Brainless but not clueless: earthworms boost their ejaculates when they detect fecund non-virgin partners
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In many animals in which females store sperm, males may detect female mating status and, in order to outcompete rival sperm, increase ejaculate size when copulating with non-virgin females. Although most studies have been restricted to organisms with separate sexes, theoretical models suggest that sperm competition should also be an important selective agent shaping life-history traits in simultaneous hermaphrodites. Nevertheless, the empirical support for ejaculate adjustment in a mating opportunity is scarce in hermaphrodites. In the present study, we performed a double-mating experiment to determine whether earthworms (Eisenia andrei) detect the mating status of their partners and whether they respond by adjusting their ejaculate. We found that earthworms triplicated the donated sperm when mating with a non-virgin mate. Moreover, such increases were greater when the worms were mated with larger (more fecund) partners, indicating that earthworms perform a fine-tune control of ejaculate volume. The results of the present study suggest that, under high intensity of sperm competition, partner evaluation is subject to intense selection in hermaphrodite animals, and donors are selective about to whom they donate how much sperm.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In ch. IX of his book 'The descent of man, and selection in relation to sex', Charles Darwin (1871) considered sexual selection to be restricted to higher animals and pointed out, for example, that 'Annelids apparently stand too low in the scale, for the individuals of either sex to exert any choice in selecting a partner, or for the individuals of the same sex to struggle together in rivalry'. Although the cognitive abilities needed to assess mate rivalry may be weak in hermaphroditic invertebrates (e.g. Charnov 1987), the theory predicts that sexual selection and especially sperm competition may also be an important selective force shaping the mating behaviour in hermaphrodites (Charnov 1996; Michiels 1998).

Simultaneous hermaphrodites have both functional female and male reproductive organs and multiple matings are common (Baur 1994; Angeloni et al. 2003; Monroy et al. 2003), and sperm storage organs have evolved in many species (Michiels 1998). It is assumed that hermaphrodites have a limited amount of reproductive resources for both sexual functions (Charnov 1996; Schärer et al. 2005). Given that the costs of sperm production are often non-trivial (Dewsbury 1982), hermaphrodites should optimize the amount of sperm allocated to the current partner while retaining enough sperm for future matings (Wedell et al. 2002). Since increased sperm production may reduce resources that can be allocated to egg production (De Visser et al. 1994; Lorenzi et al. 2007) and decrease somatic growth or maintenance (Van Voorhis 1992; Sella & Lorenzi 2003), hermaphrodites are expected to be prudent with their expensive male reserves (Koene & Ter Maat 2007).

However, when males compete with rivals for fertilization, larger ejaculates are predicted, especially to high-quality mates (Cook & Gage 1995; Martin & Hosken 2002; Wedell et al. 2002; Friberg 2006). Thus, opportunistic mating decisions should be selected in hermaphrodites, but empirical support for ejaculate adjustment according to sperm competition intensity is scarce (but see Anthes et al. 2006).

Some recent evidence supports increased sperm production in hermaphrodites when raised in large groups (Trouvé et al. 1999; Schärer & Ladurner 2003; Tan et al. 2004) and also in individuals exposed to enlarged mating groups (Brauer et al. 2007; but see Lorenzi et al. 2005), which indicates that hermaphrodites adjust sex allocation to the number of potential rivals (but see Locher & Baur 2000). The increased investment in male function with group size may be attributed to higher mating rates, or to the ability of individuals to differentiate the mating history of their partners and adjust sperm production accordingly. Nevertheless, there is little evidence that hermaphrodites can evaluate the mating history of their partners to estimate the intensity of sperm competition that their ejaculates will face in a particular mating event (Baur et al. 1998; but see Anthes et al. 2006), as occurs in many insects (Wedell et al. 2002; Uhía & Cordero 2005; Friberg 2006) and vertebrates (del Barco-Trillo & Ferkin 2004).

