Cambrian bivalved arthropod reveals origin of arthrodization
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Extant arthropods are diverse and ubiquitous, forming a major constituent of most modern ecosystems. Evidence from early Palaeozoic Konservat Lagerstätten indicates that this has been the case since the Cambrian. Despite this, the details of arthropod origins remain obscure, although most hypotheses regard the first arthropods as benthic predators or scavengers such as the fuxianhuiids or megacheirans (‘great-appendage’ arthropods). Here, we describe a new arthropod from the Tulip Beds locality of the Burgess Shale Formation (Cambrian, series 3, stage 5) that possesses a weakly sclerotized thorax with filamentous appendages, encased in a bivalved carapace, and a strongly sclerotized, elongate abdomen and telson. A cladistic analysis resolved this taxon as the basal-most member of a paraphyletic grade of nekto-benthic forms with bivalved carapaces. This grade occurs at the base of Arthropoda (panarthropods with arthropodized trunk limbs) and suggests that arthrodization (sclerotization and jointing of the exoskeleton) evolved to facilitate swimming. Predatory and fully benthic habits evolved later in the euarthropod stem-lineage and are plesiomorphically retained in pycnogonids (sea spiders) and eucieucerates (horseshoe crabs and arachnids).
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1. INTRODUCTION
The origin of arthropods is a contentious issue [1–3]. Despite the ubiquity of fossil evidence from early Palaeozoic Konservat Lagerstätten [4,5], there is little consensus regarding the details of their origins. It is generally agreed that the anomalocaridids, a clade of large nektonic predators [6,7], represent the nearest non-arthropod outgroup [7–9], but the identity of the first arthropods remains obscure. A number of potential candidates have been identified, including fuxianhuiids [10–12] and ‘great-appendage’ arthropods [8,13]. These arthropods differed considerably in morphology and bore little resemblance to their supposed anomalocaridid ancestors. Recently, the anomalocarisid Hurdia from the middle Cambrian (stage 5) Burgess Shale Lagerstätten was redescribed [7]. This taxon possessed sclerotized lateral plates reminiscent of the carapace of bivalved arthropods, a common constituent of many early Palaeozoic fossil localities. Although usually represented by isolated valves, examples from sites such as the Burgess Shale offer considerable insight into their soft-part anatomy. Herein, we describe a new bivalved arthropod from the Tulip Beds of the Burgess Shale Formation (Cambrian, stage 5). This fossil documents a suite of primitive arthropod features, such as multi-podomerous limbs and a posterior tagma composed of three pairs of lateral flaps. This taxon and many other extinct early arthropods were coded into an extensive cladistic analysis of panarthropods to determine their affinities and explore relationships within the arthropod stem-lineage.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS
The new taxon, Nereocaris exilis gen. et sp. nov. (figure 1) was included in a dataset of 173 panarthropod taxa (76 extant; 97 fossil) and 580 characters. The dataset was based on a published matrix [14], from which five taxa were removed and to which 93 were added, most of the latter being early Palaeozoic fossils known from soft-part preservation. From the original list of 395 characters, four were removed, eight modified and 189 added (see electronic supplementary material S2). Cladistic analysis was performed using TNT v. 1.1 [15]. All characters were treated as non-additive (unordered) and weighted using both equal weights and implied weighting with a variety of concavity constants \( k = 1, 3, 10 \) [16] (see electronic supplementary material S2). To find the most parsimonious trees, New Technology search options with 100 Random Addition Sequences using Ratchet [17], sectorial searches, tree drifting and tree fusing [18] were employed (figure 2).

3. SYSTEMATIC PALAEONTOLOGY
Phylum Arthropoda

Nereocaris exilis gen. et sp. nov.

(a) Etymology
After Nereus, the Greek titan often depicted in ancient artwork with a fish-like tail, caris (Latin, crab) and exilis (Latin, slender).
Holotype
Royal Ontario Museum, ROM 61831, part (figure 1a) and counterpart of an almost complete specimen preserved in lateral aspect.

Paratypes
Royal Ontario Museum, ROM 61832, part (figure 1b) and counterpart of a partial abdomen and postero-ventral section of a carapace; ROM 61833 (figure 1c), an almost complete specimen preserved in an oblique-lateral aspect.

Locality and horizon
All material referred to this taxon was collected from the talus of slopes of the Tulip Beds locality (formerly S7 [19]), Mount Stephen, Yoho National Park, British Columbia, Canada. The lithology indicates that these
specimens come from the Campsite Cliff Shale Member of the Burgess Shale Formation, *Bathyuriscus*–*Elrathia* biozone (Cambrian, series 3, stage 5) [20].

(e) **Diagnosis for genus and species**

Arthropod with stalked lateral eyes and a single rod-shaped median eye; a bivalved carapace with a postero-dorsal keel and hook-like antero-ventral spines; trunk composed of thorax of 30–40 somites bearing a homonomous series of biramous limbs and elongate abdomen of approximately 60 ring-like somites; telson composed of a small triangular medial process and elongate lateral processes comprising three segments.

