Orientation behaviour of leatherback sea turtles within the North Atlantic subtropical gyre
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Leatherback sea turtles (Dermochelys coriacea) travel thousands of kilometres between temperate feeding and tropical breeding/over-wintering grounds, with adult turtles able to pinpoint specific nesting beaches after multi-year absences. Their extensive migrations often occur in oceanic habitat where limited known sensory information is available to aid in orientation. Here, we examined the migratory orientation of adult male, adult female and subadult leatherbacks during their open-ocean movements within the North Atlantic subtropical gyre by analysing satellite-derived tracks from fifteen individuals over a 2-year period. To determine the turtles’ true headings, we corrected the reconstructed tracks for current drift and found negligible differences between current-corrected and observed tracks within the gyre. Individual leatherback headings were remarkably consistent throughout the subtropical gyre, with turtles significantly oriented to the south-southeast. Adult leatherbacks of both sexes maintained similar mean headings and showed greater orientation precision overall. The consistent headings maintained by adult and subadult leatherbacks within the gyre suggest use of a common compass sense.

1. Introduction

Sea turtles show remarkable orientation and navigation abilities, locating their natal nesting beaches, for example, over long tracts of open ocean, but how they accomplish these feats is still not well understood [1–4]. Studies have revealed a myriad of cues that turtles may use to orient and navigate in their coastal and oceanic environments. Extensive research on sea turtle hatchlings’ ocean-finding abilities demonstrates the importance of visible light [5,6] and beach slope elevation [7], whereas hatchling orientation in coastal waters relies primarily on wave direction [8–11]. In deep water beyond the reach of shoreward-propagating waves, hatchlings switch to other cues that may include the Earth’s magnetic field [12–15].

Magnetic orientation has been demonstrated in many long-distance migrants including monarch butterflies (Danaus plexippus) [16], yellowfin tuna (Thunnus albacares) [17], birds [18], sockeye salmon (Oncorhynchus nerka) [19] and sea turtles [14]. Loggerhead sea turtle (Caretta caretta) hatchlings are capable of detecting magnetic inclination angle [13] and magnetic field intensity [20], and they appear to use these features during migration [21]. Juvenile green sea turtles (Chelonia mydas) subjected to magnetic conditions found north and south of their capture location demonstrated orientation back to the capture site, further supporting a magnetic map sense [22]. Although laboratory experiments on orientation in adult sea turtles are lacking, field studies demonstrated the potential role of geomagnetic cues during open-sea homing of adult green turtles [23]. Adult female loggerhead turtles appear to use geomagnetic cues to find their natal beaches along continental coastlines through a combination of geomagnetic imprinting and magnetic navigation [24].

Although magnetic features are a promising source of global positional information for long-distance migrations, sea turtles are unlikely to rely on them exclusively. Experimental evidence suggests that, under some conditions,
green sea turtles can maintain headings and reach goals in the presence of distorted magnetic fields [25,26]. Pacific leatherback turtles (*Dermochelys coriacea*) have been hypothesized to travel along ‘persistent corridors’ using topographic features [27,28], but in the Atlantic no evidence of migratory corridors has been found [29–32]. Travel adjacent to oceanic fronts has also been hypothesized [33,34]. Additional studies point to a ‘multifactor navigation system’ in turtles [35] that may include a combination of visual and magnetic cues [36], currents [37] and, possibly, olfactory cues over shorter distances [38,39]. Sea turtles may rely on a combination of orientation cues in different situations (i.e. navigation over long distances versus localized movements) [26,40]. Sea turtles’ ability to identify and maintain appropriate headings will impact migration distance, duration and, for reproductively active adults, breeding schedules. Understanding migratory orientation and potential cues is an important step towards understanding how sea turtles optimize travel routes and minimize energetic costs of migration.

Here, we examined the migratory orientation of adult male, female and subadult leatherback sea turtles during their open-ocean travel in the western North Atlantic between 2008 and 2009 by analysing their satellite-derived tracks. In this region, leatherbacks make extensive migrations between temperate feeding and tropical breeding and (or) over-wintering grounds [29–32,41], often travelling through the subtropical gyre where there are few known sensory cues (e.g. visual, chemosensory) available to aid in their migration.