In the present study, we performed a double-mating experiment to determine whether redworms respond to the mating status of their partners by adjusting their ejaculate. In this simple situation over the sperm competition continuum (no competition and one competitor), an increase in the ejaculate size is predicted (Wedell et al. 2002). The redworm, Eisenia andrei, is a simultaneously
hermaphroditic earthworm that lives at high densities where multiple mating is common (Monroy et al. 2003). Consequently, strategies to overcome strong sperm competition are expected. Indeed, redworms display a prolonged courtship that involves short and repeated touches between partners before mating attachment; they also spend a long time in copulation (Grove & Cowley 1926), offering possibilities for partner evaluation. Although sperm digestion is widespread in hermaphrodites, which makes it difficult to distinguish sperm competition and mating investment (Michiels 1998; Greeff & Michiels 1999a), redworms are unable to digest received allosperm (Richards & Fleming 1982). Redworms therefore constitute an excellent model for testing the effect of sperm competition on mating behaviour.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

(a) Study animal

Eisenia andrei (Bouche 1972) is an epigeic hermaphrodite earthworm (Oligochaeta, Lumbricidae) with a worldwide distribution due to its tolerance to a wide range of temperature and moisture conditions (Dominguez et al. 2005). During mating, both worms are attached in an inverse position by their ventral sides and there is transfer of sperm from the male pores up to the spermathecae of the partner with the help of epidermal organs such as tubercula pubertatis, which together with the mucus secreted, ensure perfect fixation of the earthworms during mating (Grove & Cowley 1926). During copulation, sperm are exchanged simultaneously and reciprocally and stored in the two pairs of spermathecae, located on the dorsal side of ninth and tenth body segments; these are spherical sacs that store the sperm until their later dissection (figure 1).

(b) Collection and maintenance

Sixty hatchlings of E. andrei were obtained from a laboratory stock cultured at 20 ± 2°C. The laboratory stock was large enough (more than 10 000 individuals) to prevent endogamy. Hatchlings were placed in separate plastic Petri dishes to ensure that they were virgins at the time of experimental matings. Vermicompost and cow manure were supplied ad libitum as breeding medium. The dishes were kept in the darkness in a scientific incubator at 25°C and high humidity. Vermicompost and cow manure were supplied ad libitum as breeding medium. The dishes were kept in the darkness in a scientific incubator at 25°C and high humidity.

Earthworms were inspected weekly until sexual maturity was attained, detected as the development of tubercula pubertatis and clitellum.

(c) Mating experiments

We manipulated earthworm-mating history by performing a double-mating experiment. Forty-two mature and virgin earthworms were randomly assigned to three experimental groups (first partner, second partner and focal recipient). One week before the start of the experiment, earthworms were marked by a tiny light burn on different segments behind the clitellum, so that they could be recognized after matings. The body mass of each earthworm was recorded prior to the experiment, and there were no significant differences among experimental groups ($F_{4,41} = 0.62$, $p = 0.55$).

First, we placed 14 pairs of mature virgin earthworms (first partner × focal recipient) housed in a Petri dish with vermicompost and cow manure. All the pairs were examined twice daily until the appearance of spermatophores, indicative of copulation (Monroy et al. 2003). When the pairs had completed mating, one earthworm was removed (focal recipient) and placed with another mature virgin earthworm (second partner) for a second mating ($n = 14$) and examined twice daily. In both cases, the earthworms were randomly assigned to the mating pairs. The estimated time elapsed between two matings was 31 ± 6 hours, within the natural range of multiple matings (Monroy et al. 2003). After matings, all earthworms were fixed in formaldehyde 4% : ethanol 96% (1 : 1) and preserved with formaldehyde 4% in plastic tubes until their later dissection.

