Oviposition strategy as a means of local adaptation to plant defence in native and invasive populations of the viburnum leaf beetle
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Herbivores have been hypothesized to adapt locally to variation in plant defences and such adaptation could facilitate novel associations in the context of biological invasions. Here, we show that in the native range of the viburnum leaf beetle (VLB, Pyrrhalta viburni), two populations of geographically isolated hosts—Viburnum opulus and Viburnum tinus—have divergent defences against VLB oviposition: negative versus positive density-dependent egg-crushing wound responses, respectively. Populations of beetles coexisting with each host show an adaptive behavioural response: aggregative versus non-aggregative oviposition on V. opulus and V. tinus, respectively. In parallel, we show that in North America, where VLB is invasive, defences of three novel hosts are negatively density-dependent, and beetles’ oviposition behaviour is aggregative. Thus, local adaptation to plant defences has the potential to facilitate the invasion of herbivores onto novel hosts.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Because plants vary tremendously in their defensive arsenal among species [1] and populations [2], herbivores may encounter geographically heterogeneous mosaics of plant defences and their offence traits are predicted to exhibit local adaptation [3–6]. Despite this widespread notion, relatively little data exist on local adaptation of insects to specific defence traits [7,8].

Adaptation to plant defences may be particularly important in the context of biological invasions [9,10]. Novel host associations occur rapidly when immigrant herbivores become established in new environments. Invasion success might then depend, at least in part, on past coevolutionary interactions [11,12]. For example, a herbivore is more likely to successfully adopt new hosts whose chemical defences resemble those deployed by its coevolved hosts [13–15]. Reciprocally, plants that are evolutionarily naïve to the threat posed by specific herbivores are less likely to have defences against them, creating ‘defence-free space’ favouring the herbivore and invasion success [9,16]. In other words, the degree of relatedness between past and new interaction partners is expected to influence the success of an invader and its impact on the new environment [17].

In this study, we investigated the relationships between oviposition behaviour of a specialized herbivore, the viburnum leaf beetle (VLB) Pyrrhalta viburni (Paykull), and plant defences (an egg-crushing wound response) of hosts in its native and introduced range. In a field study, we first documented divergent plant defences in two geographically isolated populations of two native Eurasian hosts, and tested whether oviposition behaviour of local VLB populations was adaptive using a reciprocal trans-plant experiment. In parallel, we investigated the defences of three novel hosts in the introduced range and the oviposition behaviour of an invasive VLB population. Because plant defences have been hypothesized to play a major role in regulating populations of VLB [18], the extent to which beetle populations are locally adapted to defences may be critical in understanding the success of the VLB invasion.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

(a) Natural history and background

Pyrrhalta viburni (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) is a specialist herbivore of Viburnum spp. (Adoxaceae, Dipsacales) that is native to Eurasia. VLB is invasive in North America, where it has become a serious pest on several North America native Viburnum spp. [19,20]. In its native range, VLB has three main host plants: (i) Viburnum opulus L. (ii) and Viburnum lantana L., which co-occur in areas of Eurasia with temperate and continental climates, and (iii) Viburnum tinus L., which occurs exclusively in the Mediterranean.

Pyrrhalta viburni is a univoltine species that overwinters as eggs and oviposits during the summer months (July–September). Eggs are laid in round cavities (1–2 mm diameter, 1 mm deep) excavated by the females in the terminal twigs of Viburnum shrubs. Each cavity contains an average of eight eggs [21] and is closed with a cap made of a brown secretion produced by the females (2–4 mm length; figure 1a). In North
America, VLB oviposition is typically aggregative, a behaviour characterized by a preference for twigs already infested with egg masses for oviposition, and the positioning of newly laid egg masses along a *Viburnum opulus* twig. Eggs are laid inside cavities excavated in the twigs and hidden under the protective ‘egg cap’ secretion. (b) Wound tissue produced by a *V. opulus* twig encasing four VLB egg masses. Here, egg caps have all fallen off and no viable eggs are visible. Photos by Kent Loeffler.

