Could controlling mammalian carnivores lead to mesopredator release of carnivorous reptiles?
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Emerging evidence increasingly illustrates the importance of a holistic, rather than taxon-specific, approach to the study of ecological communities. Considerable resources are expended to manage both introduced and native mammalian carnivores to improve conservation outcomes; however, management can result in unforeseen and sometimes catastrophic outcomes. Varanid lizards are likely to be apex- or mesopredators, but being reptiles are rarely considered by managers and researchers when investigating the impacts of mammalian carnivore management. Instances of mesopredator release have been described for Varanus gouldii as a result of fox and cat management in Australia, with cascading effects on faunal community structure. A meta-analysis showing extensive dietary niche overlap between varanids, foxes and cats plus a review of experimental and circumstantial evidence suggests mesopredator release of V. gouldii and about five other medium to large species of varanid lizard is likely in other regions. This highlights the need for managers to adopt a whole-of-community approach when attempting to manage predators for sustained fauna conservation, and that additional research is required to elucidate whether mesopredator release of varanids is a widespread consequence of carnivore management, altering the intended faunal responses.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The predatory impact of introduced carnivores on native prey has been widely documented; however, only recently has much attention been given to the indirect effects that result from competitive interactions between predators [1,2]. Competitive interactions can be complex and shifts in their dynamics have resulted in unpredictable and sometimes profound impacts on lower trophic levels [3,4]. Compelling examples are emerging describing the deleterious impacts that subordinate predators, or mesopredators, inflict on prey species after being released from competition with a dominant predator [5–10]. Some instances of mesopredator release have yielded counterintuitive outcomes; for example, the removal of cats from an island resulted in a decline rather than an increase in the resident seabird colony because rats were released from regulation by cats, resulting in an increase in rat numbers and egg predation rates [4]. A similar result was observed on the North American continent where the nest predation rate suffered by the song sparrow (Melospiza melodia) was lower in years when coyotes (Canis latrans) were present, allegedly owing to the coyotes’ suppression of racoons (Procyon lotor), the main nest predator at the site [9].

Mesopredator release involving non-mammalian predators has been reported less frequently than is the case among mammalian predators [8]. This may be because such responses are rarer or because they are investigated less often. Conspicuous examples involving non-mammalian predators include the competitive release of ghost crabs (Ocypode quadrata) after raccoon removal resulting in higher rather than lower sea turtle egg predation [11], mesopredator release of marine fish, elasmobranchs and squid as a consequence of humans over-exploiting top-order predators such as sharks (as reviewed by [12]) and cases of intraguild predation among raptors leading to population level effects when the dominant predator declined in abundance (as reviewed by [13]). Examples of mesopredator release such as these highlight the need for careful consideration of all the potential predators, not only mammalian predators, and their interactions in a system.

Investigations into the impacts of mammalian carnivore management have generally focused on mammalian apex predators and mammalian mesopredators and have largely ignored other mesopredators such as large carnivorous reptiles [14,15]. Varanid lizards are the paramount terrestrial reptilian predator across the Old World and appear to play a role similar to small- to medium-sized carnivorous mammals [16–18]. Changes
in varanid lizard populations as a result of mesopredator release may then precipitate changes in lower trophic levels; hence, this group of mesopredators warrants scrutiny.

The Australian continent provides an ideal arena to test the concept of mesopredator release involving carnivorous lizards and eutherian carnivores. Australia has suffered the greatest rate of mammal extinction and range contraction of any continent since European arrival [19] and the introduction and recent establishment of two eutherian carnivores, the red fox (Vulpes vulpes) and feral cat (Felis catus), on the Australian mainland has been implicated in many of these catastrophic declines [2,19–24]. Consequently, both foxes and cats are actively managed to reduce their impacts on agricultural and/or conservation values in many parts of Australia [21,25]. Effective management of these eutherian predators can also extirpate the apex eutherian predator the dingo Canis lupus dingo [26], also widely considered a threat to livestock. As a consequence of effective control of these three predators, varanid lizards could become the apex terrestrial predators across large areas of the Australian mainland.