2. Material and methods

Twenty adult and subadult leatherback turtles were fitted with Wildlife Computers (Redmond, WA) model MK10-A (n = 8) and MK10-AF (n = 12) ARGOS-linked satellite time depth recorders (STDRs). Leatherbacks were located in their feeding grounds off the coast of Massachusetts, USA (approx. 41°N, 70°W) from August 2007 to September 2009, and captured with either a breakaway hoopnet (n = 11) or accessed through the Massachusetts sea turtle disentanglement network (n = 9) [32]. We brought turtles on board commercial fishing or research vessels using a custom-built stern ramp. Leatherbacks were measured to the nearest cm (CCL, curved carapace length; CCW, curved carapace width) with a flexible fibreglass measuring tape. We used CCL to classify turtles as adults (CCL ≥ 145 cm) or subadults (CCL < 145 cm), and determined gender based on tail length of adult turtles [42]. Five adults (CCL = 320–350 m, LC1 = 55 m [43], whereas ARGOS-derived location error varies by location class (LC) as follows: LC3 < 150 m, LC2 = 150–350 m, LC1 = 350–1000 m and LC > 1000 m. ARGOS does not provide accuracy estimates for LCA and LCB locations, and LCZ are considered unreliable. We filtered 30,173 raw ARGOS and GPS locations using Kalman filter methods outlined by Royer & Lutcavage [44]. Because our analysis included GPS data, we extended the original error covariance structure to include this information. Data were interpolated to a 3 h time step and smoothed. As we were interested in the turtles’ migratory orientation within the North Atlantic subtropical gyre, we limited our analysis to track segments that occurred in the North Atlantic Subtropical Gyral West province defined by Longhurst [45], hereafter referred to as the subtropical gyre. Here, leatherback behaviour was characterized by rapid, highly directed travel consistent with migration [32]. The interpolated, reconstructed track segments within the subtropical gyre included 3904 filtered locations from fifteen turtles (table 1).

To determine the turtles’ true headings at each time step, we corrected the reconstructed tracks for current drift. We subtracted an estimate of the surface current velocity from the observed turtle velocity at each location, following the methods described by Gaspar et al. [46] and Fossset et al. [47]. To calculate the surface current velocity, we extracted surface geostrophic and Ekman current data from the NOAA Environmental Research Division’s Data Access Programme website. The geostrophic component was available as a 0.25° daily product inferred from sea surface height deviation, climatological dynamic height and basic fluid mechanics (http://coastwatch.pfeg.noaa.gov/erd dap/info/erdTAgeolday/index.html), and the Ekman component was available as a 0.125° weekly product inferred from surface wind velocity (http://coastwatch.pfeg.noaa.gov/ erddap/info/erdQSekm8day/index.html). We calculated turtle orientation angle (e.g. heading) and great circle distance between successive locations for the observed and current-corrected tracks using the bearingTrack and distanceTrack functions in the ‘argosfilter’ package in R [48].

All statistical analyses were carried out using the program ‘circular’ in R [48] and Oriana v. 4.0 (Kovach Computing). For the distribution of angles for each leatherback, we determined a mean vector that included the mean vector length (r), ranging from 0 (uniformly scattered distribution) to 1 (fully concentrated distribution), and the mean vector angle (θ). Because adult leatherbacks might be expected to navigate more efficiently than subadults owing to greater experience or goal orientation (e.g. travel to specific breeding areas), we also grouped turtles by age class and used Watson’s two-sample test of homogeneity to test for significant differences in orientation between adults and subadults [49,50]. We calculated the grand mean vector, including grand mean angle, vector length and 95% confidence limits, for all turtles and turtles grouped by age class for both observed and current-corrected tracks [50]. Hotelling’s one-sample second-order test was used to determine whether the turtles had a significant mean direction during migration.

