodes were recovered from two 10% aliquots of abomasal washes—12 females and 7 males. Females were not identified, and of the seven males collected one was *H. contortus* (confirmed using the discriminant function of Jacquiet et al. [30], one was *S. spiculoptera* and the rest were *O. leptospicularis*. An abomasal nematode burden of 95 was estimated from two aliquots of 10%.

The single lamb that was given larvae extracted from faecal cultures from the experimental calf was successfully

Table 1. The prevalence and mean abundance of nematode species identified in the abomasum of each host species sampled. The species described are all the nematode species identified in each deer species.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>nematode species</th>
<th>fallow (n = 24)</th>
<th>red (n = 14)</th>
<th>roe (n = 10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>prevalence (%)</td>
<td>mean abundance (range)</td>
<td>prevalence (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Haemonchus contortus</em></td>
<td>not identified</td>
<td>not identified</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ostertagia assymetrica</em></td>
<td>96</td>
<td>119.6 (0 – 280)</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ostertagia leptospicularis</em></td>
<td>71</td>
<td>49.6 (0 – 170)</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ostertagia ostertagi</em></td>
<td>not identified</td>
<td>not identified</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Spiculopteragia spiculoptera</em></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>14 (0 – 30)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Trichostrongylus axei</em></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.7 (0 – 30)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Trichostrongylus colubriformis</em></td>
<td>not identified</td>
<td>not identified</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
infected. FECs fluctuated between 1500 and 3000 epg throughout the period between 21 and 35 days post-infection. Of the males recovered from a 10% aliquot, 70 of 76 were H. contortus, the remainder being O. leptospicularis. A total abomasal nematode burden of 1650 was estimated.

(b) Anthelmintic resistance

(i) Molecular evidence

Twenty-one individual H. contortus, isolated from wild roe deer, were genotyped for the F167Y, E198A and P200Y polymorphisms in the isotype-1 β-tubulin gene in order to study BZ resistance. This indicated that the isolate was resistant to BZ and the frequencies of the resistant alleles at each genetic locus were: 64.5% at position (P) 200, 0% at P198 and 7% at P167. The frequencies of resistant alleles identified in three individuals of H. contortus recovered from the calf infected with nematode eggs from roe deer were 33.3% at P167, 0% at P198 and 33.3% at P200. In five individuals of H. contortus sequenced from the lamb infected with larvae resulting from the experimental infection of the calf, resistant alleles were only identified at P200 (60% resistant allele frequency). The results highlight that the anthelmintic-resistant nematodes from roe deer were able to successfully infect the experimental calf and lamb. Sequencing data from the above-mentioned H. contortus individuals were deposited to GenBank with the following accession numbers: KJ018259–KJ018261 for the three individuals recovered from the experimental calf, KJ018262–KJ018266 for the five individuals recovered from the experimental lamb and KJ018267–KJ018287 for the individuals isolated from wild roe deer.

(ii) In vitro evidence

Analysis of the results of the EHT revealed a half-maximal effective concentration (EC50) of 0.149 μg ml⁻¹ thiabendazole with a confidence interval of 0.136–0.162 (the raw data from this test are included in electronic supplementary material SIV). An EC50 over 0.1 μg ml⁻¹ is indicative of resistance to benzimidazoles. The percentage of eggs surviving at 0.1 μg ml⁻¹ thiabendazole was 62.43%, which is similar to the resistant allele frequency at P200 given above.

(iii) In vivo evidence

The results of the FEC reduction test are given as electronic supplementary material SIV. Although the average efficacy of treatment was 91.5%, suggesting the presence of phenotypic resistance to BZ in the H. contortus isolate from wild roe deer, no firm conclusions can be drawn owing to a number of confounding factors, which are discussed below. There was no indication of resistance to MLs even after treatment with half the manufacturer’s recommended dose of ivermectin (100% efficacy of treatment in both lambs).

4. Discussion

A small number of studies undertaken on wild deer in the UK over 40 years ago demonstrated the presence of cattle and sheep nematodes in these wild animals [31,32], but no further studies have been published, and none since anthelmintic resistance was highlighted as an emerging issue. This study represents the largest survey of abomasal nematodes in wild deer undertaken in the UK in the past decade, and the only one anywhere to also assess anthelmintic efficacy against the nematodes recovered.

