Arthropod visual predators in the early pelagic ecosystem: evidence from the Burgess Shale and Chengjiang biotas
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Exceptional fossil specimens with preserved soft parts from the Maotianshan Shale (ca 520 Myr ago) and the Burgess Shale (505 Myr ago) biotas indicate that the worldwide distributed bivalved arthropod Isoxys was probably a non-benthic visual predator. New lines of evidence come from the functional morphology of its powerful prehensile frontal appendages that, combined with large spherical eyes, are thought to have played a key role in the recognition and capture of swimming or epibenthic prey. The swimming and steering of this arthropod was achieved by the beating of multiple setose exopods and a flap-like telson. The appendage morphology of Isoxys indicates possible phylogenetical relationships with the megacheirans, a widespread group of assumed predator arthropods characterized by a pre-oral ‘great appendage’. Evidence from functional morphology and taphonomy suggests that Isoxys was able to migrate through the water column and was possibly exploiting hyperbenthic niches for food. Although certainly not unique, the case of Isoxys supports the idea that off-bottom animal interactions such as predation, associated with complex feeding strategies and behaviours (e.g. vertical migration and hunting) were established by the Early Cambrian. It also suggests that a prototype of a pelagic food chain had already started to build-up at least in the lower levels of the water column.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Present-day pelagic ecosystems are inhabited by an extraordinary variety of organisms from picoplankton to large fishes and mammals that interact via complex food chains throughout the water column. The build-up process of the pelagic ecosystem, crucial to the functioning of the biosphere, raises the fundamental question of when and how animals started to colonize off-bottom niches (Rigby & Milsom 1996). Most exceptional Cambrian biotas (e.g. Chengjiang, Burgess Shale, Emu Bay Shale, Sirius Passet, Orsten) include potential nektonic swim-biotas (e.g. Chengjiang, Burgess Shale, Emu Bay Shale, Sirus Passet, Orsten) that occur in the Lower and Middle Cambrian rocks of North America, South China, Siberia, South Australia and North Greenland (Williams et al. 1996). The tentative reconstruction of this assumed pelagic animal by Vannier & Chen (2000) is largely hypothetical. It is based on fragmentary information obtained from a few incomplete specimens from the Chengjiang fauna. Similarly, the idea that Isoxys might have been a predator (Hu 2005; Hu et al. 2007) lacks strong evidence. It is supported by a single incomplete juvenile specimen with a pointed frontal appendage. By contrast, the new excellently preserved fossil material described here from both the Lower Cambrian Chengjiang and the Middle Cambrian Burgess Shale Lagerstätten provide conclusive morphological evidence, reveal vital aspects of the biology of Isoxys such as feeding, vision and locomotion, and enlighten the colonization process of off-bottom niches by early animal life.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

(a) South China

Fourteen specimens collected by S.-X. H. and A.-L. C. were examined. They were recovered from the Yu’anshan...
Formation, Maotianshan Shale Member, Eoredlichia-Wutangaspis bionzone, Meishucunian (Lower Cambrian, Shergold & Cooper 2004) at Ercaicun and Xiaolantan (map in Hu 2005), all near Chengjiang, Yunnan Province, China. General information and complete references on the Chengjiang biota, its taphonomy and depositional environment were published in a series of recent papers (Chen et al. 2002, 2007; Chen 2004; Hou et al. 2004; Steiner et al. 2005; Hu 2005). Approximately 10 specimens show well-preserved eyes and the first pair of appendages. They belong to Isoxys auritus Jiang in Luo et al. (1982) and Isoxys curvoirostratus (Vannier & Chen 2000), characterized by a reticulated and lined ornament, respectively.

(b) Canada
The studied specimens were collected between 1975 and 2000 by Royal Ontario Museum (ROM) parties near Field, British Columbia, from various levels within the Burgess Shale Formation (mainly Walcott Quarry Shale, Raymond Quarry Shale Member and Emerald Lake Oncolite Member, all within the Bathuriscus-Elrathina Zone, Middle Cambrian; for geology and stratigraphy, see Caron (2005) and Vannier & Chen (2007a)). Preserved anatomical features such as eyes, head and trunk appendages, and midgut glands have been recognized in 41 specimens of Isoxys acutangulus (Walcott 1908) and one of Isoxys longissimus (Simontetta & delle Cave 1975) all housed in the ROM collections. The new systematic descriptions of these two species will be published separately (García-Bellido et al. in press b).

