Inferring species roles in metacommunity structure from species co-occurrence networks
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A long-standing question in community ecology is what determines the identity of species that coexist across local communities or metacommunity assembly. To shed light upon this question, we used a network approach to analyse the drivers of species co-occurrence patterns. In particular, we focus on the potential roles of body size and trophic status as determinants of metacommunity cohesion because of their link to resource use and dispersal ability. Small-sized individuals at low-trophic levels, and with limited dispersal potential, are expected to form highly linked subgroups, whereas large-size individuals at higher trophic positions, and with good dispersal potential, will foster the spatial coupling of subgroups and the cohesion of the whole metacommunity. By using modularity analysis, we identified six modules of species with similar responses to ecological conditions and high co-occurrence across local communities. Most species either co-occur with species from a single module or are connectors of the whole network. Among the latter are carnivorous species of intermediate body size, which by virtue of their high incidence provide connectivity to otherwise isolated communities playing the role of spatial couplers. Our study also demonstrates that the incorporation of network tools to the analysis of metacommunity ecology can help unveil the mechanisms underlying patterns and processes in metacommunity assembly.

1. Introduction

It has long been recognized that the identity of locally coexisting species results from the interaction between factors affecting their immigration and persistence in local communities [1–4]. The relative importance of these, however, will probably vary with scale and with how different individuals interact with the dominant landscape pattern [5–8], which in turn is modulated by species’ functional traits. Body size and trophic behaviour are considered key functional traits affecting metacommunity structure as they affect species’ potential to reach local patches, the strength of environmental filters and the result of biotic interactions within local patches [9,10]. For example, owing to their contrasting dispersal abilities and resource requirements, large consumers would probably be more sensitive to landscape-level factors, while individuals of smaller body size could be more affected by local environment ([11,12] but see [10]).

The expectation that large-size species play a main role in the cohesion of communities is supported by their larger niche breath [13–15], their apex insertion in local communities [16,17] and their movement among communities [18]. Similarly, McCann and co-authors considering allometric trends with body size suggested that large consumers act as connectors among local communities [9,17–18]. Indeed, available data suggest that the increase in energetic demand with body size, coupled with larger movement abilities [9] and relaxation in
gape limitation [19], typically determine an expansion in prey diversity as size increases [20–22,18], trophic position [22], environmental tolerance [15,23] and the range of energy sources used [17,22]; and thus the coupling of local communities by large consumers [18]. Different studies point to this coupling as a main determinant of metacommunity stability [9,18,24–26]. Intermediate body size species, however, could also play a role in metacommunity cohesion. Intermediate body size species could occur in more patches because of their reduced resource requirement per unit of habitat area [27–29] and because of their ability to use a wider range of trophic positions [19]; which allows them to sustain viable populations where larger or smaller species would not. Notwithstanding the possibility that under some scenarios other mechanisms may become more important [10,30–32], the main expectation is that as a species body size increases so does its potential to become a connector within a metacommunity system, and this would peak at intermediate or large body sizes.

In summary, species with different traits are expected to have different roles within metacommunities. In particular, we hypothesize that: (i) small body size individuals at lower trophic levels will tend to form highly linked subgroups—e.g. local aggregations—and (ii) larger and/or intermediate body size individuals at higher trophic levels could play the role of spatial couplers of such subgroups, thus providing cohesion to the metacommunity [9,18]. Recent advances in network theory allow translating the two hypotheses into specific predictions of topological co-occurrence at the metacommunity level, and thus a formal evaluation of these hypotheses.

Modularity in ecological networks has increasingly been used to detect spatial aggregation of species in compartments of organisms sharing similar ecological attributes [33–37]. In co-occurrence networks, modules correspond to subsets of species whose probability of co-occurring across local communities is higher as compared with the probability of co-occurring with other species of the metacommunity. These modules could represent guilds [38], trophic motifs [18], co-evolved plants and pollinators [33] or related species [35]. Further, the relative number of interactions with other species of their module, other modules and the whole network, allows the estimation of their topological roles within metacommunities [33,39–41]. These roles are directly related to the above proposed hypotheses. Specifically, intermediate and/or large mobile consumers are expected to operate as network hubs (e.g. connecting the whole network), connectors (e.g. connecting different modules) or module hubs (e.g. connecting their module). On the other hand, the larger dependence of small species on local conditions suggests that they could play a peripheral role in co-occurrence patterns.

