Muscle power attenuation by tendon during energy dissipation
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An important function of skeletal muscle is deceleration via active muscle fascicle lengthening, which dissipates movement energy. The mechanical interplay between muscle contraction and tendon elasticity is critical when muscles produce energy. However, the role of tendon elasticity during muscular energy dissipation remains unknown. We tested the hypothesis that tendon elasticity functions as a mechanical buffer, preventing high (and probably damaging) velocities and powers during active muscle fascicle lengthening. We directly measured lateral gastrocnemius muscle force and length in wild turkeys during controlled landings requiring rapid energy dissipation. Muscle-tendon unit (MTU) strain was measured via video kinematics, independent of muscle fascicle strain (measured via sonomicrometry). We found that rapid MTU lengthening immediately following impact involved little or no muscle fascicle lengthening. Therefore, joint flexion had to be accommodated by tendon stretch. After the early contact period, muscle fascicles lengthened and absorbed energy. This late lengthening occurred after most of the joint flexion, and was thus mainly driven by tendon recoil. Temporary tendon energy storage led to a significant reduction in muscle fascicle lengthening velocity and the rate of energy absorption. We conclude that tendons function as power attenuators that probably protect muscles against damage from rapid and forceful lengthening during energy dissipation.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Skeletal muscle produces mechanical energy to power movement, and also functions to dissipate energy when movement must be slowed or stopped. Energy dissipation involves active lengthening of muscle fascicles to convert mechanical energy into metabolic heat [1,2]. This function of muscle is important during a wide range of activities, such as negotiating obstacles [3] or downhill terrain [4] and when landing from a jump [5,6]. Active muscle lengthening is associated with high force output and a relatively high risk of contraction-associated damage [7,8]. A familiar example is the muscle soreness experienced in the days following a mountain hike. This soreness results not from the work during the climb, but from the damage to muscle fascicles as they lengthen to dissipate energy on the descent [7].

Muscles act in series with tendons that have elastic properties. Tendon elasticity provides a variety of important functions during movement [9], including the conservation of muscular work to improve the economy of movement [10,11] and amplification of muscle power for activities like jumping [9,12,13]. Studies of the role of tendon during active muscle lengthening have shown that a stretch applied to an active muscle-tendon unit (MTU) can be taken up solely by tendon stretch, with little or no muscle fascicle lengthening [14–16]. It has been proposed that this action of tendon could function as a mechanical buffer to protect muscle fascicles against damage associated with active lengthening [16]. However, tendon stretch is always followed by elastic recoil, releasing 80 to 90 per cent of the energy stored [17–20]. This energy can only be dissipated via active lengthening of muscle fascicles. Therefore, although tendon stretch potentially can delay and prolong the duration of active muscle lengthening, it cannot significantly reduce it in activities requiring energy dissipation.

Here, we test the idea that tendons reduce the rate of energy absorption by skeletal muscle during energy-dissipating activities. If tendons store energy rapidly and release it more slowly to do work on muscle fascicles, the effect could be a reduced peak power input to the muscle fascicles. Thus, power input to muscle would be attenuated by the temporary storage and release of energy in tendons, via a mechanism that in essence is the reverse of power amplification during activities like jumping [9]. It is important to note that the terms power amplification and attenuation do not suggest the addition or removal of energy from the system, but rather a change in the rate of energy transfer. To test the idea that tendons attenuate power during deceleration, we examined the lateral gastrocnemius MTU undergoing rapid and forceful lengthening as flexion of the leg joints absorbed the impact during landing from free fall. Such ‘drop-landings’ are probably among the highest-powered decelerations in locomotion [21,22]. We used wild turkeys for this study, as their distal leg muscles have calcified tendons that allow for accurate measurements of muscle force [4,11]. Independent calculations of whole MTU length (from video-based joint kinematics and tendon travel experiments) and direct measurements of muscle fascicle length (from sonomicrometry) allowed us to determine the length trajectory, and
thus the function, of the series elastic tendon. We predicted that tendon elasticity would decouple lengthening of the muscle fascicles from the stretch imposed on the MTU during joint flexion. We also predicted that this decoupling would allow tendon to act as a power attenuator, which would be apparent from: (i) a prolonged duration of muscle fascicle energy absorption relative to the period of external energy absorption by the MTU; (ii) reduced lengthening velocities of the muscle fascicles relative to the whole MTU; and (iii) a reduced rate of power transfer to the muscle fascicle relative to power into the MTU.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Adult wild turkeys, Meleagris gallopavo, were obtained from a licensed breeder or from the wild and housed in the animal care facilities at Brown University. The six birds used in this study were maintained on an ad libitum commercial poultry diet and water. At experiment time, the mean body mass was 4.3 ± 1.5 kg (mean ± s.d.). Two individuals were significantly heavier than the other four (Kolmgorov–Smirnov two-tailed test; \( p < 0.001 \)).