(c) Methods and terminology
Digital photographs were taken under different light conditions (low and high angles, non-polarized and polarized light, with specimens immersed in water or dry). ‘Carapace’ has long been used in a practical sense to designate the exoskeleton of numerous fossil and recent organisms, including Isoxys (Williams et al. 1996; Vannier & Chen 2000). We prefer to use here the term ‘shield’ which more specifically applies to arthropods (e.g. Waloszek 1993; Maas et al. 2003) and, for the same reason, ‘pleural folds’ instead of ‘valves’.

The cladistic analysis of the great-appendage or megacheiran arthropods (Hou & Bergström 1997; Chen et al. 2004; Kühl et al. 2009) was performed using PAUP v. 4 (Swofford 2002).

(d) Repositories
CFM = The Chengjiang Museum, Chengjiang, Yunnan Province; ROM = Royal Ontario Museum, Toronto, Canada; YDSK = Yunnan Institute of Geological Sciences, Kunming, Yunnan Province.

(e) Abbreviations in figures
ann, antennular segment; acs, anterior cardinal spine; co, cornea; da, dorsal attachment of body; db, dorsal part of body; dm, dorsal margin of head shield; e, eye; e?, possible eye; en, endopod; es, eye stalk; ex, exopod; fa, frontal appendage; fa?, possible frontal appendage; gi, gut; h, presumed head; ha?, possible head appendage; hs, head shield; i, left; mg, midgut glands; ms, marginal setae; os, ocular segment; pb, posterior part of body; pe, peduncle; m, mouth; mu?, possible muscles (eye stalk); pcs, posterior cardinal spine; pf, pleural fold; r, right; re?, possible retinula; sw, sediment-water interface; ta, trunk appendage; te, telson; te?, possible telson; tf, telson flap; ts1–ts13, 1st–13th assumed trunk segment; vm, ventral margin of head shield; 1–4, distal podomeres of frontal appendage.

3. FUNCTIONAL ANATOMY OF ISOXYS
Isoxys is unique among Cambrian arthropods by its external shape with two prominent spines extending antero- and posterosdorsally and a lack of strong lateral sculpture. Its head shield was attached to the rest of the body by a relatively short dorsal area (figures 1a and 2c,d). Trunk appendages often imprint their shape on the lateral surface of the head shield. This, added to frequent wrinkled preservation (Williams et al. 1996), suggests that Isoxys had a thin, flexible, non-mineralized and possibly translucent exoskeleton. Comparable light, streamlined shields with long dorsal spines are known in pelagic crustaceans such as Gnathophausia zoea (Lophogastra; see the electronic supplementary material, figure 1c), planktotrophic larvae of malacostracans and halocypridid ostracods (Vannier & Chen 2000). These pointed shields are interpreted as exoskeletal adaptations to a non-benthic lifestyle and play a role in predator deterrence (Morgan 1989). Similarly, the head shield of Isoxys is assumed to have had a hydrodynamic and, possibly, a protective function against predation (Vannier & Chen 2005).

The anteriormost appendage of Isoxys was uniramous, curved and protruded beyond the anteroventral margin of the head shield. Since no other appendage occurs in front of it, it is likely to represent the antennula (the protocerebral appendage of all arthropods s.s.; see Waloszek et al. 2005). It was divided into a possibly two-segmented, proximal peduncle followed by a four-segmented claw-like unit. In I. acutangulus, three podomeres of the claw bore a conical tooth-like outgrowth that gave the inner margin of the appendage its serrated profile (figure 2a–d,i,j). The distal segment was pointed and subchelate. This frontal, pre-oral appendage could flex inwards and fit under the head shield, even though joints between podomeres are often unclear. It was inserted immediately behind the ocular segment. Additional head segments (figures 1a and 2c,d) may have filled the gap between the segment that bore the frontal appendage and the assumed first trunk segment (with biramous appendages and first pair of midgut glands). However, our material does not allow us to determine their number and the presence of possible appendages. The frontal appendage had an obvious prehensile function and is likely to have been used for both capturing prey and carrying food to the mouth region (figure 1c,d). The pedipalps of Recent and fossil arachnids (second pair of head appendages), such as the whip scorpions (e.g. Fox 2006; Tettie & Dunlop 2008, fig. 6) are possible functional analogues of these structures. A single juvenile specimen of possibly I. auritus from the Lower Cambrian of China (Hu 2005) differs markedly from that of I. acutangulus in having a straight frontal appendage bearing numerous tiny spines (figure 3i,j).