This review poses the questions of whether varanids can, and do, exhibit a mesopredator release response following removal of foxes or cats, and if so, are some varanid species likely to drive changes in prey community composition? To address these questions we review: (i) the likelihood of competitive and predatory interactions between varanid lizard species and introduced carnivores in Australia based on overlapping ecological niches; (ii) the existing evidence suggesting varanids could impact the faunal community structure; and (iii) the evidence for and potential implications of introduced carnivore management initially on varanid populations and subsequently on faunal composition through trophic cascades. Finally, we propose priorities and approaches for future research.

2. NICHE OVERLAP BETWEEN VARANID LIZARDS AND EUETHERIAN CARNIVORES

Niche overlap between species may be expressed spatially, temporally and by the overlap in resources that they use such as diet or resting sites [27,28]. The varanid lizards with most potential to have competitive interactions with foxes or cats are the larger species whose size as adults permits them to escape the threat of predation by foxes and cats [18] as well as increase the potential for dietary overlap. Wide-ranging terrestrial foraging varanid species may be more likely to encounter foxes, cats and their prey than other varanids such as rock-dwelling, semi-aquatic or ambush predators. The availability of sheltering sites is unlikely to be a source of competition because each species is capable of digging or constructing its own shelter or burrow and to our knowledge neither varanids, nor foxes or cats, have been observed usurping the shelter of the other. Consequently, we explore niche overlap considering dietary intake, foraging habits, body size and activity patterns—both temporal and spatial.

We assessed niche overlap between all 27 recognized species of varanid lizards on the Australian mainland with both foxes and cats, initially by conducting a meta-analysis of dietary intake. All of these predators are considered generalists, taking prey in proportions that largely reflect availability [21,29,30] so the potential exists for considerable overlap. We calculated dietary overlap as defined by the equation:

\[
O_{jk} = \frac{\sum p_i p_{ik}}{(\sum p_i^2)^{0.5}}
\]

where \(O\) is the index of overlap, \(j\) and \(k\) are the species being compared and \(p_i\) is the frequency of occurrence of the \(i\)th food type [31]. The index can range from zero (no overlap) to one (complete overlap). We could not compare the predators in terms of percentage volume of each prey group as this was reported in relatively few studies. The broad food categories common to foxes, feral cats and varanids used in this meta-analysis were mammals, reptiles, reptile eggs, birds, amphibians and invertebrates. Where studies provided frequencies of occurrence only for specific food groups within these broad categories rather than a total frequency, we used the frequency of the most common food group within each broad category. The source literature, sample sizes and ecological characteristics defining each species are given in the electronic supplementary material, table S1.

Overall patterns emerged comparing the diets of each carnivore species. Foxes tend to prey upon medium to small mammals and supplement this with carrion and invertebrates. Feral cats have a similar diet but tend to have a greater proportion of birds and reptiles and less carrion [21,32–34]. Rabbits (Oryctolagus cuniculus) were a key resource for foxes and cats, being commonly eaten in all but two dietary studies reviewed. The diets of larger varanids such as Varanus varius contain a large proportion of mammalian prey, similar to that of foxes, whereas the diets of medium-sized varanids have a higher proportion of reptilian and invertebrate items, akin to that of feral cats. Smaller varanid species mostly consume invertebrates and some small reptiles. Few varanid diet studies were conducted in areas with high densities of rabbits, introducing a potential bias exaggerating dietary differences.

Ontogenetic differences in diet appear to be relatively minor among varanid lizards. Juvenile and adult Varanus gouldii had similar diets in the Great Victoria Desert, Western Australia [35], with around 40 per cent reptilian prey and the remainder mostly invertebrates, and no association was evident between body size variation and dietary intake in V. gouldii or Varanus rosenbergi in mesic southwest Western Australia [36]. Ontogenetic differences in diet have not been reported for foxes or cats. Therefore, the degree of dietary overlap between reptilian and mammalian carnivore species may be reasonably consistent throughout an animal’s life.

