When hatchlings outperform adults: locomotor development in Australian brush turkeys (Alectura lathami, Galliformes)

Kenneth P. Dial* and Brandon E. Gallinares

Flight Laboratory, Division of Biological Sciences, The University of Montana, Missoula, MT 59812, USA

Within Galliformes, megapods (brush turkey, malleefowl, scrubfowl) exhibit unique forms of parental care and growth. Hatchlings receive no post-hatching parental care and exhibit the most exaggerated precocial development of all extant birds, hatching with fully developed, flight-capable forelimbs. Rather than flying up to safety, young birds preferentially employ wing-assisted incline running. Newly hatched Australian brush turkeys (Alectura lathami) are extraordinarily proficient at negotiating all textured inclined surfaces and can flap-walk up inclines exceeding the vertical. Yet, as brush turkeys grow, their forelimb-dependent locomotor performance declines. In an attempt to elucidate how hatchlings perform so well, we analysed hindlimb forces and forelimb kinematics. We measured ground reaction forces (GRFs) for animals spanning the entire growth range (110–2000 g) as they ascended a variably positioned inclined ramp that housed a forceplate. These data are compared with a similar dataset for a chukar partridge (Alectoris chukar) that exhibit a growth strategy typical of most other Galliformes and that demonstrate improved incline performance with increasing age. The brush turkeys’ ontogenetic decline in incline running performance is accompanied by loss of traction at steep angles, reduced GRFs and increased wing-loading. We hypothesize that Australian brush turkeys, in contrast to other Galliformes, develop from forelimb-dominated young that exploit a variable terrain (e.g. mound nests, boulders, embankments, cliffs, bushes and trees) into hindlimb-dominated adults dependent on size and running speed to avoid predation.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Mammals and birds develop along a pronounced altricial to precocial axis. Altricial young (e.g. primates and songbirds) are born or hatch naked, with eyes closed, and are completely dependent on their parents for thermoregulation, feeding and protection. Juveniles of altricial species become independent only after they grow to near adult mass and have well-developed locomotor skills. In contrast, precocial species (e.g. ungulates and game birds) are born covered with hair or feathers, eyes open, thermally independent and ambulatory so that they can forage and flee danger. Members of the megapod family (Galliformes; e.g. Australian brush turkey, Alectura lathami, malleefowl, scrubfowl) of the Indo-Pacific region are at the extreme of the precocial spectrum in birds and, as such, are characterized as super-precocial [1]. Hatchlings emerge from the nest alone and completely independent of their parents, with wings fully functional and even capable of aerial flight [2]. No other avian clade exhibits such advanced hatchling development.

At birth, precocial young are confronted with immediate challenges, as they must escape predators, search for food and behaviourally thermoregulate. Locomotor capacity is therefore critical. However, the relatively small size and incomplete neuromuscular development in young animals is predicted to limit locomotor performance, even in precocial species [3]. Despite this paradox, quantitative studies of the ontogeny of locomotor performance are scarce. The limited available data demonstrate that among many precocial species, youngsters have similar or superior absolute escape performance when compared with adults (e.g. hares, Lepus [4,5]; lizards [6]; birds, Alectoris [7]. See [8] for review).

Despite excellent physiological and ecological studies (e.g. [2,9–13]), there are no quantitative investigations of megapod locomotor performance during growth. We found that Australian brush turkeys of all ages preferred to use wing-assisted incline running (WAIR; [14,15]), rather than flight, to reach an elevated refuge and to navigate their natural habitat. WAIR involves simultaneous use of running hindlimbs and flapping forelimbs [14,15]. Since WAIR requires less aerodynamic output than flight [16] and is exhibited by all ages of brush turkeys and other Galliformes, it offers a common metric of locomotor performance during avian development [7,14,15,17].