All trunk appendages had a short endopod and a long paddle-like exopod fringed with approximately 12–15 supporting setae. The detailed morphology of the proximal part of the limbs (e.g. basipod) and of the endopod (e.g. number of podomeres) is unknown.
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Isoxys probably swam by the metachronal beating of its flap-like exopods while the setae probably increased the effectiveness of the power stroke. The four last trunk appendages had a slightly different shape and orientation, with more slender exopods possibly lacking marginal setae. These posterior exopods formed, together with the telson flaps, a symmetrical fan-like structure resembling the uropods of recent crustaceans. By fanning out as the result of the vertical stroke of the posterior trunk, this tail fan is likely to have played a key role in the propulsion and steering of the animal through the water column.

Isoxys had a bulbous ocular segment from which a pair of large spherical eyes projected forwards and slightly downwards (figures 1a and 2). With Odaraia (Briggs 1981; Briggs et al. 1994), Isoxys is among those Cambrian arthropods with the largest eyes in relation to the body size (average diameter approx. 10% of body length). The eye of Isoxys exemplified by I. acutangulus had a short stalk and an external cornea-like cuticular layer. No hexagonal or square pattern is preserved. Some internal features are preserved, for example a black hemispherical body (figure 3e–h, possibly photoreceptive part of the eye) and a basal area (possibly photosensitive retinular units). The lack of information concerning key elements such as ommatidia, crystalline cones and retinula do not allow an estimation of the optical design and visual properties of the eyes of Isoxys, either apposition (light-adapted) or superposition (dark-adapted) type (e.g. Nilsson 1990). However, the co-occurrence of large eyes and powerful prehensile appendages strongly suggest that Isoxys was a visual predator. Its hunting behaviour would have required great efficiency in the visual recognition of small and possibly semitransparent prey, and accurate depth perception. Its large, forwardly projecting spherical ‘panoramic’ eyes may have been an adaptive response to such requirements, especially in targeting moving prey (figure 1d).

Paired, lobate-to-subrectangular features were present along the midgut of Isoxys (figure 2c,d). They are often three-dimensionally preserved (apatite) and belong to the first eight, possibly 10, trunk segments. Identical features occur in numerous Cambrian arthropods such as Leanchoilia, Sidneyia, naraoids and trilobites, and have exact counterparts in recent crustaceans (e.g. branchiurans, remipede and copepods) and chelicerates. These features have been convincingly interpreted as serial digestive midgut glands (Butterfield 2002; Vannier & Chen 2002). They are considered as a characteristic feature of the ground pattern of Arthropoda sensu stricto (Walośzek et al. 2005). In recent arthropods, these glands are particularly well developed in non-regular feeders, especially predators (e.g. arachnids), parasites (e.g. branchiurans) and omnivores with predatory habits such as Limulus. The relationship of predation with a well-developed serial glandular system is exemplified by an arthropod predator from the Middle Cambrian of the Käili Lagerstätte that preserves tiny eodiscoid trilobites within its gut (Zhu et al. 2004). The series of glands of Isoxys would point to comparable feeding strategies, i.e. intermittent feeding by predation and storage of soft food. No gut contents are preserved in our Isoxys specimens.