The study of oviposition behaviour of VLB in its introduced range was conducted with VLB adults collected in the Ithaca area (NY, USA), where breeding populations have naturally occurred since the early 2000s, and plant material was collected in a local unmanaged common garden of *Viburnum* spp. Oviposition choice-tests with North American beetles were conducted on both European species (*V. opulus* and *V. tinus*) as well as two native North American species (*V. rafinesquianum* and *V. dentatum*). Assays were conducted in growth chambers (22°C and 16 L : 8 D light regime) in August 2007 for *V. opulus*, and in August 2008 for the other species. We will refer to the beetles present and collected at the *Tinus*, *Opulus* and Ithaca site as, respectively, *Tinus*, *Opulus* and North American beetles in the rest of this paper. Adult colonies of VLB from all sites were kept in plastic containers with a vented lid, and beetles were provided with fresh twigs of their coexisting host-plant for *Tinus* and *Opulus* beetles, and with *V. opulus* and *V. dentatum* twigs for North American beetles.

### (c) Observational studies of density-dependent plant defence

To assess the defences of populations of European and North American *Viburnum* species in response to natural levels of beetle oviposition, terminal twigs of large, mature and unmanaged *Viburnum* shrubs were randomly collected at the end of the growing season and carried to the laboratory. No more than 20 twigs were collected from a same shrub. For each twig, the following parameters were recorded: presence of egg masses, total number of egg masses intact, total number of egg masses encased in wound tissue, percentage wound response (i.e. egg masses encased in wound tissue/egg masses intact + egg masses encased in wound tissue) × 100) and twig vitality (i.e. alive or dead). Twig vitality was gauged by observing the presence of green tissue under the bark or buds of the twig: if a twig possessed green tissue at both ends, then it was considered alive, and if a twig infested with egg masses possessed green tissue on the portion basal to the egg masses but no green tissue on the distal portion, then it was considered killed by VLB oviposition. Per cent twig mortality was then calculated across different twig infestations (such as 1–2, 3–4, 5–6, 7–8, 9–10 egg masses per twig). Categories of infestation with less than three data points were excluded from the analyses.

Density-dependent plant defence was assessed for populations of five *Viburnum* species: *V. tinus* (Ithaca site, 2006), *V. opulus* (Opulus site, 2010), *V. dentatum* (Ithaca, 2005), *V. rafinesquianum* (Ithaca, 2005) and *V. trilobum* (Allegheny National Forest, PA, USA, 2008). For *V. tinus*, 272 total twigs were collected from 19 shrubs; *V. opulus*, 116 twigs from six shrubs; *V. dentatum*, 731 twigs from 37 shrubs; *V. rafinesquianum*, 129 twigs from 13 shrubs; and *V. trilobum*, 510 twigs from 25 shrubs.

### (d) Oviposition preference experiments

Oviposition choice-tests were conducted as follows: two mated VLB females were placed in a plastic cylindrical container (9.5 × 21 cm) with a screened lid. Each container comprised a pair of *Viburnum* twigs of the tested host-plant kept in floral water tubes, and a pair of leaves as a food source. Each pair of twigs was homogeneous in length (12 cm) and diameter to the nearest half millimetre (from
2 to 4 mm, depending on the pair). One twig was intact (i.e. not previously infested), whereas the other was experimentally infested with 1–12 egg masses laid by one to four females from the same population in the laboratory during the 48 h preceding the trials. The number of new egg masses on all twigs was recorded after 24 and 48 h. In our analysis of oviposition preferences (see below), only the first egg masses recorded for each replicate were used. In oviposition choice-tests conducted with Opulus and Tinus beetles, 20 replicates were used for each of the four reciprocal pairings (i.e. Opulus population–V opulus, Opulus population–V tinus, Tinus population–V opulus, Tinus population–V tinus). In choice-tests conducted with North American beetles, 20 replicates were used for V opulus and V tinus, 10 replicates for V dentatum and 14 replicates for V rafinesquianum. Each VLB female was used only once in the experiments.

(e) Statistical analysis

The relationship between infestation density (number of egg masses per twig) and level of wound response and twig mortality were estimated for each host-plant species using linear and nonlinear regression models (JMP 8, SAS Institute Inc.). Shrub (i.e. the specific plant from which twigs were collected) was added as a block factor, and data were log-transformed if needed to meet the assumptions of the model.