(a) Surgery and muscle-tendon unit instrumentation

Instrumentation procedures were identical to earlier studies [4,11], and therefore only briefly described here. Birds were induced and maintained on 2 to 4 per cent inhalable isoflurane anaesthesia throughout sterile surgical procedures. Body temperature was monitored via a thermocouple and adjusted using a heating pad and covers. In galliforms, the lateral gastrocnemius (LG) has a long distal soft tendon with a calcified ‘bony tendon’ region. This bony tendon region makes it possible to measure MTU force in vivo, by bonding foil strain gauges (FLK-1-11, Tokyo Sokki Kenkyujo Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) onto the deep and superficial aspects of the bony tendon using cyanoacrylate glue [4,11].

Muscle fascicle length was recorded using a pair of sonomicrometry crystals (Sonometrics Inc., London, ON, Canada), which were implanted approximately 20 mm apart and 3 mm deep into the lateral and proximal region of the LG muscle belly, along the line of a muscle fascicle. We used electromyography to record electrical activity in the muscle, via bipolar twisted steel wire electrodes (Medwire, NJ, USA) [23] implanted midway between and 2 mm offset to either side from the muscle fascicle where length was measured. All instrument wires were routed subcutaneously and out through a small skin incision above the right acetabulum, where small electrical connectors (Microtech, Boothwyn, PA, USA) were soldered to the wires and sutured to the skin. Carprofen was administered as an analgesic and all birds were allowed to recover for 24 h prior to experiments.

(b) In vivo landing experiments

We used a ceiling-mounted pulley and rope system tied to a custom-made webbing harness that was strapped around the proximal (humeral) wing segment. This system permitted us to drop the birds from 1.5 m height, which is equal to a three-fold elevation of the centre of mass of the bird when standing. An added benefit of this system was that it almost entirely removed the effects of wing movements on landing velocity. From this height, the animals were able to land safely, repeatedly, in a controlled fashion, absorbing all of the impact by coordinated leg joint flexion.

We glued a vertical foam-board marker plate with two digitizable points onto the skin on the back of the bird, so that the points defined a line of known length pointing to the hip joint (acetabulum). Additional surface markers were placed over the leg (tibiotarsus) and foot (tarsometatarsus) bones to define the positions of the knee and ankle joints. These skin surfaces are tightly connected with the underlying bone, which minimizes error in subsequent estimates of leg bone and joint movement from marker coordinates. During landings, all marker points were recorded in lateral view at 250 fps using a high-speed camera (Fastcam 1280 PCI, Photron, San Diego, CA, USA) so that joint kinematics could be calculated. Sonomicrometry crystal measurements were recorded via a sonomicrometer (Sonometrics), and EMG signals were recorded at 1000× amplification with a 3 Hz to 10 kHz band-pass filter engaged (differential preamplifiers model DAM50, World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL, USA). Strain-gauge measurements were balanced and amplified 1000× (conditioner model 2120, Vishay Measurements Group, Raleigh, NC, USA). An LED/square-wave pulse was used to enable resynchronization of digitized video kinematics with muscle measurements, which were digitized at 4000 Hz (USB-6259 DAQ, National Instruments, TX, USA) and recorded onto a PC running IgorPro v. 6.0 (Wavemetrics, Lake Oswego, OR, USA).