The data established that roe deer tended to have higher abomasal nematode burdens and FEC than fallow or red deer, even when grazing in the same geographical areas. Approximately half of the nematodes present in individual roe deer were generalist species, in contrast to red and fallow deer, in which species associated with cervids were dominant. Roe deer are the most numerous and the most widespread species of deer in Britain, and commonly graze pastures used by livestock. Our analysis was unable to distinguish fully between the effects of host and environment on nematode fauna, because all roe deer were sampled in the areas intensively used by livestock. This was inevitable, because roe deer are not farmed, and were not culled in the extensively grazed area from which samples were collected. However, the lack of differences in the nematode fauna of fallow and red deer grazing in different environments, including intensively grazed farmland, suggests that roe deer are particularly susceptible to livestock-associated nematode species, or that they have greater opportunity to encounter them. The grazing environment could still be a factor influencing abomasal nematode fauna, but in order to firmly determine its influence a further study, with a balanced sampling design (including roe deer that are farmed and those grazing in extensively managed areas) would be necessary; but this is unlikely to be possible given the above constraints. Parasite transmission between livestock and wildlife in both directions is influenced by complex interactions between habitat use and climate [33,34], and greater understanding of the grazing patterns of deer on farmland is needed to predict patterns of cross-infection. Studies of the population dynamics of roe deer when kept at high stocking density showed high susceptibility to parasite infection [12,35]. Other studies have shown that roe deer change their habitat selection [36] and diet [37] in fragmented agricultural habitats, making use of grass on livestock pastures, and this would increase their exposure to livestock parasites. Increasing deer population density and habitat fragmentation caused by modern farming practices could have led roe deer to increasingly graze rather than browse, and to consequently become exposed to higher infection pressure from GI nematodes. Separately, the risk of other parasitic diseases in deer has been shown to increase with landscape fragmentation [12,38].

Insights into the field population of abomasal nematodes in wild deer grazing in other types of environment can be gained from studies undertaken in other countries. A similar study of roe deer in Norway [39] did not identify a significant overlap of abomasal nematode fauna between roe deer and cattle, and concluded that roe deer pose no risk to domestic livestock. A study undertaken in Spain [40] concluded that there was significant cross-transmission of GI nematodes between wild deer (although they studied fallow and red deer) and cattle, but this was inferred only on the basis of eggs of generalist nematodes being present in high numbers in the wild deer population sampled. The strongest evidence of in-field cross-transmission of parasitic GI nematodes between wild (including roe deer) and domestic ruminants comes from Italy. Cerutti et al. [10] investigated nucleotide differences in mitochondrial and ITS ribosomal RNA genes of H. contortus in wild ruminants (including roe deer) and domestic sheep, and using molecular phylogenetic methods found that a single population of this parasite cycles between all hosts studied. The study in Italy corroborates the findings
of this study. The inferences drawn from the statistical analysis of our data could be strengthened by similar molecular phylogenetic analysis to that undertaken by Cerutti et al. [10] on the specimens of *H. contortus* isolated from wild deer and further individuals of *H. contortus* isolated from sheep and cattle farms.

To confirm the potential of nematodes of roe deer to infect farmed ruminants, an *in vivo* cross-infection experiment with strains of nematodes isolated from wild roe deer was undertaken, rather than using nematode strains developed in the laboratory as has been used in previous studies of cross-infection between deer, cattle and sheep. This experiment confirmed that nematode populations isolated directly from wild roe deer successfully infected cattle and sheep. The main species that transmitted between all three host species was *H. contortus*, which has been shown before to be a generalist parasite able to infect all of these hosts [9]. This study also demonstrated in a direct cross-infection experiment that *O. leptospicularis* is a generalist parasite and can spread between roe deer, cattle and sheep. Indeed, previous studies report the presence of this parasite in wild fallow [41] and red deer [42], but do not demonstrate direct transmission to cattle. We also demonstrated in a direct cross-infection experiment that *S. spiculoptera* can pass from roe deer to cattle. Previous studies identified this species in cattle [43], but did not experimentally demonstrate direct transfer from wild deer. It is possible that the importance of other species pathogenic to cattle and sheep, such as *O. ostertagi* and *T. circumcincta*, were underestimated as the deer hunting season might not necessarily coincide with major periods of cross-transmission. Collection of further samples outside the hunting season would provide valuable data, and such samples could be obtained from individuals that die of natural causes or as road-kill.

The *in vivo* cross-infection experiment was carried out with the entire population of eggs recovered from roe deer faeces. As such, although high numbers of eggs were used, it is likely that only a small proportion of hatched nematodes were able to colonize, and in consequence a low level of infection was established. Out of the 17 nematodes recovered only three were *H. contortus*, and sequencing of these individuals for BZ resistance revealed that the anthelmintic-resistant *H. contortus* identified in roe deer were able to establish infection in the calf. This is a proof of concept and further conclusions regarding any fitness advantage or disadvantage in terms of colonization potential cannot be drawn owing to the low number of nematodes recovered and the use of a single experimental animal.