Here, we use a network approach to infer species roles in the metacommunity structure of a desert ecosystem in the Atacama Desert, Chile. Starting with the incidence matrix of species across local communities, we built a unipartite species network such that any two species that co-occur, more frequently than expected by chance, in local communities were linked. Using this positive co-occurrence network, we: (i) carried out a modularity analysis and classified species into different topological roles; and (ii) tested for the importance of trophic status, body size, numerical abundance and incidence of the species as the biological attributes that could determine these roles. The results herein reported identify modularity as a main component of species co-occurrences networks and, body size and trophic position as chief determinants of the ecological role of species within metacommunities.

2. Material and methods

(a) Study site and sampling

We analysed a metacommunity located in the Atacama Desert, northern Chile (20°29’ S–20°26’ S) one of the most arid ecosystems in the world (average precipitation per year <2 mm between 1905 and 2001) [42]. This ecosystem is sustained by the humidity provided by fog that, as it is moved inland by the westerly winds, interacts with the terrain giving rise to the development of isolated patches consisting of parallel vegetated bands of the bromeliad, Tillandsia littoralis (see [43] for a model that explains the emergence of the banded vegetation pattern observed in local communities).

We sampled animal species in 31 local communities (i.e. patches) within the system (figure 1). These communities are mainly composed of arthropods (arachnida and insecta), except for one species of lizard, Phrynosoma reichei and one species of gecko, Phyllodactylus gerrhopogus (see the electronic supplementary material, table S1, for a list of the species). In each patch, we used a transect sampling scheme where each transect contained a variable number of pitfall traps of 500 cm³ according to the patch area. Pitfall traps have a good performance monitoring active organisms providing confident estimations of species pool and local incidences, when sites are sampled under the same standardized protocol [44].

A total of 1518 pitfall traps were equi-distantly distributed in each transect and checked twice during 30 days before removal. This represents, to our knowledge, the largest survey of an Atacama Desert metacommunity so far performed. All the animals were collected, preserved in 90% ethanol and identified to the finest possible taxonomic resolution by a specialist (see the electronic supplementary material, table S1, for a list of the species). The length and width of each individual caught was measured under a stereoscopic zoom microscope to estimate its biovolume, calculated as the body length × width². The following biological attributes were estimated for each species: (i) biovolume, defined as the arithmetic mean of the biovolume of all collected individuals of the species found in the metacommunity, (ii) numerical abundance, as the number of individuals found in the metacommunity, and (iii) incidence as the proportion of occurrences of the species in the metacommunity.

Species were classified as carnivorous or herbivorous following González et al. [45].

(b) Co-occurrence network

A total of 17 667 individuals (76% herbivores and 24% carnivorous) were used to build the species co-occurrence network. In this network, species that co-occurred more often than expected by chance were linked. To determine significant co-occurrences, we propose a novel null model based on the abundance and incidence of species in the metacommunity. Thus the co-occurrence network was created following three steps: (i) estimation of the probability of finding an individual of a given species in a given patch, (ii) estimation of the probability of species co-occurrence and the expected co-occurrence along all the patches and all pair of species, and (iii) detection of significant deviations in the co-occurrence pattern.

(i) The probability of observing a species i in a patch j was estimated as follows:

\[ P_{ij} = 1 - \left( \frac{N - m_i}{n_j} \right) \left( \frac{N}{n_i} \right) \]
where $N$ is the total number of individuals observed in the metacommunity without considering species identity, $m_i$ is the number of individuals of species $i$ in the metacommunity and $n_j$ is the number of individuals observed in the patch $j$ without considering species identity. The large parenthesis indicates the calculus of a combinatorial.

(ii) The probability of two species $i$ and $q$ co-occurring in a single patch $j$ was estimated as $P_{ij} = p_i \times p_j$ and the expected number of co-occurrences along all patches was estimated as follows:

$$E_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^{M} P_{ij}.$$  

where $M$ is the total number of patches.

(iii) The variance in the number of co-occurrences of species $i$ and $q$ was estimated as follows:

$$V_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^{M} (1 - P_{ij}) \times P_{ij}.$$  

Finally, for each pair of species, a $Z$-value was calculated as follows:

$$Z = \frac{\text{Obs}_{ij} - E_{ij}}{\sqrt{V_{ij}}}.$$  

where $\text{Obs}_{ij}$ is the number of co-occurrences of species $i$ and $q$ observed across all patches.