We quantified locomotor performance of Australian brush turkeys throughout development using the maximum angle ascended during bouts of WAIR and compared performance among age classes to measures of forelimb kinematics and hindlimb ground reaction forces (GRF). Many researchers have quantified GRF values for running on the level (e.g. [18–21]). However, studies of incline running are few and most involve...
2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Nine wild Australian brush turkeys were captured using hand nets 110 km northeast of Adelaide during January 2004. The youngest bird was captured the day it emerged from its nest mound. We estimated the ages (days post-hatching = dph) of the older birds (ranging from 116 to 1890 g) from growth curves described by Göth [24]. Every 3–5 days each animal was weighed and photographed with their wings outstretched against a gridded background in order to obtain wing length and wing area (analysed in IMAGEJ [25]) to calculate wing-loading (body mass divided by the surface area of both wings). Low wing-loading (large wings relative to body size) is generally associated with the ability to produce high aerodynamic forces relative to body weight, yet may be costly because of aerodynamic drag on the wings particularly at higher velocities. Every 2–3 days each bird was challenged to ascend a textured runway ramp outfitted with a forceplate flush with the ramp. The ramp was inclined over a broad array of angles including 0° (horizontal) and from 50° to 110° in 10° increments (figure 1). Each bird was tested over a two-week period (during January–February 2004). During that period the fastest growing individual was the youngest bird, which increased in mass by approximately 40 per cent (116–162 g). However, no birds demonstrated a change in performance ability during the two weeks, thus all data for each individual were pooled. After the experiment, all nine brush turkeys were released at their area of capture.

All brush turkeys readily performed WAIR when placed at the bottom of the inclined ramp (electronic supplementary material, video S1). Runs were recorded with a laterally placed high-speed digital video camera (250 Hz, Motionscope, Redlakes Inc.) and saved to digital videotape (mini-DV, Sony Camcorder) and recorded high-speed digital video camera (200 Hz, mini-DV, Sony Camcorder) and saved to digital videotape (mini-DV, Sony Camcorder) and saved to digital videotape (mini-DV, Sony Camcorder). The ramp (15 cm wide by 2 m long) was covered in a 30-grit sandpaper covered with rubber carpet backing and fine hardware cloth in order to maximize traction. A 3-axis forceplate (15 × 15 cm, recording at 200 Hz via amplifier model PJB-101 to NetFORCE software, v. 2.2, AMTI, Watertown, MA, USA) was mounted flush with the ramp’s surface at the midpoint. The forceplate top-sheet was also covered with sandpaper and hardware cloth.

The video served several functions. From it, we calculated wing beat frequency and stroke-plane angle (angle between the line swept by the wingtip at mid-downstroke and the global horizontal) for each run. We also scored each run as: (i) success (ascent without slipping), (ii) slipping (occasional foot slips while successfully ascending), (iii) treadmilling (running in place on the ramp with no forward progress), and (iv) failure (sliding backwards or abandoning the ramp) (table 1). Finally we used the video to confirm single foot-strikes on the forceplate.

Force plate recordings were filtered with a five-sample moving average and analysed in IGOR Pro (Wavemetrics, Inc., Portland, OR, USA). From the forceplate recordings, we determined foot-down time (force > 5% body mass), and toe-off time (force < 5% body mass). Between these times, we determined peak and average normal and parallel (in line with ramp direction) forces, and peak and average total GRFs. Peak transverse forces were typically less than 5 per cent of peak GRF and thus were not included in this analysis.

We present results for individual birds and for age categories. The nine brush turkeys were grouped based on similar mass and estimated age into five categories (hatching, young, juvenile, sub-adult, adult; table 1). Age category means values are presented as the pooled mean of measurements among all individuals within a given category.

For comparative purposes, we present previously unpublished forceplate data for developing chukar (Alectoris chukar). Recording and analysis techniques were the same as for brush turkeys, except that chukars were recorded only at 50° and 70°. Chukar care, age and kinematic data are available in Jackson et al. [7].