3. Results

Fifteen turtles were tracked for sufficient duration to observe migration from temperate to tropical latitudes. Leatherback turtles travelled within the subtropical gyre for an average of 32 (±11) days, covering distances of 1105–2290 km (table 1). Adult leatherbacks had a higher average rate of travel (observed mean 60 km d−1, s.d. 8 km d−1; current-corrected mean 63 km d−1, s.d. 9 km d−1) than subadult leatherbacks (observed mean 47 km d−1, s.d. 10 km d−1; current-corrected mean 54 km d−1, s.d. 8 km d−1; table 1). Twelve track segments were successfully corrected for currents, whereas three track segments in 2009 could not be corrected owing to limited temporal coverage of the satellite-derived surface current products. There were negligible differences between the current-corrected and the observed turtle tracks in the subtropical gyre (figure 1), with most mean current-corrected turtle headings within 1° of observed mean turtle headings, but there was greater overall variability in current-corrected turtle headings (figure 2; electronic supplementary material, table S2).

Individual leatherback turtles were significantly oriented in the subtropical gyre with a grand mean heading of 154°
Table 1. Summary data for 15 leatherback sea turtles equipped with satellite tags during the migratory period within the North Atlantic subtropical gyre. PTT, platform transmitter terminal; CCL, curved carapace length; S, subadult (<145 CCL); A, adult (≥145 CCL); M, male; F, female; U, unknown sex; NASW, North Atlantic Subtropical Gyral West; n.a., not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>turtle ID</th>
<th>PTT no.</th>
<th>latitude (start/ end)</th>
<th>longitude (start/ end)</th>
<th>CCL (cm)</th>
<th>age</th>
<th>sex</th>
<th>date entered</th>
<th>time in NASW (days)</th>
<th>mean observed distance (km)</th>
<th>mean observed (corrected) travel rate (km d⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>68364a</td>
<td>37.4/25.5</td>
<td>−63.7/−52.7</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>8 November 2008</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1778</td>
<td>67 (74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>82052</td>
<td>35.5/25.5</td>
<td>−71.0/−63.8</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4 November 2008</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1480</td>
<td>45 (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>76988</td>
<td>37.4/25.5</td>
<td>−65.9/−60.7</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>26 October 2008</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1520</td>
<td>52 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>82051</td>
<td>37.5/25.5</td>
<td>−61.3/−50.3</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>21 October 2008</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1718</td>
<td>60 (63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>76989</td>
<td>37.4/25.5</td>
<td>−69.5/−64.0</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>8 October 2008</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1525</td>
<td>67 (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>85338</td>
<td>37.4/25.5</td>
<td>−68.8/−57.8</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8 November 2008</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1778</td>
<td>67 (69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>82053</td>
<td>38.6/25.5</td>
<td>−57.5/−43.8</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7 October 2008</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2052</td>
<td>55 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>27579</td>
<td>37.5/25.5</td>
<td>−69.3/−59.0</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>17 November 2009</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1728</td>
<td>64 (n.a.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>68370</td>
<td>37.5/25.5</td>
<td>−66.2/−65.0</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>6 January 2008</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1540</td>
<td>55 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>76990</td>
<td>37.5/25.5</td>
<td>−58.6/−44.9</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>29 October 2008</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2290</td>
<td>36 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>82055</td>
<td>37.5/25.5</td>
<td>−61.3/−66.4</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>16 October 2008</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>42 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>85337</td>
<td>37.3/25.5</td>
<td>−69.4/−62.1</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>12 November 2008</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1571</td>
<td>56 (62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>82054</td>
<td>33.5/25.5</td>
<td>−72.4/−67.7</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>2 December 2008</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1105</td>
<td>50 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>82056</td>
<td>33.5/25.5</td>
<td>−71.6/−68.4</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>20 November 2009</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1143</td>
<td>32 (n.a.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>82057</td>
<td>37.4/25.5</td>
<td>−67.3/−59.1</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>23 November 2009</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1695</td>
<td>57 (n.a.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Locations from the reconstructed tracks of 15 satellite-tagged leatherback sea turtles in the western North Atlantic Ocean. Grey dots represent all track locations ($n = 15$ turtles). Red dots represent observed locations ($n = 15$ turtles) and green dots represent current-corrected locations ($n = 12$ turtles) within the North Atlantic subtropical gyre during the southbound migration. The Longhurst [45] region boundaries are represented by thin black lines. Tags were deployed on adult females (A,B,E), adult males (C,D,F,G,H) and subadults (I,J,K,L,M,N,O).