Indices of dietary overlap between introduced foxes and varanid lizards that shared geographical distributions (figure 1a) were high among three species of the largest varanids: V. varius, Varanus giganteus and Varanus spenceri; and moderate for three other large species: V. gouldii, V. rosenbergi and Varanus panoptes (distributions and body sizes from [37,38]). These species are also wide-ranging active foragers suggesting high niche overlap and potential for competitive interactions. Dietary overlap was higher again between these varanid lizards and feral cats (figure 1b). Feral cats also overlap distributions...
mammalian and reptilian carnivores and could well be a key resource leading to competitive interactions [43]. The relative importance of carrion to each species and the intensity of competition for this resource have not been reported.

Aggressive encounters are also recorded more frequently among competitors with greater dietary overlap [44,45], and documented cases exist of direct interactions in the form of intraguild predation. Several cases involve smaller varanid species being preyed upon by cats and foxes [46]. Foxes and cats have also been reported to occasionally include larger varanids in their diet which may represent either predation or scavenging [47–50]. Rarely are cases documented of large adult varanids being preyed upon by cats or foxes; however, on Kangaroo Island, South Australia, feral cats have been reported not only to prey upon sub-adult *V. rosenbergi*, but opportunistically kill, and in two cases deliberately stalk and kill, adults [50]. Likewise, foxes and cats have been recorded in regurgitated stomach contents of *V. varius* [51] and in one case four fox cubs were recovered from the stomach of one individual [52].

A general distinction between varanids and the eutherian predators is the temporal separation in activity times. Introduced foxes and feral cats are frequently, though not exclusively, nocturnal foragers, whereas varanids are almost exclusively diurnal. As a consequence direct encounters should be infrequent, but this temporal separation over the day is unlikely to markedly reduce exploitation competition for prey resources. Varanids are highly adept at excavating prey in hiding during the day whereas eutherian predators are the temporal separation in activity times.

### 3. VARANIDS AND PREY COMMUNITY STRUCTURE

Several examples have been documented describing the impacts varanids can have on native vertebrate populations in Australia. The arrival of toxic cane toads (*Bufo marinus*) in northern Australia precipitated a marked decline in *V. panoptes*, which in turn has seen the nest predation rate of pig nosed turtles (*Carettochelys insculpta*) decline from around 20 per cent to almost nothing [57]. *Varanus panoptes* has been suggested to have a similar impact on flatback (*Natator depressus*) and Olive Ridley (*Lepidochelys olivacea*) sea turtle populations owing to both their extensive use of turtle breeding habitat along the northern Australian coastline and the dominance of turtle eggs in their diet [58]. In an experiment where exclosures were erected to exclude predators including *V. gouldii* from a natural habitat, the density of small reptiles significantly increased [59]. In another example, recovery of a ringtail possum (*Pseudocheirus peregrinus*) population after fire was limited by a proportional increase in predation rates by lace monitors and diamond pythons (*Morelia spilota spilota*)

![Figure 1. Index of dietary overlap (O) between varanid lizards on the Australian mainland and *(a)* introduced foxes and *(b)* feral cats, plotted against the maximum snout-vent length (SVL) of each varanid species. Black symbols represent varanid lizards that are wide-ranging foragers, dark grey are arboreal species, light grey represents semi-aquatic foragers and white indicates those associated with rocky outcrops. Only those varanid species with dietary information and that overlap in geographical distribution with foxes or cats are included. Details of source literature and sample sizes can be found in the electronic supplementary material, table S1.](http://rspb.royalsocietypublishing.org/Downloaded from April 8, 2017)
been described using skeletochronology [69,70]. Sweet & Pianka [68] speculated that both large and small varanids have been able to coexist through evolutionary time with marsupial carnivores in Australia owing to varanids possessing a superior intellect. The introduction of foxes and cats to Australia is, in effect, a large on-going natural experiment assessing the extent of competition between varanids and eutherian carnivores.