3. RESULTS

(a) Performance

Incline locomotor performance, determined by maximum ascent angle, decreased with age and size (table 1, figure 2 and electronic supplementary material, video S2). The 2 dph 'hatching' easily ascended 110° inclined inclines without slipping. In contrast, the two oldest birds could negotiate inclines only up to 60° but lost traction and slipped during most steps (table 1). On the 70° ramp, these oldest birds were unable to gain traction and eventually slipped-off the ramp sideways or backwards. The oldest bird to successfully ascend a 90° incline was estimated to be 99 dph and had less than 25 per cent of
The youngest bird produced peak forces of 1.8 times body mass, oriented nearly in line with the 80–90° incline (average forces of 0.8×mg on 50° inclines to 0.2×mg on 110° inclines. This trend corresponded with a change in gait from running at 50° to ‘walking’ (duty factor >0.5) at inclines steeper than 70°.

Chukar produced peak and average GRFs similar in magnitude and orientation to those produced by brush turkeys (figure 5). Peak forces, as multiples of body mass, decreased with age at 70° (the only angle that nearly all ages of both species can ascend). Peak parallel forces of sub-adults of both species averaged just above 1×mg. Peak normal forces in both species decreased with age, from near 1.0 to 0.6×mg in chukars, and 0.7 to 0.3×mg in turkeys (figure 5), with similar patterns for average forces. The two oldest age categories of turkeys slipped and treadmilled at 70°, suggesting that normal forces between 0.5 and 0.6×mg are necessary to provide the traction required for WAIR at 70° (threshold line in figure 5).

(c) Wing-loading, frequency, stroke-plane angle

Wing-loading was lowest in the youngest birds and more than doubled during growth. Wing-loading remained essentially unchanged over the first 30 days post-hatching (40–46 N m⁻²; figure 6). Further, feather growth was minimal, and as body mass increased wing-loading grew to 70 N m⁻² at 100 dph, and 100 N m⁻² at 200 dph.

Wingbeat frequency generally decreased with age, but increased with ascent angle (figure 7). The turkeys beat their wings intermittently at the lowest ascent angles (i.e. 50° and 60°), usually pausing between mid-upstroke and end-upstroke, resulting in the lowest frequencies at a given age. When wingbeats were more consistent at steeper inclines, wingbeat frequency ranged from 9.2 to 7.8 Hz in the youngest bird, and from 6.9 to 7.8 Hz in the largest bird (figure 7). Maximum wingbeat frequency scaled as $n_{0.10}$.

Stroke-plane angle changed very little with age or ramp angle (figure 3). Because of the intermittent wingbeats at 50° and 60° incline angles, variation in stroke-plane angles was high. At steeper inclines, mean stroke-plane angle ranged from 115° to 128° among all ages, and showed no trend with changes in incline angle or bird age.

**Table 1.** Best performance for each bird on inclined substrates. Italic entries indicate successful ascents. Comparable behavioural data for Chukar available in Dial et al. [17].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>bird</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mass (g)</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>856</td>
<td>1861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age (dph)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>category</td>
<td>hatchling</td>
<td>young</td>
<td>young</td>
<td>juvenile</td>
<td>juvenile</td>
<td>juvenile</td>
<td>sub-adult</td>
<td>sub-adult</td>
<td>adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ramp angle</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>walk/run</td>
<td>walk/run</td>
<td>walk/run</td>
<td>walk/run</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>treadmill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>treadmill</td>
<td>treadmill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>treadmill</td>
<td>fail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>110</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>WAIR</td>
<td>fail</td>
<td>fail</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2.** Maximal locomotor performance, measured as the steepest angle successfully ascended, decreased with age in brush turkeys (this study) in sharp contrast to the increase observed in chukars (data from Jackson et al. [7]).