4. Discussion

This study demonstrates that adult and subadult leatherback turtles can consistently maintain southward headings while travelling within the subtropical gyre. Migration between feeding and breeding areas, over thousands of kilometres of open ocean, requires an ability to orient in the absence of topographic features, landmarks and other such cues that would be available in coastal regions. With the exception of the island platform of Bermuda, the western North Atlantic subtropical gyre is characterized by deep water where leatherbacks are unlikely to use topography to guide them. Weak ocean currents owing to light and variable winds, and lack of stationary reference points, make hydrodynamic cues improbable guidance mechanisms within the subtropical gyre interior (i.e. Sargasso Sea). Wind- or current-borne cues disperse rapidly over the long distances (more than 1000 km) recorded in our study and would be unlikely to keep leatherbacks on course (reviewed by Lohmann et al. [40]). Sea turtles’ poor eyesight above water probably reduces the utility of celestial cues such as stars [40,51,52], although heightened visual acuity underwater may allow sea turtles to use visual cues subsurface [52–54]. Lacking bathymetric, hydrodynamic, celestial (star patterns) and chemosensory guidance, leatherbacks migrating through the subtropical gyre may orient to some aspect of the Earth’s geomagnetic field (magnetic compass) and/or the position of the sun on the horizon (solar compass).
Sea turtles have been shown to use the Earth’s magnetic field as a compass for holding a consistent heading [12–15]. In laboratory experiments, leatherback hatchlings were found to have a light-independent magnetic compass [55]. Existence of this compass in hatchlings suggests that subadult and adult leatherback turtles may also use a magnetic compass to maintain consistent headings while migrating within the subtropical gyre. Leatherback orientation in our study was consistent throughout the day and night, supporting the possibility of a light-independent magnetic compass like that found in hatchlings [55]. Evidence for a solar compass has also been found in sea turtles. For example, during controlled experiments where juvenile loggerheads were deprived of magnetic cues, the turtles were still able to orient with visual cues alone [36], and leatherbacks may also be able to interchangeably use magnetic and visual (e.g. solar) compasses. Other long-distance migrators, such as the sharp-tailed sandpiper [56], may use both solar and magnetic orientation cues during their extensive migrations. Some birds [57,58] and bats [59] calibrate their magnetic compasses by the sun, specifically using sunrise/sunset for directional reference. It is feasible that leatherbacks also calibrate their magnetic compasses using sunrise/sunset cues to reduce orientation errors over their long-distance migration. James et al. [60] found that leatherbacks spent a greater proportion of time at and near the surface during the day during their southward migrations in the western North Atlantic, and we recorded the highest leatherback percentage surface (0–2 m) time (44%) during the day (12:00–18:00 GMT) in the subtropical gyre compared with other oceanographic regions [32]. Greater surface time during the day may be related to greater use of a solar compass for orientation [60,61].

It is surprising that adult leatherbacks failed to show greater precision in orientation than subadults since some adults presumably orient to specific beaches to breed and/or nest. Although not statistically different, the mean observed orientation angles of adult leatherbacks were more concentrated ($r$-value range: 0.89–0.98) than subadult leatherbacks ($r$ value range: 0.70–0.95). Our statistical power would be improved by larger sample sizes for adults and subadults, and by verification of reproductive status that is inferred from age. Our age classification was based on curved carapace length, and leatherbacks show considerable variability in size at first nesting [62], so it is possible that a proportion of the turtles classified as subadults were sexually mature.

Our tracks recorded the turtles’ natural journeys through a dynamic ocean environment, so we can only speculate on the role of a magnetic and/or solar compass in helping leatherbacks maintain consistent headings in the gyre. We also cannot rule out the possibility of alternative perceptual cues that have yet to be discovered and were not considered in this study. Spatial memory (e.g. of geomagnetic field parameters) could be a potentially important influence on leatherback migratory movements, but memory-driven behaviours are difficult to distinguish from reactive sensory-driven movements during migration [63]. The remarkable consistency of individual leatherback headings over such a broad swath of ocean supports further investigation into the role of magnetic and/or solar orientation cues during open ocean migrations of leatherback sea turtles in the western North Atlantic Ocean.
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