Varanids have been demonstrated to respond to long-term fox control and to feral cat management. In response to a 10-year fox baiting programme in New South Wales, V. gouldii activity increased fivefold (as measured by track counts) compared with a similar area that was unbaited [59]. Diurnal reptiles were also reported to increase, but nocturnal reptiles declined in the baited area. The authors concluded that the reduction in fox numbers led to a shift in the dominant predator from foxes to varanid lizards. In turn, there was a shift in reptile community structure, reflecting the varanids’ greater efficiency as a predator of nocturnal reptiles in their daytime refuges. Such an impact on prey may also be a consequence of increasing feral cat predation, but cats were not monitored in this study. A similar response by varanids and impact on nocturnal reptiles was conjectured in a predator exclusion experiment [71]. Gecko abundance declined in the absence of foxes and cats but presence of varanids; however, the numerical response of V. gouldii to feral animal exclusion could not be detected with the monitoring approach employed in that study. Varanus gouldii has a lower dietary niche overlap with foxes than several other varanid species (figure 1) yet exhibits a mesopredator release response, suggesting stronger responses are possible with other varanid species. Claridge et al. [72] reported that with decreasing detection rates of foxes and cats over 10 years at both baited and unbaited sites, detection of V. varius increased or remained constant. Following eradication of feral cats on Faure Island in Shark Bay, Western Australia, V. gouldii increased from an almost undetectable level to become readily sighted across the island and sightings of juveniles have become noticeably more frequent ([73]; J. Williams 2009, personal communication). Similarly on the Peron Peninsula in Shark Bay, the management of both foxes and cats has seen an increase in varanid tracks (C. Sims & N. Burrows 2009, personal communication).

In contrast, Edwards et al. [74] found that where fox and cat numbers declined in response to rabbit warren ripping, no consistent change in varanid lizard numbers was evident. It is unclear whether this lack of response is owing to an insufficient knockdown of foxes and cats to release varanids from competition, because insufficient time had passed to observe a population response by varanids, because competition between the species is weak, or because other factors masked a response. For example, the loss of rabbits as a prey resource may have offset any benefit to varanids of the reduced number of competitors.

The likelihood of competition between varanids and introduced foxes and feral cats suggests that effective predator management programmes could lead to mesopredator release of some varanids. Large-scale control of introduced foxes is primarily carried out using dried meat or sausage style baits with the toxin sodium monofluoroacetate (1080) [75], and as such, these toxic baits pose a minimal risk to varanids. The 1080 tolerance...
of three species of varanid (\(V.\) gouldii, \(V.\) rosenbergi and \(V.\) varius) is several hundred times greater than that of foxes or cats [76,77] and is several thousand times higher for \(V.\) rosenbergi where this species co-occurs with fluoroacetate-bearing plants in Western Australia [77]. Other control strategies such as shooting or trapping are even more target specific. Consequently, varanid populations would be expected to experience no adverse impact from such programmes and, as discussed above, have real potential to be released from competitive interactions, increase in numbers and then in turn exert a greater influence on prey community structure.

Despite similarities in their niches, we hypothesize varanids and introduced predators will impact the prey community in different ways. Seasonal differences in activity patterns will mean mammalian predators will exert a greater pressure on prey groups such as the small mammals that are also obliged to remain active in cooler or harsher conditions, whereas many reptilian and invertebrate prey species only emerge in the warmer or wetter months. A shift towards a varanid-dominated predator community would be expected to result in higher small mammal biomass, and a shift in reptile community structure favouring diurnally active species only emerge in the warmer or wetter months. A shift towards a varanid-dominated predator community would be expected to result in higher small mammal biomass, and a shift in reptile community structure favouring diurnally active species that have real potential to be released from competitive interactions, increase in numbers and then in turn exert a greater influence on prey community structure.

Effective management of foxes and cats may result in a shift in dominance towards varanids resulting in community structures resembling the historic condition, or may result in an entirely different system. Regime shifts resulting from large disturbances such as fox and cat invasions are being documented [80], the consequences of which are that systems do not always return to their former state when the disturbance is removed and management objectives must be reset [81–83]. The recovery of \(V.\) gouldii after fox management suggests that even without complete fox removal, varanids can resume their previously held influence [59], but the loss of elements of the biota such as key fossorial species or the influence of synergistic disturbances such as habitat fragmentation may mean this is impossible in other systems [80].