The frontal appendages of Isoxys bear no fine setae and were neither suited for particle feeding (Harvey & Butterfield 2008) nor for the capture of mesoplankton or microplankton. Instead, their size (approx. 15 mm long), recurved outline and stout spines make them efficient tools for the capture of small soft-bodied or lightly sclerotized invertebrates living in the water column or at/near the

**Figure 1.** Reconstruction of Isoxys based chiefly on specimens of I. acutangulus with preserved soft parts from the Middle Cambrian Burgess Shale. (a) Lateral view (left pleural fold of head shield removed, frontal appendage in assumed resting position). (b) Frontal view (frontal appendage grasping prey represented by black dot). (c) Dorsal view (translucent), respectively. (d) Presumed habitat and raptorial behaviour of Isoxys, targeting and capturing hypothetical prey (e.g. small soft-bodied or lightly sclerotized invertebrates) at/near the water-sediment interface (1, 2) or within the water column (3). White arrows indicate possible vertical migrations through the water column. Detailed morphology of head and endopod of trunk appendage unknown; long natatory setae of exopods not represented.
water-sediment interface (figure 1d) and possibly ranging between 5 and 20 mm. Comparable specialized frontal appendages with an assumed raptorial function occur in other arthropods from the Chengjiang and Burgess Shale faunas (Maas et al. 2004).

4. DISCUSSION

(a) A new great-appendage arthropod?

Great-appendage or megacheiran arthropods (Hou & Bergström 1997) encompass a variety of small epibenthic predators that do not exceed 10 cm long to, possibly, much larger anomalocaridids (Chen et al. 2004). They are characterized by a prominent pre-oral great appendage that displays various shapes in relation with mostly prehensile functions (e.g. spiny and claw-like in Haikoucaris ercaiensis, Chen et al. 2004; multi-flagellate in Leanochoilia superflata, Walcott 1912; multi-segmented in Anomalocaris saron, Hou et al. 1995; and Amplectobelua symbrachiata, Hou et al. 1995). The stratigraphic range of the great-appendage arthropods extends into the Devonian as shown by Schinderhannes bartelii (Kühl et al. 2009), which displays an unusual combination of anomalocaridid and eurarthropod characters.

By its location, orientation, size and overall structure (two-segmented peduncle followed by four podomeres bearing pointed outgrowths), the frontal appendage of Isoxys closely resembles the great-appendage of numerous non-anomalocaridid (e.g. Leanochoilia, Alalcomenaeus, Yohoia, Jianfengia; Whittington 1974; Bruton & Whittington 1983; Hou 1987; Briggs & Collins 1999; Chen 2004; Hou et al. 2004; García-Bellido & Collins 2007) and anomalocaridid (e.g. Parapeytoia; Hou et al. 1995) megacheirans. These resemblances and a tentative cladistic analysis based on 29 characters and 14 species (see the electronic supplementary material 2) would support the placement of Isoxys within the great-appendage arthropods and the grouping of Isoxys with other ‘bivalved’ arthropods such as Occacaris and Forfexicaris (Hou et al. 2004). Although the mode of locomotion of these two forms is uncertain, their egg-shaped exoskeleton and tail fan (Occacaris) would suggest a nektobenthic lifestyle. However, the relatively low values of robustness metrics...
of the tree, added to uncertainties concerning the number of head segments of Isoxys, limit our phylogenetic interpretations. The status of the great-appendage arthropods as a whole remains uncertain. They have been considered basal to the crown-group euarthropods (e.g. Budd 2002). Chen et al. (2004) placed them in the stem-lineage Chelicerata on the basis of presumed homologies between the great-appendage and the chelicera of the crown-group Chelicerata (e.g. Recent spiders, scorpions and horseshoe crabs). A recent cladistic analysis (Kühl et al. 2009) suggests that the group may be paraphyletic. These hypotheses require confirmation.