The significance of the links was evaluated with a $Z$-test [46]. Co-occurrence of species with $Z$-values larger than 2, corresponding to a 95% confidence interval (CI), were considered significant. The co-occurrence matrix was constructed using the complete list of species in rows and columns putting a one for significant positive co-occurrence ($Z > 2$) and a value of zero otherwise. This $Z$-value, which comes from a standardized normal distribution, is different from the $z$-value that defines the topological role of species (see below).

(c) Modularity analysis

Modularity refers to the degree to which a network is organized into sets of nodes with more connections within them than among them [47]. In our positive co-occurrence network, a module is a group of species that co-occur more than expected by chance among themselves than with other species in the metacommunity. To detect such structure, we used a recently developed module-detecting algorithm based on simulated annealing (SA) [39]. This is a stochastic optimization technique that identifies modules in a graph by maximizing a function of modularity [48]. Compared to alternative approaches, SA has the advantage that the number and size of modules are determined by the network itself and not by the researcher; thus allowing for the possibility that no good division of the network might exist [47]. Herein, the modularity function used was the one proposed by Newman & Girvan [49] for a unipartite graph. To test its significance, we compared the estimated modularity on the empirical network with the 99% percentiles of the distribution of modularity in 2000 random networks with the same degree distribution—i.e. conserving the original distribution of edges per species. Random networks were generated reassigning edges randomly among species, retaining only those that did not include a species connected to itself.

(d) Topological roles of the species

Once a significant modular organization was detected, we estimated the topological role of species based on their membership in a module [39]. The role of species $i$ has two components. The first one is defined as the standardized within-module degree $z$, which is the number of links that species $i$ has to other species in the same module [33], and is defined as follows:

$$z = \frac{k_i - \bar{k}_s}{\text{SD}_s},$$  

where $k_i$ is the number of links of the species $i$ to other species in the same module $s$, while $\bar{k}_s$ and SD$s$ are the average and the standard deviation of within-module links of species in $s$. The second
species within their module. Module hubs are species that have at least 60% of their links within the module \([39]\). Using these \(z\) coefficients, the topological roles were defined by \([39]\), as corresponding to the module \(z = 0.62\), that is they have many links and most of them to species in their own module. Connectors, on the other hand, are species that have low \(z \leq 2.5\) but high \(c > 0.62\), and they are key to linking several modules in the network. Finally, network hubs or super-generalist species, are the ones that have both a high \(z > 2.5\) and \(c > 0.62\) and in consequence they could act as module hubs and connectors at the same time.

The stochastic nature of our module detection algorithm implies the existence of variability in the assignment of species to modules, and consequently, in species role identification. To check for the robustness of our results, we performed 200 sets of independent modularity analyses. In each analysis, the two components of the role of each species, \(z\) and \(c\), were retained and a 95% CI from the distributions of the 200 \(z\)- and \(c\)-values for each species was constructed. Finally, a logistic regression was used to evaluate if the probability of occupying a certain role (1) or the other three roles (0) could be associated with trophic status (carnivores or herbivores), biovolume, quadratic biovolume, numerical abundance per species and incidence of the species, which were used as predictor variables. The quadratic expression of biovolume was included because theoretical expectation [28] and previous results [12] indicate a main role of intermediate-size species. Thus, four possible models—one for each role—were used to describe the association between a role and the predictor variables. In all cases, models were generated using a best subset procedure and the best model for each role was that with the lowest Akaike’s information criterion (AIC) [50]. Differences in AIC values between models greater than two units were considered statistically significant [51]. When several models presented AIC values with less than two units of difference, the model with larger \(R^2\) values and/or significant parameters was selected.

\[ z = 1 - \sum_{t=1}^{N_M} \left( \frac{k_{ti}}{k_i} \right)^2, \]

where \(k_{ti}\) is the number of links from species \(i\) to the other species in the module \(t\) (including \(i\)’s own module). Finally, the roles of each species are depicted in a \(zc\)-parameter space showing the distribution of species according to their network roles. The threshold value of \(z = 2.5\) and \(c = 0.62\) used here were heuristically determined by Guimera & Amaral [39]. The bars at each node correspond to the 95% CI from 200 estimations of species roles. In both cases, the different colours refer to the six modules found. (Online version in colour.)

**Figure 2.** Co-occurrence network structure and roles species. (a) Co-occurrence network showing the modular structure of the metacommunity (48 nodes and 103 links). The small circles correspond to herbivorous species, whereas the large ones correspond to carnivorous species. The circles with a ‘C’ indicate which species are identified as connectors. (b) \(zc\) parameter space showing the distribution of species according to their network roles. The threshold value of \(z = 2.5\) and \(c = 0.62\) used here were heuristically determined by Guimera & Amaral [39]. The bars at each node correspond to the 95% CI from 200 estimations of species roles. In both cases, the different colours refer to the six modules found. (Online version in colour.)

