National income inequality predicts women’s preferences for masculinized faces better than health does

In their paper ‘The health of a nation predicts their mate preferences’, DeBruine et al. [1] find that women’s preferences for facial masculinity from a large, cross-cultural sample of individuals from developed countries is negatively correlated with a composite National Health Index (NHI). They interpret this finding in the context of published observations that men with masculine facial characteristics have better health [2,3] and the prediction that such men will sire healthier than average offspring [3].

This prediction is derived from the hypothesis that exaggerated sex-typical traits (here, facial masculinity) are a cue of developmental health (with masculinity often conceptualized as an honest signal owing to testosterone-mediated immunosuppression). These traits may also signal potentially ‘negative’ behavioural traits such as aggression and low parental investment (e.g. [4]). Masculinity preferences may therefore represent a facultative trade-off between preferences for investment and cues to heritable (i.e. genetic) health, and should be stronger in environments where health, in general, is poorer (e.g. [5]).

An alternative to the immunocompetence/investment trade-off perspective is the hypothesis that variation in preferences is explicable primarily (or exclusively) in terms of intrasexual competition. As well as aggression and low investment, facial masculinity may signal dominance [6], which, in certain environments, predicts competitive success in male hierarchies [7]. Women might therefore be more attracted to masculinity in environments in which the benefits of dominance are increased and/or the costs of aggression decreased. DeBruine et al. [1] acknowledge this possibility, and because they published their data as supplementary material, we were able to explore it further.

Income inequality is an important determinant/predictor of population health, women’s empowerment, violent crime, risky behaviours, accidental death and education [8]. Many of these factors might influence the benefits a woman gains by having a highly masculine partner, both because of the benefits of having a healthy and wealthy partner are greatest in unequal societies, and because inequality in a society drives male–male competition. Research by Daly & Wilson [9] highlights the importance of intrasexual selection on males as a factor determining rates of violence and homicide by men—both against women and against other men. They have shown that the Gini index of income inequality is one of the most important predictors of differences in homicide rates among American states and Canadian provinces [10], presumably because greater inequalities of wealth distribution are associated with stronger intrasexual competition among men.

We wished to test the prediction that women would prefer masculinized faces most strongly not only in societies where health is poor, but in societies where income is distributed unequally, homicide rates are high and women are less empowered and educated. Accordingly, we combined DeBruine et al.’s [1] data on national preference for facial masculinity (NPFM) and NHI, with data on income inequality, national homicide rates, women’s empowerment and education that we gathered from publicly available online sources (see the electronic supplementary material). We first estimated the pairwise correlation of each measure with preference for facial masculinity and then explored all factors in multiple regressions. Several measures were significantly correlated with national preferences for masculinized faces, the most prominent of which were Gini coefficient, a commonly used statistical index of disparity in household income ($r = 0.84$, $p < 0.0001$), homicide rate ($r = 0.66$, $p < 0.0005$), total fertility rate ($r = 0.63$, $p < 0.0005$) and NHI ($r = -0.51$, $p < 0.005$; see electronic supplementary material, table S1 for all correlations).

These variables were entered as independent variables into a linear regression with NPFM as a dependent. The best model (fitted to weighted data by forward stepwise regression or using Mallows’ $C_p$) included only an intercept and the Gini index ($R^2_{adj} = 0.69$, $F_{1,27} = 66.7$, $p < 0.0001$; Gini std $\beta = 0.839$) and no other terms. Countries with more equality in income had weaker preferences for masculinized faces. This model compared favourably with the model that included only NHI ($R^2_{adj} = 0.24$, $F_{1,27} = 0.96$, $p = 0.044$; NHI std $\beta = -0.512$), and the effect of NHI became positive and non-significant once Gini was added to the model reported by DeBruine et al. (NHI std $\beta = 0.066$, $t_{27} = 0.48$, $p = 0.63$). It is unlikely that the loss of NHI as a significant predictor of NPFM is due to serious multi-collinearity (VIF for both fitted independent variables $= 1.75$).

To assess whether shared variance between the predictor variables was accounting for these findings, two weighted hierarchical regression models (with either Gini or NHI entered in block 1) were constructed to
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