(b) Isoxys in the Cambrian marine ecosystem
In the Maotianshan Shale, Burgess Shale, Emu Bay Shale (South Australia; García-Bellido et al. in press a) localities and the Buen Formation of Greenland (Williams et al. 1996) Isoxys occurs predominantly as empty shields preserved in lateral or dorsoventral compression (see the electronic supplementary material, figure 1a,b). Although specimens with soft body preservation are rare, they show no important disarticulation (e.g. trunk tergites, appendages, attachment of the head shield to the rest of the body) and often preserve very fragile organs such as digestive glands (figure 2). This excludes a slow post-mortem sinking or drifting in the water column that, in present-day zooplankton, induces rapid physical fragmentation by autolysis, microbial and protozoan activity, turbulence and scavenging (Stemmann et al. 2004). Experiments with Recent shrimps have shown that the slightest disturbance provokes major disarticulation (Allison 1986; Briggs & Kear 1994) as soon as decay commences. A scenario consistent with taphonomy is that the clouds of mud that entombed most of the epibenthic fauna also trapped non-benthic animals such as Isoxys (see Hu (2005) and Caron & Jackson (2006) for

Figure 3. Isoxys from the Lower Cambrian Maotianshan Shale, Yunnan Province, South China. (a–d) Left and right lateral view (CFM 00047 and CFM 00169, respectively; both from Xiaolantan). (e–h) Fragment of anterior part with eye and part of the frontal appendage, left lateral view and detail of eye (CFM 00168; from Xiaolantan). (i,j) Possible juvenile of I. auritus Jian in Luo et al. (1982) from Ercaicun, Lower Cambrian, Yunnan Province, China, right lateral view (YDKS 43). All light photographs. Midgut glands are in light grey. Scale bar 5 mm in (a,b,g–l), 1 mm in (c–f).
Maotianshan and Burgess Shale taphonomy, respectively. Individuals that were relatively close to the bottom at the time of the turbidity event met the conditions for an optimal preservation of their anatomy. By contrast, those positioned higher in the water column, that were not entrained in turbiditic inputs, most probably died, decayed and eventually disarticulated before being buried, allowing the preservation of the most decay-resistant parts only, i.e. the exoskeletal shields. Part of the empty shields found in sediment may actually be shed exoskeletons that in Recent environments constitute one component of the vertical flux of particulate matter (Nicol & Stolp (1989) for Recent krill).

Our hypothesis is that Isoxys could migrate through the water column (e.g. via daily rhythms with alternating ascensions and passive sinking as in recent pelagic ostracods; Angel 1994) and was exploiting hyperbenthic niches for food. Recent hyperbenthic environments (approx. 1–10 m above bottom; Mees & Jones 1997) have a high biomass, and shelter a variety of planktonic and benthic species that migrate into the water column at some stage of their reproductive or daily cycles. Such environments are intensively exploited by pelagic predators (e.g. chaetognaths; Choe & Deibel 2000). Potential hyperbenthic prey for Isoxys are numerous and may have consisted of small adult invertebrates, for example, bradoriids (Hou et al. 1996; Shu et al. 1999b), crustaceans (Zhang et al. 2007), other arthropods such as Enrcaia (Chen et al. 2001) or chaetognaths (Vannier et al. 2007b). Part of the diet of Isoxys may have also been composed of the swimming larvae (e.g. Müller & Waloszek 1986; Waloszek & Müller 1989) of arthropods and other groups, that were probably present in the lower levels of the water column (e.g. meroplankton).

The habitat and functional morphological features interpreted for Isoxys would support the idea that off-bottom animal interactions such as predation, associated with complex feeding strategies and behaviours (e.g. vertical migrations and hunting) already existed in the Early Cambrian, leading to trophic links between pelagic and benthic life, possibly via hyperbenthic communities. Possible ecological scenarios are worth briefly mentioning here. A ‘prototype’ of the pelagic food chain is likely to have been established at a relatively early stage of the Cambrian radiation, that probably involved arthropod (e.g. Isoxys, Tazoa and anomalocaridids; Vannier et al. 2007a) and non-arthropod predators such as ctenophores and chaetognaths (Hu et al. 2007). We see it as a key step that possibly opened the way to a more extensive migration of animal life into the water column and, eventually, to the building-up of more complex, modern-type pelagic ecosystems. By contrast with the present-day situation, it is possible that the pelagic ecospace remained largely uninhabited by animals during the Cambrian period and that non-benthic animal life thrived essentially in the lowermost levels of the water column where sufficient food was available.
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