(d) Estimation of sperm volume

Spermathecae (figure 1) were dissected under a Nikon SMZ1500 stereomicroscope at various magnifications and were compressed to a uniform thickness of 66.3 μm under a supported cover-slip on a slide. The sperm mass was photographed with a Nikon Digital Camera DXM1200F. The sperm area of each spermatheca was measured twice by the use of ANALYSIS software and the mean of the two measurements was used to estimate the sperm volume as the area multiplied by the separation between cover-slip and slide (66.3 μm; see Cordero & Miller 1992). Sperm showed homogeneous density in all preparations (measured by colour
intensity in the AnalySIS software). Thus, the total volume of sperm received in the matings was estimated as the sum of the volume of the four spermathecae. The earthworms included in the experiment contained sperm in the four spermathecae, except for two earthworms that showed three spermathecae with sperm and an empty one.

3. RESULTS

Sperm volume stored in the spermathecae was similar in the first and second partners of the focal redworms (paired

\[
\text{FIGURE 2. Comparison of the sperm stored in relation to the number of matings.} \quad (a) \text{Total volume of sperm (mm}^3\text{) received after copulation with one or two donors. In grey, the sperm volume donated by the second donor to non-virgin recipients, as estimated by randomization. (b) Distribution of Monte Carlo simulations of the t-statistic values for the original data, which measures the discrepancy in the volume of sperm donated by the first and second donors (estimated by randomization) and the t-statistic values for randomly allocated observations (null hypothesis).}
\]

\[
t\text{-test, } t_{13}=0.10, p=0.91, \text{ which indicated that there was no sperm depletion after two consecutive copulations. Sperm was similarly distributed in the four spermathecae. Thus, after a single copulation, sperm volume was correlated among the four spermathecae within individuals (ICC, } r=0.86, p<0.001), \text{ and the same occurred in the focal redworms after two copulations (ICC, } r=0.80, p<0.001). \text{ Interestingly, the total sperm volume stored in the focal redworm after two consecutive copulations was 3.9 times greater and more variable than that after a single copulation (figure 2a; Levene's test for homogeneity of variance, } p=0.003; \text{ GLMM, } F_{1,13}=43.96, p<0.0001). \text{ Since earthworms were randomly assigned to the experimental groups, we estimated the sperm volume transferred by the second partner as the total sperm volume in the spermathecae of the focal recipient minus the randomized sperm volume in the spermathecae of the first partner. Thus, the estimated sperm volume transferred by the second partner was 0.375±0.06 mm}^3\text{ (} n=14\text{), 3.3 times greater than that transferred in the first copulation (Monte Carlo analysis, } p=0.0001; \text{ figure 2b).}
\]
second donor be similar to that donated by the first donor.

stored in the recipient if the sperm volume donated by the receiver body mass (figure 3; where the total sperm volume stored was related neither to partner: recipient body mass — relation to the recipient (own) body mass (interaction spermathecae after copulation with one or two donors in 0.02). Dashed line indicates the expected sperm volume stored in the recipient if the sperm volume donated by the second donor be similar to that donated by the first donor.

The total sperm volume stored after two copulations was related to the recipient body mass (figure 3; $r_{14}=0.65$, $p=0.018$), but not to the body mass of donors (first partner: $r_{14}=0.37$, $p=0.19$; second partner: $r_{14}=0.42$, $p=0.13$). This effect was not found in the first copulation, where the total sperm volume stored was related neither to the receiver body mass (figure 3; $r_{14}=0.11$, $p=0.71$) nor to the donor mass ($r_{14}=0.06$, $p=0.83$).

4. DISCUSSION

In this study, we found that earthworms responded to the mating status of their partners and triplicated the donated sperm when they mate with a non-virgin mate. Moreover, such increases were greater when the worms were mated with larger partners, indicating that earthworms perform a fine-tune control of ejaculate volume. These results indicate that redworms have evolved mechanisms to detect and adjust ejaculate investment in order to maximize fertilization opportunities (Wedell et al. 2002). Our study suggests that sperm competition (Parker 1970) is a powerful evolutionary force that has influenced the mating behaviour in earthworms.