**Table 1. Best performance for each bird on inclined substrates. Italic entries indicate successful ascents.**
Figure 3. Average GRF never exceeded body weight during successful ascents, independent of age (age indicated by size of shapes) in brush turkeys (red circles) or chukar (grey squares), but generally was oriented against gravity so as to provide weight support. With increasing ramp angle, the larger (older) brush turkeys failed, and only the hatchling was able to ascend the 110° ramp. Curved arrows represent average stroke-plane angle (measured at mid-downstroke) for the youngest bird.
4. DISCUSSION

Hatchling Australian brush turkeys consistently out-performed older birds as they climbed a variable-pitched inclined ramp, built to emulate inclined objects that birds encounter in nature (e.g. earthed mounds, trees, boulders, cliffs). Although capable of true flight, the day they emerge from their ground nest, brush turkeys, like other Galliformes, preferentially used W AIR to ascend to an elevated refuge. Ricklefs [3] argued that precocial species should be adept at burst locomotion. In the wild, young brush turkeys are often exposed to intense predation (<15% may survive the first three weeks), and they seek elevated refuges when threatened or for roosting [2]. As adults, brush turkeys and other Galliformes can outrun many predators and use their wings only for brief escape flights. To avoid predators, young brush turkeys must negotiate a highly variable terrestrial environment on their own. Our data suggest that one trait promoting early incline-running performance is their extraordinarily low wing-loading—the lowest of their lives. This low wing-loading is achieved because hatchlings have very large wings, the development of which probably explains their prolonged egg stage (approx. 50-day incubation; [26]). This is in stark

**Figure 4.** (a) Peak and (b) average GRFs for the hatchling, the only bird to successfully ascend all ramp angles. Total (net, grey bars) GRF decreased with increasing ramp angle, driven by decreasing normal GRF (black bars) while parallel GRF (open bars) remained nearly constant.

**Figure 5.** Peak normal (squares) and parallel (circles) GRF for age groupings of brush turkeys (closed symbols) and chukar (open symbols) performing WAIR on a 70° incline. At 70°, the two oldest age categories of brush turkeys slipped, and they produced the lowest peak normal forces. All other birds produced normal forces above 0.55 × body weight during successful ascents, suggesting a possible normal force threshold to produce sufficient traction for ascent.

**Figure 6.** Wing-loading trends during development for brush turkeys (filled circle, this study) and chukar (circle, [7]). Brush turkeys hatch with full wings in an advanced stage of development, leading to the lowest wing-loadings during their growth.

**Figure 7.** Average wingbeat frequency for each age category at each ramp angle (50°–110°). Intermittent flapping on the lowest ramp angles led to variable and low wingbeat frequencies. At higher angles (>70°), frequency generally increased with ramp angle. Maximum frequency among age categories scaled as $m^{0.10}$.
contrast to other Galliformes (20–25-day incubation) that cannot generate useful aerodynamic forces for several days after hatching and lack the running speeds of the adults [7], but enjoy the protection of their parents.

In brush turkeys, declining performance during development coincided with increasing wing-loading and decreasing relative normal GRF. All else equal, high wing-loading implies low aerodynamic force production relative to body weight. During WAIR in chukars, the wings produce forces that accelerate the bird towards the inclined surface [16,27]. Thus, even on a vertical incline, the hindlimbs can develop traction (via normal GRF) and accelerate the bird’s centre of mass (via parallel GRF; [27]). In turkeys, both peak and average normal forces systematically declined with incline angle (hatchlings: peak normal GRF from 1.7 × mg in level movement to 0.5 × mg at 90°, figures 3 and 4). By contrast, peak parallel forces did not change (hatchlings: 1.0 × mg; figure 4). Similarly in adult chukars, normal GRF decreased from 0.7 to 0.6 × mg, and parallel GRF remained near 0.8 × mg (60–90° inclines). The only other forceplate data for running at extreme angles [27] reported peak forces from adult chukar partridge well above our brush turkey and chukar data (see electronic supplementary material for discussion).