Oviposition preference data from the choice-tests were analysed by sign tests on the difference between egg masses laid on the infested and non-infested twig for each replicate (STATISTIX v. 9.0; Analytical software 2008); replicates where equal numbers of egg masses (including 0) were laid on both twigs were excluded from the sign tests. Differences in female fecundity were analysed by comparing the total number of egg masses laid on both twigs after 48 h (ANOVA, STATISTIX v. 9.0; Analytical software 2008).

3. RESULTS

(a) Density-dependent plant defence

In Europe, at the Opulus site, the average infestation density (number of egg masses per twig) was 8.18 ± 0.6 (mean ± s.e.), ranging from 1 to 33. Infestation density was negatively correlated with V opulus twig wound response (F1,115 = 51.9, p < 0.001, r² = 0.31; figure 2a), and positively correlated with twig mortality (F1,9 = 17.4, p < 0.01, r² = 0.65; figure 2b). At the Tinus site, however, the average infestation density was about half: 4.67 ± 0.36 egg masses per twig, ranging from 1 to 22, and infestation density was positively correlated with V tinus twig wound response (F1,120 = 5.4, p = 0.02, r² = 0.23; figure 2a). Twig mortality was negligible (3.81% overall) on V tinus, independent of infestation (figure 2b).

In North America, infestation density was negatively correlated with twig wound response for all three novel host species: F1,149 = 248.5, p < 0.0001, r² = 0.34 for V dentatum; F1,108 = 23.9, p < 0.0001, r² = 0.20 for V rafinesquianum; and F1,186 = 17.62, p < 0.0001, r² = 0.13 for V trilobum, respectively (figure 4a). Twig mortality was positively correlated with the number of egg masses per twig for V dentatum (F1,12 = 315.3, p < 0.0001, r² = 0.96) and V rafinesquianum (F1,8 = 33.0, p < 0.0001, r² = 0.80), but not for V trilobum (F1,5 = 0.8, p = 0.42, r² < 0.01; figure 4b). Mean infestation densities were 13.0 ± 4.0 (range 1–60), 10.8 ± 0.9 (1–45)

and 5.3 ± 0.4 (1–22), for V dentatum, V rafinesquianum and V trilobum, respectively.

(b) Oviposition preference experiments

In Europe, VLB females from the Opulus population preferentially chose infested twigs for oviposition when presented either V opulus twigs (p = 0.03) or V tinus twigs (p = 0.02; figure 2c). Females from the Tinus population also preferentially chose infested twigs for oviposition when presented with V opulus twigs (p = 0.01), but not when presented with V tinus twigs (p = 0.81; figure 2c). Total fecundity after 48 h (i.e. number of eggs deposited on both twigs) was similar on V opulus and V tinus (F1,38 < 0.001, p = 0.99) for females from the Opulus population, but was 40 per cent higher on V tinus than on V opulus for females of the Tinus population (F1,35 = 5.8, p = 0.02; figure 3).
Figure 3. Total realized fecundity over 48 h of females from VLB populations coexisting with *Viburnum opulus* and *V. tinus* on both host-plants (mean ± s.e.). Asterisk indicates p < 0.05, one-way ANOVA. Filled circle, population *Opulus*; filled triangle, population *Tinus*.

In North America, beetles preferentially chose infested twigs for oviposition when presented with twigs of the two European and two North American *Viburnum* species tested (all ps < 0.05; figure 4c).

4. DISCUSSION

Because plants defences are potent agents of natural selection and vary between species and populations, populations of herbivores are predicted to adapt to the local mosaics of defences that they experience. This local adaptation to plant defences may either facilitate or impede associations with novel hosts, thus impacting herbivores’ potential invasion success in novel environments. Our study shows that, in Europe, populations of two native hosts of the leaf beetle VLB are differentially defended. Although the mode of defence exhibited by both hosts was similar (i.e. production of wound tissue expelling or crushing the egg masses), they showed countervailing responses to infestation density (negatively density-dependent for *V. opulus*, positively density-dependent on *V. tinus*; figure 2a). Owing to the rarity of heavily infested twigs (greater than 15 egg masses) at the *Tinus* site, it is unclear if the *V. tinus* wound response would be even more strong (or would be overwhelmed) at the high levels of infestation observed at the *Opulus* site. Nonetheless, the oviposition strategies of *Opulus* and *Tinus* beetles are well-matched to the defence patterns of the populations of their local hosts: females coexisting with *V. tinus* show aggregative oviposition on *V. opulus*, but not on *V. tinus*; females coexisting with *V. opulus* aggregate on both host plants (figure 2c).