**3. Results**

**3.1 Modularity analysis**

The modularity analysis showed that the co-occurrence network (48 nodes and 103 links) was significantly more modular (modularity = 0.49; \(p < 0.001\)) than expected for a random graph (figure 2a), suggesting the presence of six modules. The number of species in modules ranged from 4 to 12 species (see figure 2a) and, as expected for a modular organization, the mean connectance of a module was higher (0.23 ± 0.14) than the connectance of the entire network (0.05). In general, all modules were dominated by a few abundant species. Carnivores and herbivores were present in all modules, except in one module composed only of four herbivorous species (see figure 2a).

**3.2 Species role in the metacommunity**

Our results show that species were classified as either peripherals or connectors (i.e. \(z < 2.5\) in both cases), implying that no module or network hub species are present in the analysed metacommunity; hence, most of the variation was associated with the \(c\)-axis (see figure 2b). In particular, 85% of the species played peripheral roles with most of their links restricted to their own modules. Interestingly, 51% of these nodes had connections only inside their own modules (i.e. \(c = 0\)). These are called ultraperipheral species sensu Guimera & Amaral [39]. The remaining 15% of the nodes are connector species, which are important in linking their modules to the rest of the network. All modules have at least one connector species (C), except for the one that did not have carnivorous species (see figure 2a). In general, we...
found that most of the topological roles assigned by the SA procedure presented narrow 95% CIs supporting the robustness of the method (see figure 2b).

As most of the variation in the topological roles was associated with the trophic status, we could only analyse the probability of being a connector-node or not (i.e. a peripheral species) through the logistic regression, whose results (figure 3) show that only body size and trophic status were associated with the probability of being a connector-node. In particular, we found a humped relationship between the probability of being a connector-node and body size. This relationship was significant and also included the trophic status in the whole model (see the electronic supplementary material, table S2). In consequence, in the co-occurrence network herein studied, connector-nodes are more likely to be carnivorous species with intermediated body size (see figure 3, dotted and dashed line).

4. Discussion

Community structure has usually been quantified by characterizing species as belonging to guilds, functional groups or taxonomic assemblages [52,53]. The modularity analysis of species co-occurrence networks herein reported clearly identifies subsets of organisms with similar responses to biotic or abiotic conditions and/or mutual interactions that determine their local co-occurrence. These modules could be related to classical categorizations but are not restricted to them [33].

In our system, modules are not composed of species of particular body sizes, taxonomic identity or trophic behaviour. However, our study shows that the connection among modules is related to classical biological traits. In this sense, the modular structure of species occurrences represents an emergent feature of the underlying biological structure.

Closely related to the modular structure of networks is the potential identification of the topological role of species [33,39]. The structure of the co-occurrence network herein analysed, suggests a distribution of roles where only a few species are responsible for the metacommunity level connectivity. This pattern of role distribution [39] has also been described in a wide variety of biological networks including spatial [36,54], mutualistic [33,40,55], food web [35], genetic [34] and metabolic ones [39], supporting the commonality of this network structure. However, few studies have underscored the importance of biological attributes as determinants of species roles (but see [35,55]).

We identified two biological attributes—body size and trophic status—as determinants of the connection among modules of co-occurring species. This result gives support to previous findings that emphasize these biological attributes as determinants of a species’ ecological role in metacommunity structure [9,18,22,55]. In this sense, it has been proposed that consumers of large body sizes and higher trophic positions, owing to their higher energetic demands and dispersal ability, could operate as connectors or network hubs in the spatial integration of local communities [9,18,55]. However, intermediate body size species could also play the role of connectors. These species have the lowest environmental requirement to persist in a local community [13–15] and could occupy a wider range of trophic positions [19,22]. This is the case observed in the Atacama Desert metacommunity, where the connector roles are occupied by carnivorous species of intermediate body size (see figure 3) as it was also recently observed in a seed dispersal network (see [55]). In a previous study, we showed for this metacommunity that intermediate-size individuals are distributed in all patches including isolated ones, whereas smaller and larger individuals are more abundant in connected patches (see [12]). Congruently, intermediate-size species able to inhabit isolated and connected patches are here playing the role of connectors of different modules integrating the studied metacommunity of the Atacama ecosystem.