Older turkeys produced lower relative normal GRF at all angles, and lost traction at shallower angles than younger birds. Thus, the high wing-loading of older turkeys may therefore preclude them from maintaining hindlimb traction. However, changes in foot and claw morphology with age may modify the interface with the substrate and the animals may simply lose their behavioural propensity to employ WAIR with age. Additionally, as WAIR requires a small fraction of the aerodynamic forces of level flight [16] and adult brush turkeys are capable of powered level flight, it is unlikely that increased wing-loading is the sole limiting factor on WAIR performance.

What does the ontogenetic increase in wing-loading indicate? Adult brush turkeys have stout hindlimbs that they use to construct and maintain their enormous (2000–6000 kg) mound nests [2]. Breeding males may move up to 50 kg of earth daily during incubation [28]. Adult turkeys also use their hindlimbs to flee from predators and in combat with other territorial males during the breeding period [2]. Thus, brush turkeys may experience a developmental and functional tradeoff between forelimb-dependent flap-running locomotor performance and development of stout hindlimbs for adult survival and reproductive success.

We hypothesize that Australian brush turkeys, in contrast to other Galliformes, develop from forelimb-dominated young that avoid predation by taking advantage of steep terrain and natural obstacles into hindlimb-dominated adults that have transitioned to an anti-predation strategy dependent on body size and running speed. We expect this developmental shift also to be marked by other morphological and physiological changes (e.g. in relative limb size and robustness, and in foot morphology for traction on incline versus level running). Compensating for their reduced inclined running locomotor performance, adults appear more adept at high-speed horizontal movement and are probably less naive of threatening situations (K. P. Dial 2004, personal observation); although currently no empirical data exist to substantiate this behavioural observation. Furthermore, the young of mound-builders (scrubowl, malleefowl, and brush turkey) are far more capable of covering larger distances in the air than the adults [2]. It is the young birds, for the most part, that colonize new areas. In some cases the smaller, flight-capable kids cross considerable stretches of unsuitable habitat and thus are responsible for the majority of dispersal, particularly over water to other islands [29].

Locomotor performance develops quickly in many precocial species (for review, see [8]). In the only other study of locomotor ontogeny in birds (chukar partridges), WAIR performance increased with age and was correlated with morphological and kinematic changes early in development (8–20 days; [7]). However, there are few known examples where young outperform adults in escape-relevant behaviours: jackrabbits (Lepus; [4,5]), lizards (Anolis; [6]), crickets (Nemobius sylvestris [30]), brush turkeys (this study). It is likely that such developmental strategies evolved in response to high predation early in life (e.g. [8]), as is the case in brush turkeys (>85% juvenile mortality; [2]).

Understanding the relationship between locomotor ontogeny and degree of parental care of extant species may shed light on the historic ecological and evolutionary habits of extinct groups. For example, recent analyses suggest that many theropod dinosaurs grew over a period of several years, through a wide range of sizes, before reaching asymptotic size [31,32]. Small and immature members of a species, then, may have relied on different locomotor strategies than older and larger members. Some relatively small eumaniraptoran theropods, for instance, are preserved with fully feathered wings (e.g. Microraptor [33]; Anchiornis [34,35]). Yet larger and presumably flight-incapable adult theropods have been discovered with feathered forelimbs (e.g. Caudipteryx [36,37]; Velociraptor [38]) that perhaps generated useful aerodynamic forces only for the diminutive juveniles. Recently, Xu et al. [39] reported a dinosaur, Similicaudipteryx, that appears to exhibit changing feather morphology with age. This implies that different age classes may have enjoyed different locomotor capacities. Thus, just as brush turkeys begin life with aerodynamically functional forelimbs and become less aerial during development, some theropod dinosaurs may have exploited a more three-dimensional environment as juveniles, and become more obligately bipedal with age. The idea of immature animals exploiting unique ecological niches appears consistent with other forms exhibiting semi-indeterminate growth, as reported for locomotor development in crocodiles [40]. The ontogeny of locomotion in extant organisms can thus provide insight on the evolution of locomotion in extinct organisms.
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