The results of our behavioural experiments were matched by our field observations of egg mass distribution for each host: twigs with greater than 10 egg masses were less abundant at the *Tinus* site (9.9% of the infested twigs) than at the *Opulus*, *Rafinesquianum* and *Dentatum* sites (25.9, 45.0 and 47.7%, respectively; electronic supplementary material, figure S1), suggesting that *Tinus* beetles do aggregate less than beetles coexisting with the other hosts. However, because of potential differences in beetle abundance between the sampling sites, a direct statistical comparison of egg mass distribution between sites was not possible. In addition, the realized 2-day fecundity of females from the *Tinus* population was higher on *V. tinus* than on *V. opulus* (figure 3). We note that this may not reflect the overall fitness impact, but more likely a reduced motivation to oviposit on the novel host. Both of these results support the notion that beetles from the *Tinus* population are adapted to their local host; alternatively, beetles from the *Opulus* population behave similarly and show similar 2-day fecundity on both hosts, apparently making maladaptive decisions on the host with which they do not coexist (i.e. aggregative oviposition on *V. tinus*). However, because only one population of beetles and plants was used for each
native host, the scope of our conclusions is limited to these specific populations. Repeating the evaluation of host defences and beetles’ oviposition strategy with additional populations would be necessary to generalize our results.

How do plant defences of European *Viburnum* spp. compare with their North American congers? The three New World *Viburnum* spp. tested (*V. dentatum*, *V. rafinesquianum* and *V. trilobum*) showed the same pattern as *V. opulus*: a decrease in wound response in response to increased infestation density (figures 2b and 4b). This result is consistent with additional field observations on naive North American and Asian hosts [22] and implies that aggressive oviposition should be beneficial in these three *Viburnum* spp. Beetle populations behaviourally adapted to *V. opulus* would then be ‘pre-adapted’ to overcome the defences of these hosts in case of a novel association. The behaviour of VLB in its introduced range matches these assumptions: beetles from the North American population showed aggressive oviposition on all the hosts they were exposed to (*V. opulus*, *V. tinus*, *V. dentatum* and *V. rafinesquianum*; figure 4c) similar to *Opulus* beetles (figure 2c). In addition to similarities in defensive capacities, *V. opulus* and North American *Viburnum* spp. showed a comparable increase in twig mortality as infestation density increases (with the exception of *V. trilobum*; figures 2b and 4b), suggesting that killing infested twigs may be the mechanistic basis of overcoming plant defences in these species.

Across 16 *Viburnum* spp. whose defences have been evaluated, enhanced defensive response to increased oviposition appears to be uniquely displayed by *V. tinus* [22], and is associated with increased tolerance to oviposition (i.e. negligible twig mortality caused by oviposition; figure 2b). These characteristics could reflect an adaptation of *V. tinus* to the beetle: VLB is able to impose serious damage to *V. tinus* shrubs under natural conditions (G. A. Desurmont 2010, personal observation), which may have driven selection towards beetle resistance. Moreover, density-dependent increases in this wound response are reminiscent of induction of defences in response to herbivore attack [23,24]. On the other hand, the exceptional defensive capacity of *V. tinus* may also originate from the specific environmental conditions inherent to the *Tinus* site. Although there is evidence that the defensive patterns observed are consistent between gardens for *V. opulus* [18,22] and *V. tinus* (G. A. Desurmont 2005, unpublished data), repeating studies of these plant defences in common gardens would distinguish between the genetic basis and the influence of environmental factors on the wound-response capacity of the hosts. In addition, testing the behaviour of *Tinus* and *Opulus* beetles on plants from both hosts grown in reciprocal common gardens would help determine whether environmental conditions may influence oviposition behaviour via modification of host traits.