5. FUTURE RESEARCH

Much of the focus of mammalian predator control programmes and mesopredator release theory in general has been on the response of mammalian mesopredators, ignoring the roles and responses of large reptilian predators despite suggestions that non-mammalian predators may be important competitors (e.g. [11,15,18,48]). We advocate that non-mammalian predators such as varanids, which have the potential to exhibit mesopredator release and impact the faunal community structure, should also be considered when monitoring the impact of predator control programmes. A strategy for detecting mesopredator release of varanid lizards is outlined below.

Mesopredator release of varanids may be observed as numerical and/or behavioural changes which then have an impact on prey communities. Given a large-scale manipulation that reduces the numbers of either foxes or cats or both, along with suitable experimental controls, a series of predictions can be made for a number of key observations that may distinguish three alternative hypotheses outlined in table 1: (i) that varanids are not released from competition with introduced mammalian carnivores; (ii) that varanids are released from interference competition (aggressive encounters and behavioural avoidance); and (iii) that varanids are released from exploitation competition (competition for shared resources). Note that exploitation and interference competition are not mutually exclusive and may operate concurrently. However, we treat these separately here because interference competition can operate on much shorter temporal scales through behavioural avoidance, whereas exploitation competition will be evident only after sufficient time has passed for varanids to overcome demographic lags and the population density builds.
We include here five points on detecting mesopredator release involving varanids along with some recommendations.

— A release from competitive interactions with foxes or cats would result in an increase in varanid lizard densities. However, estimating the numerical responses of varanids is technically difficult as no validation has been provided for whether abundance indices such as track counts, number of sightings or burrow counts has a predictable relationship with density. A comparison of these techniques with a mark–recapture study is essential, though only possible for species that can be trapped and marked, or otherwise detected systematically. It is possible that several of the larger species of varanid that have a scavenging habit may be attracted with rotten meat lures to a trap or monitored location, providing an independent and systematic means of detection (as in [84]).

— In order to detect a dietary shift of the mesopredator in response to a knockdown in the dominant predator, the investigation will need to measure the diets of both the dominant and subordinate predators. Prey availability will also need to be measured so that comparisons between treatment and control sites are not confounded. This has the added advantage that any impacts on prey community structure in any taxon may be detected. Indeed impacts on prey taxa other than mammals are more likely in the instance that varanids become the dominant predator.

— A release of varanids from interference competition would be conclusively revealed by rapid shifts in the geographical areas of activity by varanids into areas previously occupied by foxes or cats. An example of a rapid spatial as well as foraging shift in subordinate insectivorous mammals has been shown with the controlled removal of dominant competitors [85]. Such shifts in spatial activity area of varanids associated with interference competition would require concurrent monitoring of spatial activity of foxes or cats. Temporal shifts may also be apparent as varanids use hours of the day previously monopolized by the dominant species.

— To further test whether varanids influence prey community structure, an experiment that excludes varanids but not their prey (as in [59]) is recommended, preferably across biota, which looks to detect changes in prey abundance and diversity when compared with experimental control areas.

— To estimate the rates of mortality at each stage of the life cycle of varanids, it would be most useful to construct an age-specific life table for each varanid species. This would require: individual growth rate, age at maturity, life expectancy, longevity, clutch size and frequency of clutches. The age of individual varanids has been reliably determined using skeletochronology, the technique of counting growth rings in bones [69,70]; however, this is a destructive approach and alternative methods are necessary for extant populations. These parameters would aid in determining the species of varanid most at risk from introduced predators and whether intraguild predation is a likely driving factor limiting varanid population growth.

We conclude that several medium to large varanid lizards are likely to experience exploitation competition with introduced foxes and feral cats, particularly *V. varius* that exhibits extensive niche overlap with both. Dietary overlap is greater with feral cats than with foxes for most varanid species. This may have important implications for varanids if fox control releases feral cats, potentially increasing competition pressure. Recent examples of population recovery of *V. gouldii* after fox and cat control, and consequent impacts on faunal communities, suggest that it and five other varanids with similar or greater niche overlap have the potential to exhibit mesopredator release as a consequence of effective mammalian carnivore management. Further research is urgently needed to determine if mesopredator release of varanid lizards is a widespread consequence of mammalian carnivore management and the implications this may have on faunal communities.
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