(f) **Description**

Anatomical terminology is discussed in electronic supplementary material S2. The longest specimen, ROM 61832 (figure 1a), measures 142 mm from the anterior-most tip of the carapace to the distal tip of the telson processes. ROM 61833 has a preserved length of 127 mm (figure 1c), although the distal tips of the telson processes are absent.

The anterior region of all specimens is preserved in lateral aspect (figure 1a–c). In ROM 61831 the telson is preserved in dorsoventral aspect and the abdomen has a distinct torsion (figure 1a). This indicates that the stable orientation of the carapace upon death was lateral, and hence that it was laterally compressed in life (in contrast with other bivalved arthropods with a laterally expansive carapace (e.g. *Odaraia* which typically preserve in dorso-ventral aspect) [21]. The carapace is subovoid with a restricted anterior gape and expands strongly towards the posterior, reaching its tallest near the postero-dorsal margin where it expands into a subtriangular fin-like keel. The posterior margin is only slightly curved, meeting the ventral margin at an approximate right angle at the posterior-most point of the carapace. Short recurved hook-like processes occur on the antero-ventral margin of the carapace (figure 1a,c,e).

The head region is poorly delimited as no evidence for limb specialization is preserved. The lateral eyes protrude from the anterior margin of the carapace (figure 1c,d) and consist of two parts: a proximal peduncle and a distal corneal surface. The attachment site of the peduncle is unclear, but appears to converge on a single point, presumably an anterior sclerite. The lateral eyes of ROM 61833 are 2.3 mm in diameter. The central region of each lateral eye is preserved as a highly reflective material, and is surrounded by a narrow margin of unreflective material (figure 1d). A small rod of reflective material extends from the reflective area of the eye into the peduncle. In other bivalved arthropods (e.g. *Odaraia* this reflective material has been interpreted as fossilized photoreceptive tissue [21]. A single elongate medial process, 3.9 mm long, originates between the lateral eyes (figure 1d). This projection appears unsegmented, bears reflective material in the form of a filament extending from the base of the tip, and is distally bulbous; it is hence tentatively interpreted not as an appendage but a medial eye, as proposed for a similar structure in *Jugatacaris* [22].

The limbs are best preserved in ROM 61831 (figure 1e). They represent a homonomous series of biramous arthropodized appendages, comprising a long (8.6 mm), thin endopod of more than 10 podomeres, and a small subovoid exopod fringed with fine setae (see electronic supplementary material S1, figure S2). Appendages are restricted to the thorax, and decrease in size towards the posterior carapace margin. The thorax (anterior of a1 in figure 1a,c) is poorly sclerotized, but annulated, and consists of 30–40 segments; a one-to-one correspondence between appendages and these segments is likely, but cannot be demonstrated. In contrast to the thorax, the abdomen is well sclerotized and extremely long, accounting for over half (69% in ROM 61831 and 67% in ROM 61833) of the total body length. The abdomen consists of approximately 60 somites (62 in ROM 61831, figure 1a; 59 in ROM 61833, figure 1c). Separate tergites and sternites are not evident; each somite instead consists of a complete ring. The anterior somites are more closely spaced (12 per 10 mm) than the posterior ones (6.5 per 10 mm). An elongate and dark medial structure within the abdomen is interpreted as a gut trace and is present in all specimens (figure 1a–c). It is preserved in a range of styles, varying between and within individual specimens from faint staining to highly reflective areas with noticeable relief, the latter preferentially occurring posteriorly (figure 1f). The gut terminates within the telson (figure 1c). Darkly stained villi preserved adjacent to the gut in the thorax may represent midgut glands (figure 1a).

The telson bears three sets of spinose processes: one medial and two sets of lateral processes. The medial process is short (6 mm in ROM 61833) and subtriangular (figure 1c). Each lateral process set consists of three elements. The most proximal processes are subrectangular and possess short spines on their postero-lateral margin (figure 1a). The remaining processes are long (33 mm in ROM 61831 and 23 mm in ROM 61833), and appear fused and spinose. A fluke-like expansion of the telson processes is present in ROM 61833 (figure 1c).

4. **DISCUSSION**

The Cambrian seas contained a diverse and polyphyletic fauna of bivalved arthropods, including mandibulate-like taxa (phosphatocopines and bradoriids) and a variety of more enigmatic taxa (*Jugatacaris, Pectocaris, Odaraia, Branchiocaris, Canadaspis, Perspicaris* and others). Many of the latter have been traditionally allied with the crustaceans [21–26], but unequivocal crustacean synapomorphies (e.g. second antennae) are lacking (although they were tentatively identified in *Pectocaris* [23], they appear absent), and while mandibles have been identified in some of these taxa in previous studies, we do not consider any of these interpretations to be valid (see electronic supplementary material S1, figure S3). These taxa either exhibit no limb-specialization (*Nereocaris, Jugatacaris, Pectocaris*) or have a raptorial second head appendage (*Branchiocaris, Canadaspis, Odaraia, Perspicaris*; see electronic supplementary material S1, figure S3). For these reasons, others have considered them part of the euarthropod stem-lineage [8,11]. These hypotheses were tested by coding a variety of bivalved arthropods (including *Nereocaris*) into a cladistic analysis.