VLB females from the *Tinus* site revert to aggregative oviposition on *V. opulus* (figure 2c), indicating that this population exhibits behavioural plasticity, unlike the *Opulus* population. Although this plasticity appears adaptive (females make the ‘smart choice’ on both hosts), *Tinus* beetles do not currently encounter *V. opulus* in their environment. Theory predicts that canalization of traits should be favoured over plasticity by selection in invariant environments, especially if plasticity itself is costly [25]. Therefore, behavioural plasticity of the *Tinus* beetles may suggest that the pattern of defences observed in the *V. tinus* population (positively density-dependent) is not variable and could be population-specific or depend on environmental conditions, which could have favoured the evolution of behavioural plasticity. In addition, it is possible that oviposition preferences of *Tinus* beetles are based on twig traits common to *V. tinus* and *V. opulus*. In North America, VLB females show remarkable plasticity in their oviposition preferences at the twig level: diameter [18], vigour [26], presence of wound tissue and density of previous infestation [27] are all traits influencing twig selection for oviposition. *Tinus* beetles may thus be able to accurately gauge plant defences of twigs of the novel host (*V. opulus*) on the basis of traits indicative of wound response common to the coexisting and novel host.

Temporary gregariousness, group-living and differential reproductive investment have been previously described as adaptations of herbivores to plant defences for a number of systems [28–31]. Differences in gregariousness/reproductive strategy resulting from adaptations to plant defences may contribute to genetic divergence and potentially diversification. In Southeast Asia, differences in oviposition preferences have been observed within the complex of *Pyrrhalta* spp. feeding on *Viburnum* spp. [32,33]. *Pyrrhalta humeralis* and *Pyrrhalta esakii* share similar *Viburnum* hosts, life histories and mode of oviposition (i.e. deposition of eggs in cavities excavated in the twigs), but *P. humeralis* lays large egg masses (i.e. eight eggs), often in groups, at the basis of twigs, whereas *P. esakii* lays isolated, small egg masses (i.e. one to two eggs) at the tip of twigs [34]. Nonetheless, the range of oscillations in oviposition strategies of these beetles and how they may relate to plant defence remains largely unexplored.

Because benefits of gregariousness can be easily negated by density-dependent costs such as intraspecific competition; gregarious behaviours are typically sensitive to environmental variation, which may translate into behavioural plasticity [35,36]. In VLB, plastic oviposition preferences seem to reflect maximization of egg survivorship by reduction of exposure to wound tissue; however, behavioural changes to minimize costs such as intraspecific competition have yet to be documented [27]. It is possible that, because VLB typically does not occur at outbreaking densities in its native range, competition may not have been a significant selective force in the evolution of oviposition behaviour. However, in North America, where outbreaks are frequent and can lead to rapid food shortage for larvae (G. A. Desurmont 2010, personal observation), it is possible that density-dependent costs of gregariousness for larvae may outweigh immediate benefits of overcoming defences on the novel hosts.

In conclusion, because North American beetles and *Opulus* beetles behave similarly, it is possible that the immigrant population of VLB that established and spread in North America originated from a population that coevolved with a local host exhibiting the same defensive characteristics as *V. opulus*. Alternatively, given that these beetles locally adapt to host-plant defences in their native range, rapid evolution in the introduced range may also have been possible, leading to a generalized pattern of aggregative oviposition on novel hosts. Indeed, plasticity could have aided in the process [37]. In either case, we advance the concept that adaptation
to plant defence may facilitate the invasion of insect herbivores. The aggregative oviposition exhibited by North American beetles probably helped overcome, at least partially, biotic resistance (i.e. defences of the novel hosts) in its introduced environment. In addition to being negatively density-dependent, wound responses of North American Viburnum spp. have been shown to be generally lower than Eurasian spp. [22]. We speculate that the combination of an effective ‘offensive’ behaviour, coupled with a generalized pattern of lower defences in novel hosts, provided a coevolutionary mismatch favouring VLB in its introduced range and facilitating a devastating invasion.
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