(c) In situ experiments

When in vivo experiments were completed, each bird was induced (isoflurane via mask) and taken to a deep plane of anaesthesia. Force and length measurements were then obtained in situ from the isolated MTU, so that the strain gauges could be calibrated. We then generated a tetanic length–tension curve. The methodology closely followed previous studies [4,16] and is described briefly: We stimulated the LG through the tibial branch of the sciatic nerve via a bipolar nerve cuff, using a supra-maximal stimulus voltage (6–7 V) with a stimulus frequency of 100 pps and a train duration of 300 ms (generated using a Grass S48 stimulator).

The LG section of the gastrocnemius tendon was removed from its insertion and connected to a servomotor (310B-LR, Aurora Scientific, Ontario, Canada), a calibrated length-controlling and force-measurement tool, using a lightweight custom-made aluminium clamp and non-compliant steel aircraft cable. We recorded the nerve stimulus signal, MTU length and force from the muscle motor, tendon strain from the strain gauges and muscle fascicle length from the sonomicrometer at 4000 Hz onto a PC via IgorPro v. 6.0. At least three tetanic contractions were recorded from a passive tension set at 15–20 N. The average of the strain gauge signals was calibrated using the slope obtained from regressions against the motor force (N) from these three contractions [4]. This constant was then used to calculate force in vivo from tendon strain measurements. A tetanic tension curve was measured to determine \( L_0 \) and \( P_0 \) for each muscle [24]. A length tension curve could not be obtained for one bird. Instead, \( L_0 \) was estimated using the slope obtained from regression of fascicle length at toe-down against tetanic \( L_0 \) for other birds (\( n = 6; \quad \frac{r^2}{r} = 0.89 \)). Similarly, a linear regression of muscle physiological cross-sectional area against tetanic \( P_0 \) for the other birds (\( n = 6; \quad \frac{r^2}{r} = 0.81 \)) was used to estimate \( P_0 \). All muscle fascicle and MTU strains were reported relative to \( L_0 \) of the muscle.

(d) Measurement conditioning and data extraction

High-speed videos were inspected to ensure that the only trials analysed were where the bird landed with the instrumented leg.
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A schematic of muscle-tendon unit (MTU) function during high-powered energy absorption. (a) Analysis was divided into a period of force rise ($F_{\text{rise}}$, dark column), which was rapid, and force decay ($F_{\text{decay}}$, light column), which occurred more slowly. (b) The majority of joint flexion occurred during $F_{\text{rise}}$ both at the ankle (black) and the knee (blue), while muscle fascicle lengthening (red) show that energy was initially absorbed by the MTU, while the rate of energy absorption by the muscle fascicles was lower and occurred later, during $F_{\text{decay}}$ (d). A schematic of the pattern of tendon and muscle strain, as indicated by the sample data: joint flexion is accommodated by rapid tendon stretch during $F_{\text{rise}}$ (i–ii), followed by slower recoil of the tendon to lengthen muscle fascicles during $F_{\text{decay}}$ (ii–iii). We used direct measurements of muscle fascicle length via sonomicrometry (circles) and MTU force via strain gauges (quadrilaterals) on the calcified tendon (grey). MTU length was calculated from joint kinematics and MTU force (N). Bones: f, femur; tt, tibiotarsus; tmt, tarsometatarsus.

(e) Analyses and statistics

We aimed at identifying the role of series elastic tendon on the energy-absorbing function of the entire MTU as well as the muscle fascicles alone. Therefore, we divided all analysis into two periods: the period of force rise ($F_{\text{rise}}$) and the period of force decay ($F_{\text{decay}}$). This approach separated the period where energy was absorbed by tendon ($F_{\text{rise}}$) from the period where tendon released energy ($F_{\text{decay}}$). In some instances, the muscle produced a force impulse with two peaks (e.g. figure 1a). In these cases, the first peak in muscle force was used as period separation. Although this did not provide a perfect separation between periods of
indicate energy absorption. Both power and work are reported and data ranges. We report lengthening and lengthening velocity during force-periods, joint flexion, muscle fascicle strain, and tendon elasticity significantly reduced the rate of energy absorption and subsequent events. To determine if tendon elasticity conferred a delay in, and a reduced rate of energy transfer to, the muscle fascicles, we compared average peak MTU velocity across all trials during $F_{\text{rise}}$ with average muscle fascicle velocity during $F_{\text{decay}}$. The average MTU remained near-isometric during $F_{\text{rise}}$ and even shortened (positive strain) in 50% of the landings, and lengthened substantially (negative strain) during $F_{\text{decay}}$. Box midlines are data medians, perimeters are quartiles and whiskers indicate data ranges.