A paraphyletic grade of bivalved arthropods is resolved at the base of Arthropoda *sensu* Bergström *et al.* [10]—that is, panarthropods with jointed trunk appendages (=arthropodization) and an exoskeleton composed of
stiff, segmental sclerites separated by softer membranous areas (arthrodization) (figure 3). Nereocaris resolved as the basal-most member of this bivalved-arthropod grade, and hence the most basal arthropod. This position is stable in the face of changes to character weights (see electronic supplementary material S2) and is not dependent on either the interpretation of the median eye or assumptions of homology between tripartite lateral telson processes of Nereocaris and the posterior tagma of dinocaridids; coding either or both of these characters as uncertain does not change the topology. Primitive features of Nereocaris include a poorly sclerotized thorax and a posterior tagma composed of three lanceolate lateral elements, both also present in dinocaridids (e.g. Anomalocaris [6] and Opabinia). This placement would be compatible with the lateral valves of the anomalocaridid Hurdia [7] and the carapace valves of bivalved stem-group arthropods being homologous, although they were not coded as such in the current study.

Nereocaris lacks specialized sensory and gnathal head appendages. We do not consider a taphonomic explanation for this absence to be particularly likely, as labile structures anterior and posterior to the expected region (e.g. the eyes and thoracic limbs) are preserved; we also note that other bivalved taxa resolving proximally demonstrably lack antennae (Jugatacaris, Odaraia) or modified gnathal...
appendages. However, the lack of head appendages renders interpretations of feeding mode difficult. Predation would require specialized appendages for the capture and mastication of prey, and can hence be excluded; a scavenging mode is conceivable, but also problematic. A filter-feeding autecology has been proposed for related taxa by some previous authors (see electronic supplementary material S1, table S1), although this has been contested [27]. If *Nereocaris* employed filter-feeding, it would have been relatively inefficient, judging from the underdeveloped endites and lack of obvious enditic setal armature on its thoracic appendages.

The limbs of *Nereocaris* were completely enclosed by the carapace, and their filamentous nature would have rendered them unsuitable for walking; we hence interpret the taxon as a member of the nektobenthos. The appendages are small and delicate, and could have provided little propulsive force for swimming. Instead propulsion must have been generated by the elongate abdomen and fluke-like telson; sclerotization of the abdomen would have accommodated the attachment of powerful musculature. *Nereocaris* was probably a highly agile swimmer, the flattened carapace and expanded postero-dorsal keel providing stability during high-speed turning. This combination of features and enlarged lateral eyes would have aided in predator detection and evasion. Similar morphologies are also present in other bivalved Cambrian stem-group arthropods, and their modes of life were probably comparable. Both *Jugatacaris* [22] and *Pectocaris* [23] have an elongate abdomen with ring-like somites and fluke-like, segmented telson. *Jugatacaris* also possesses a flattened carapace, dorsal keel and a rod-like, unpaired median eye [22].

The topology of our cladogram implies that a nektobenthic habit is primitive for arthropods (figure 3). This contrasts with other hypotheses, which have suggested that either fuxianhuiids [10–12] or megacheirans [8,13] represent the primitive arthropod condition. Both these taxa are generally considered to have been benthic predators or scavengers, the latter based on evidence from gut contents [28,29] (see electronic supplementary material S1, table S1). A transition to a benthic habit is associated with an inferred reduction of the bivalved carapace into either a head capsule (in fuxianhuiids) or a cephalic shield (in megacheirans and euchelicerates). This transition is also associated with the acquisition of separate sternites and tergites, and accompanying paratergal folds; the latter would have afforded protection for the respiratory exites in the absence of a bivalved carapace.

The acquisition of an arthrodized exoskeleton was one of the most important innovations in arthropod evolution; by providing a tough, durable barrier it allowed its bearers to adapt to a variety of environmental stresses. Among the few studies that have considered its origin is a recent proposal that sclerotization and arthrodization evolved to increase extrinsic muscular control of the appendages [30]. On the basis of the phylogenetic placement and ecology of *Nereocaris* and other bivalved arthropods, which we also interpret as nektobenthic (see electronic supplementary material S1, table S1), we can refine this hypothesis. These taxa indicate that arthrodization originated in a flexible natatory trunk, and its original function was the strengthening of the exoskeleton to accommodate swimming musculature, rather than a musculature for walking, as proposed elsewhere [30].

The number and diversity of taxa in the euarthropod stem-group demonstrates that the origin of the arthropods was one of gradual transitions, with key innovations such as compound eyes, arthropodized limbs, an arthrodized exoskeleton and gnathobasic limbs evolving at different times in arthropod evolution, often associated with shifts in ecology (figure 3). The current topology indicates that a benthic predatory or scavenging habit was primitive for Euarthropoda (crown-group arthropods), as it is present in the nearest outgroups (megacheirans, fuxianhuiids), and is retained in pycnogonids (sea spiders) and euchelicerates (horseshoe crabs and arachnids).
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