Sperm competition occurs when multiple matings lead to the simultaneous presence of live sperm from two or more individuals within a female’s reproductive tract. In this multiple-mating experiment, we found that redworms equally distributed the sperm among the four spermathecae and that the volume of sperm donated by the second partner, although more variable, was probably added to the sperm stored from the preceding copulation. Therefore, when redworms copulate with a mated partner, their ejaculates compete with the rival sperm to fertilize the ova. Under sperm mixing from multiple donors, precedence rules probably approximate to ‘fair raffles’ mixing, and sperm competition will select for an increased amount of transferred sperm (Parker 1998).

Accordingly, we found that redworms are able to perceive partner mating status and triplicate their ejaculates after detecting a risk of sperm competition (a previously mated partner). Interestingly, this finding also reveals that under no sperm competition risk redworms are prudent in their ejaculate expenditure, even when paired with high-quality mates, as that occurs in the first copulation of earthworms reared in isolation. These results indicate that they may regulate the duration of copulation or, alternatively, that they may have mechanisms that prevent all sperm being released in a single mating event. Although we did not find sperm depletion after two consecutive ejaculations, the prudent sperm allocation shown by redworms is in line with the models suggesting non-trivial costs of ejaculate production (Greeff & Michiels 1999b).

Our results indicate that redworms boost their ejaculate when paired with recently mated partners. However, interestingly, the volume of sperm donated to non-virgin partners was more variable than that transferred to virgin recipients. This variability may indicate that under high sperm competition intensity, resource allocation to sperm may become expensive (Greeff & Michiels 1999b), and sperm donors become more selective about to whom they donate sperm (Michiels et al. 2003). To test this prediction, we examined the relationship between sperm volume donated and partner quality, estimated as body mass. As in many hermaphrodites, egg production in earthworms increases with body mass (Dominguez et al. 1997) and larger partners are preferred (Monroy et al. 2005). Thus, if sperm is costly, redworms should boost their ejaculates under high sperm competition intensity, especially when paired with larger partners, since the potential benefits are greater. According to this prediction, we found that the total sperm volume stored after two copulations was related to the recipient body mass and this effect was not found in the first copulation. Thus, the estimated volume transferred to larger non-virgin partners (above 0.85 g) was five times greater than that transferred to virgin partners (figure 3). These results indicate that redworms bias potential sperm competition in their favour, particularly when they copulate with more fecund partners.

Phenotypic plasticity, as we have found in sperm allocation, is expected to be favoured when the environment is unpredictable on a time scale that is short relative to generation time (de Jong 1995). Redworms live in populations in which multiple matings are common, but density and mating rates oscillate frequently and probably unpredictably (Monroy et al. 2006). Opportunistic ejaculate expenditure according to the fluctuations in mating rates should be advantageous, favouring the allocation of resources to egg production under low mating rates, and higher allocation to male reproduction in a scenario of higher sperm competition. As it has been pointed out, this plasticity may explain why hermaphroditism is maintained in fluctuating large and dense populations (Brauer et al. 2007).

We do not know the cues that redworms use to assess partner mating status, but tactile and chemical cues are probable sources of this information (see Schleicherová et al. 2006). Earthworms show a prolonged courtship with short and repeated touches between partners before mating and they spend a long time in copulation with constriction movements between partners, which provides ample opportunity for partner assessment (e.g. Michiels et al. 2001). Earthworms are sensitive to chemicals having large numbers of chemoreceptors all over their bodies, most of them concentrated in the prostomium and...
anterior segments (Wallwork 1983). In addition, the epithelium in the mouth region accommodates groups of sensory cells that are associated with the detection of mucus secretions from other earthworms (Edwards & Lofty 1972). In his last work, Charles Darwin devoted himself entirely to the investigation of earthworm biology (Darwin 1881). Contrary to his previous thinking (Darwin 1871) but in accordance with his observations, he concluded that earthworms possess more cognitive potential than was generally assumed. The results of the present study are consistent with this view and show that the hermaphroditic redworm recognition system is actually highly efficient in terms of mate evaluation and is important to the reproductive success of these animals.
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