Statistical comparisons used the mixed linear model ANOVA in SYSTAT v. 12.0 (Systat Software, Chicago, IL, USA). Velocity or power was the dependent variable, trial nested within individual and tissue (MTU or muscle fascicles, also representative of the two force-periods) were fixed effects, while individual was factored as a random effect. Summary data for duration of MTU force-periods, joint flexion, muscle fascicle strain, and average MTU and muscle fascicle velocity and power are shown using box and whisker plots of median–quartiles data and data ranges. We report lengthening and lengthening velocity as negative and negative power and work values indicate energy absorption. Both power and work are reported as muscle mass-specific values.

3. RESULTS
We divided our analysis into two periods: force rise ($F_{\text{rise}}$), which lasted from toe-down until peak force was reached in the MTU, and force decay ($F_{\text{decay}}$), which lasted until force returned to pre-landing level (figure 1). This division isolated the periods of tendon energy absorption ($F_{\text{rise}}$) versus energy release ($F_{\text{decay}}$; figure 1d). The duration of $F_{\text{rise}}$ was 58 ± 26 ms (mean ± s.d.), significantly shorter (ANOVA; $F_{1,23} = 116.6$, $p < 0.0001$) than the duration of $F_{\text{decay}}$ (164 ± 52 ms; figures 1a and 2a). MTU force reached a high level during $F_{\text{rise}}$ (236 ± 104 N; or 0.65 ± 0.29 peak active tension on the tetric length–tension curve, $P_0$ [24]; figure 1b). This corresponded to a peak muscle stress of 25.6 ± 11.6 N cm$^{-2}$.

Decoupling of muscle fascicle strain from MTU strain was apparent during both of the landing periods (figure 1b). Two-thirds of the total impact-absorbing flexion at the ankle and the knee occurred during $F_{\text{rise}}$ (figure 2b), while during the same period the muscle fascicles remained near-isometric (average strain = 0.6 ± 1.9% $L_0$; figure 2c). Conversely, only a third of the total joint flexion happened during $F_{\text{decay}}$ but it was during this period that the muscle fascicles consistently lengthened by −25 ± 11% $L_0$.

Velocity values calculated separately for the muscle fascicles and the MTU (figure 3a) showed that tendon elasticity significantly reduced the strain rates experienced by the muscle fascicles during deceleration. The average MTU lengthening rate during $F_{\text{rise}}$ was −3.8 ± 1.6 $L_0$ s$^{-1}$, while the average muscle fascicle lengthening rate during the same period was much lower and not significantly different from zero (−0.2 ± 1.7 $L_0$ s$^{-1}$). Muscle fascicle lengthening rates during $F_{\text{decay}}$ (−0.6 ± 0.8 $L_0$ s$^{-1}$), when energy was released from the tendon, were 2.4 times lower and statistically different ($F_{1,24} = 66.3$, $p < 0.0001$) from the lengthening rate of the MTU during $F_{\text{rise}}$.

Power values calculated separately for the muscle fascicles and the MTU (figures 1c and 3b) showed that tendon elasticity significantly reduced the rate of energy absorption by the muscle fascicles. During $F_{\text{rise}}$, the average power input to the MTU was −381 ± 183 W kg$^{-1}$, while the power input to the muscle fascicles during the same period was only −80 ± 163 W kg$^{-1}$. The energy absorbed in tendon stretch during $F_{\text{rise}}$ (figure 1d) was released during $F_{\text{decay}}$ at a slower rate, so that the average muscle fascicle power during $F_{\text{decay}}$ was only −189 ± 144 W kg$^{-1}$. The twofold difference between average power input to the MTU during $F_{\text{rise}}$ and to the muscle fascicles during $F_{\text{decay}}$ was statistically significant ($F_{1,24} = 55.2$, $p < 0.0001$).