In addition to the effects of body size on efficiency [27,28] and constraints [19] in resource utilization, other associations between body size and population parameters should be considered. Species body size is related to abundance [56], incidence [57], species richness [27] and landscape perception [8]. These associations could be involved in the observed role of intermediate body size species as connectors. However, these associations tend to weaken at local scales [56] and this was the pattern herein observed. In the network analysed herein, abundance and incidence were not associated with body size (electronic supplementary material, figure S1), and therefore these variables are not indirectly determining species roles. The larger richness of species at intermediate body size observed here (electronic supplementary material, figure S2) and elsewhere [27], could determine that independently of body size a random selection of connectors will probably be aggregated at intermediate body sizes. The logistic regression analysis allows discrimination between this random expectation and the occurrence of a significant trend. This statistical method has good performance in analyses with low incidence of positive observations as in this study [58,59] and indicates a significant aggregation of connectors in carnivorous of intermediate body size species. This agrees with our previous finding [12] that carnivorous species of intermediate body size concentrate in well-connected patches; thus suggesting that the landscape structure, through its differential effect on species with different body size and trophic status [12,60], is probably a main determinant of the topological role of species. A main emerging challenge in this context is how the ongoing changes in biodiversity and landscape structure by humans’ actions could impact on species roles and community cohesion and functioning.

The relevance of modularity in ecological networks has been discussed for a long time, and no consensus has emerged.
as yet. In food webs, modularity has been typically used to identify recurring significant patterns of predatory–prey species [16]. In pollination networks, modules correspond to subgroups of related species considered as fundamental functional blocks and candidate for coevolutionary units [33,61,62]. More recently, modularity has been applied to biogeographic networks to detect the impact of geological history upon the identity of species present in different areas [37]. In positive co-occurrence networks—as the one presented here—modules comprise a subgroup of species with likely similar environmental requirements as patch area, heterogeneity or connectivity [12]. It seems that the modular structure of communities is consolidating as a pervasive and recurrent property of community organization from local to metacommunity scales. It should be noted that our study describes the co-occurrence network of a metacommunity, considering all macroscopic species found in it. This is important, as recent studies have highlighted that patterns in the modular structure of ecological networks, and explanations thereof, are sensitive to the identity of the species included in the analysis [59], hence the methods used to determine the presence of species.

The use of pitfall traps to assess the presence of terrestrial invertebrates is the most widely used method, but as most methods, it is not exempted of potential biases. What is important in this context is understanding the biases and assessing their impact upon data and analyses [50]. Fortunately, pitfall performance has been repeatedly evaluated and its potential biases are well understood [44]. Pitfall traps favour the collection of active organisms and are sensitive to vegetation structure, weather conditions and variation in attributes of the traps themselves, such as colour, or time since set up [44]. Vegetation structure is exceptionally homogeneous in this study system (monospecific bands of T. landbeckii), local communities were sampled at the same time and the sites show a small variation in weather conditions, traps were identical, new and only used in the present sampling. As a consequence, a systematic bias in the pitfall performance among local communities does not appear as a main matter of concern in this study. This is particularly important because this study is based on the analysis of the relative abundances of species among local communities. Further, the null model herein introduced also accounts for biases related to species variation in activity level. The model is based on assessing deviations from expected co-occurrences based on the null assumption that observed distributions of individuals among local patches are mutually independent. Finally, it should be noted that neither modules nor the topological role of species was related to species abundance—the metric potentially biased by pitfalls trapping. Further, the body size of consumers, which was associated with the topological role of species, was not associated with abundance in our study system (electronic supplementary material, figure S1). In summary, the potential biases related to the use of pitfall traps do not undermine the validity of the results herein presented.

The Atacama Desert is one of the most arid ecosystems in the world with an average precipitation per year of less than 2 mm [42]. Fog advection provides the only reliable source of moisture, which determines a spatial self-organization of the dominant vegetation [43]. In this stressful and isolated ecosystem, where most of the structure is bottom-up regulated, it is, not surprising that medium size species, which are considered less energetically restricted, act as connectors. However, in metacommunities open to the arrival of large predators not limited by local energetic constraints, the larger species should play the connector’s role [9,18,22]. Our results highlight the need for additional empirical studies on the determinants of species roles within communities and its variation across ecosystems. This is especially important to foresee the consequences of human-driven global change and particularly those associated to the removal and addition of species [63]. In this context, network approaches could provide valuable insights to improve our mechanistic understanding of these ongoing processes and its consequences [64].
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