Because anthelmintic resistance is a serious and increasing problem in the UK in sheep, and a developing problem in cattle, this study sets out to assess whether deer can become infected with resistant nematodes from livestock. Infected deer could then potentially spread anthelmintic-resistant nematodes between farms. PCR isolation and sequencing of the isotype-1 β-tubulin of *H. contortus* isolated from wild roe deer identified BZ-resistant genotypes/alleles. The BZ-R status of this isolate was subsequently confirmed by *in vitro* tests. An EHT was undertaken on eggs extracted from faeces of the lamb infected with this isolate; 62.4% of the eggs hatched in 0.1 μg ml⁻¹ thiabendazole, which is very similar to the 64% resistant allele frequency at codon 200 shown by sequencing of the β-tubulin gene of the *H. contortus* extracted directly from wild roe deer and to the 60% resistant allele frequency at codon 200 identified in *H. contortus* extracted from the experimentally infected lamb. These results are in agreement with suggestions that the 0.1 μg ml⁻¹ thiabendazole can be used as an LD₉₀ for BZ resistance in *H. contortus* [28].

Although the FECRT suggested the presence of BZ resistance, it was not demonstrated at a statistically significant level owing to a number of limitations of the study. First, the low number of animals used is below the recommended guideline of 10 [44], and this was due to constraints applied by the low number of larvae obtained from the artificial infection of the lamb used as amplification vessel. Second, accidental laceration of the abomasum of one lamb and loss of contents potentially affected the parasite count at slaughter and confounded the results. Third, the starting counts were much lower than the counts in the control or the ivermectin-treated groups, which disproportionately increased the chance of high post-treatment egg counts in the BZ-treated pair. A repeat FECRT with larvae collected from roe deer but designed according to the guidelines detailed by Coles et al. [44], and a minimum of 10 animals in each group, would be needed to establish the presence of phenotypic BZ resistance *in vivo*. Nevertheless, along with the supporting data from the *in vitro* tests, these results demonstrate the presence of BZ-resistant nematodes in untreated roe deer. No indication of resistance was obtained even to half-dose ivermectin, but, again, the low number of animals tested makes it difficult to draw firm conclusions.

The present findings should stimulate further larger-scale studies into the dynamics of cross-transmission of parasitic GI nematodes between wild deer and livestock. Given that in discontinuously grazed environments the timing of nematode transmission between wildlife and livestock is likely to be a predictable function of climate and habitat use [45], more detailed characterization of livestock-deer interaction through common use of pasture could provide the basis for recommendations to limit parasite transmission in both directions. If deer are sufficiently important as a vector of anthelmintic resistance, which is not yet proven, such principles could be incorporated into decision support systems for farmers. Of course, anthelmintic resistance can be brought onto farms by other means, especially with imported sheep, and, furthermore, it is possible that deer act as valuable natural refugia for drug-susceptible alleles, such that some exchange of parasites between domestic and wild ungulates is advantageous to the long-term sustainability of chemical parasite control on farms. Certainly, environmental change and increasing habitat fragmentation are altering patterns of contact at the wild–domestic interface, and disease control should take greater account of the whole ecosystem in a ‘one health’ approach [46]. Judging by parallel studies of infectious disease transmission between wildlife hosts [47], cross-sectional surveys will have limited power to infer whether deer act as transient hosts of parasites transmitted from livestock, or are important reservoir hosts in their own right. Further work is therefore needed before the epidemiological importance of parasite transmission between deer and livestock in different areas and situations can be specified, as well as whether and how this new knowledge should be incorporated into parasite control strategies.

In conclusion, wild roe deer have the potential to acquire benzimidazole-resistant *H. contortus* from cattle and sheep in the areas of intensive livestock farming, a process likely to be favoured by increasing deer populations and landscape
fragmentation. Onward spread of anthelmintic-resistant nematodes to livestock by wild deer has the potential to be a serious issue, especially if this promotes dissemination of resistance between farms. However, this has not been proven in this study, and further research is necessary to elucidate the extent of cross-infection and its implications.

Ethical approval was not required for these samples as the deer were part of the national culling quota (undertaken by the Forestry Commission, UK) and not purposely slaughtered for this study. Consent for sample collection was obtained from the Forestry Commission Officers at Haldon Forest (Exeter), Ludlow (West Midlands) and the New Forest. Consent for sample collection from private grounds was obtained from the owners of the grounds, and samples were taken by the ground management staff. The lamb and calf experiments were conducted to Ridgeway Research, UK, and they were conducted in accordance to the Home Office regulations under the project licence PL/30/2440 and study number RRSH-075-11-09. The experiment was screened by Ridgeway’s internal ethical approval system.
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