Measurements of total energy absorption (figure 4) summarize the difference in the timing of energy absorption by muscle fascicles as compared with the MTU.
Figure 4. Tendon elasticity alters timing, but not the amount of energy absorbed by the muscle fascicles. Muscle mass-specific work during landing was calculated from muscle fascicle velocity and tendon force for the muscle fascicles, and from linear joint velocity and tendon force for the MTU. Energy absorption from the environment via joint flexion mainly happens during force rise ($F_{\text{rise}}$, filled bars), but the muscle fascicles are effective energy sinks during force decay ($F_{\text{decay}}$, unfilled bars). Bars are stacked averages, whiskers indicate ± 1 s.d.

Most of the absorption of energy by the MTU happened during $F_{\text{rise}}$ while the muscle fascicles dissipated energy (27 ± 18 J kg$^{-1}$ muscle) almost exclusively during $F_{\text{decay}}$.

4. DISCUSSION

The spring-like properties of tendons serve a wide variety of functions in locomotion, from the amplification of muscle power during jumping and acceleration to the conservation of mechanical energy during steady-speed locomotion. Our results suggest another mechanism, in which energy storage and release in tendon elasticity both delay and slow the rate of energy dissipation by muscle fascicles during high-powered decelerations. We found that initially during landing, energy storage by tendon is rapid, while the subsequent release of this energy to the muscle fascicles is slower. As a result, power input to the muscle fascicles was attenuated relative to that of the whole MTU. The average rate of energy absorption by the MTU during $F_{\text{rise}}$ was approximately two times the average rate of energy dissipation by the muscle fascicles during $F_{\text{decay}}$. It is well established that muscles are vulnerable to damage during active lengthening [7,8], and in maximal voluntary contractions in human dynamometer studies [15]. A recent study of stair walking found a similar pattern in the human gastrocnemius: the muscle fascicles shortened early in the step as the MTU lengthened, followed by tendon shortening against lengthening of the muscle fascicles [28].

Decoupling of muscle fascicle strain from MTU strain during an energy-absorption event does not alter the total amount of muscle lengthening or energy absorption by the muscle. The key to the reduction in muscle-lengthening rates and power input is that tendon action alters the time course of muscle lengthening. In this way, power attenuation is similar to the familiar mechanisms of power amplification by elastic elements in jumping animals. In both cases, the tendon allows a separation in time of the muscular event and the movement event. In jumping, the work of muscle contraction can be stored in tendons prior to movement, followed by a rapid release of this energy during the jump [13,22,29–31]. Power is amplified because it is released from the tendon more rapidly than it is stored. We found that during energy absorption, most of the joint and body motion happens during an early and brief event associated with rapid energy absorption by tendon. The release of this energy to the muscle fascicles happens approximately 2.4 times slower and largely subsequent to movement (figure 3). Because rates of energy storage and release in tendon are less limited than in muscle, both power attenuation and amplification mechanisms may allow for movement that is beyond the mechanical range of muscle contraction alone [32,33].

Muscles are particularly susceptible to damage during active lengthening contractions [7,8,34–36]. It has been proposed that tendon elasticity could act as a buffer to protect muscles from the damaging effects of active lengthening [14,15] by stretching during the initial period of MTU lengthening. However, while this action can delay muscle lengthening, it can neither eliminate nor significantly reduce it if energy is to be dissipated. Our results point to several possible ways that tendon elasticity can protect muscles from damage. The rates at which muscle fascicles lengthen and absorb energy may be associated with the severity of damage [34–36]. Both of these parameters were reduced by the action of tendons in the turkey gastrocnemius. Because the tendon influences when muscle lengthening happens, it also influences the peak forces experienced by the MTU during an energy-absorbing event. The peak force experienced by the muscle in our study was a function of the level of muscle activation, as well as the force–velocity effects during $F_{\text{rise}}$. During rapid stretches of an active isolated MTU, the peak force produced is directly proportional to the rate of length change in the muscle, with higher forces resulting as shortening velocities decrease or lengthening velocities increase [16]. Without the effects of the tendon during drop landings, the fascicles would presumably have undergone much more rapid lengthenings and thereby developed much higher forces. Reduction of muscle forces may protect not only the muscle fascicles, but also the associated musculoskeletal tissues from damage.
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