Song learning in domesticated canaries in a restricted acoustic environment
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Many songbirds learn their songs early in life from a song model. In the absence of such a model, they develop an improvised song that often lacks the species-typical song structure. Open-ended learners, such as the domesticated canary, are able to modify their songs in adulthood, although the mechanisms that guide and time the song-learning process are still not fully understood. In a previous study, we showed that male domesticated canaries lacking an adult song model in their first year substantially change their song repertoire and composition when exposed to normally reared conspecifics in their second year. Here, we investigate song development in descendants of canaries that were raised and kept as a peer group without a song model. Such males represent tutors with abnormal song characteristics. Interestingly, the F₁ generation developed quite normal song structure, and when brought into an environment with normally raised canaries in their second year, they did not modify their songs substantially. These results suggest that contact with an adult song model early in life is crucial for song crystallization, but also that song development is at least partly guided by innate rules. They also question the existing classification of canaries as open-ended learners.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Experience of environmental and social cues during a discrete period after hatching strongly influences the development of song in many birds (Catchpole & Slater 2008). Some species typically acquire new songs only during a restricted period early in life (i.e. zebra finch (Taeniopygia guttata; Eales 1985) or chaffinch (Fringilla coelebs; Slater & Ince 1982). In contrast, open-ended learners, such as the domesticated canary (Serinus canaria), are thought to maintain the ability to modify the content of their song throughout their lives (Nottebohm & Nottebohm 1978; Nottebohm et al. 1986).

The social environment for song learning is extremely important. For example, some species learn better from live tutors than from tapes (Baptista & Petrinovitch 1984; Chaiken et al. 1993). Poirier et al. (2004) found that, in starlings (Sturnus vulgaris), direct social contact is necessary for song learning and overrides auditory information acquired earlier in life. Field studies also suggest that several songbirds learn their songs from neighbours in a new area after dispersal from their natal territory (e.g. marsh wrens Cistothorus palustris; white-crowned sparrows Zonotrichia leucophrys; reviewed in Payne & Payne 1997). Other species frequently copy their father’s song (e.g. medium ground finch Geospiza fortis, Millington & Price 1985; zebra finch, Zann 1996). Young song sparrows (Melospiza melodia) build their repertoire by preferentially selecting song types that are shared by three or four adult birds from neighbouring territories (Beecher et al. 1994).

Thus, under natural conditions, a juvenile bird is able to choose one or more tutors to follow an adaptive learning strategy.

The domesticated canary is one of the best-studied species regarding song development and its underlying neuroendocrine substrates (Nottebohm & Nottebohm 1978; Nottebohm et al. 1986; Ball et al. 2003). Song is normally only produced by males (Nottebohm & Arnold 1976, but see Pesch & Güttinger 1985). First investigations were conducted more than 70 years ago with roller canaries (Mettessell 1935). If reared in acoustic isolation, male birds still develop the characteristic rolls and tours. Similar results were reported by Poulsen (1959) from a different canary strain. However, these data are limited because they were based solely on hearing, as sonographic analysis was only implemented in the 1960s. Since then, substantial insights into the song-learning process have been achieved from experiments with cross-fostered, and normally reared male canaries (Waser & Marler 1977; Nottebohm & Nottebohm 1978; Güttinger 1979; Nottebohm et al. 1986; Mundinger 1995; Leitner & Catchpole 2004). More recently, male canary song development has been investigated in restricted acoustic environments (Lehongre et al. 2006; Leitner & Catchpole 2007). However, the exact mechanisms that guide and constrain vocal development remain elusive. Gardner et al. (2005) suggest that canaries have an innate disposition to develop the species-typical phrased song when reaching sexual maturity and that they are able to rearrange previously acquired songs from a model that lacked these features. The authors therefore propose different learning strategies in juvenile and adolescent canaries. Yet other mechanisms must be present when birds modify their songs later in life. Leitner & Catchpole (2007) conducted an experiment...
with male canaries that experienced different social and auditory conditions before and after sexual maturation. Males in one group were raised individually in acoustic isolation, males in another group were raised together as peers but without an adult song model, and control males were raised in a communal aviary with adult conspecifics. At the age of 1 year, all groups were transferred into a large mixed-sex colony of conspecifics. The results showed that, at sexual maturity, males from both experimental groups had developed abnormal song characteristics, with isolate-raised males having very large and peer group males having very small repertoires compared with controls. Further, both groups of males sang with a lower syllable repetition rate than control males. In the second year, after introduction into the large colony, isolates and peers adjusted their syllable repertoire size and composition to that of control males, but syllable repetition rate remained unchanged. These data reveal the importance of social and auditory stimuli for song development and the crystallization process.

Based on these results, we aimed to investigate, in more detail, how the timing of the song crystallization process is affected by the presence of an adult song model. We studied song development in the F\textsubscript{1} generation of canaries that were raised as a peer group in isolation from an adult song model. As described above, under these conditions males develop abnormal song and hence represent an abnormal song model to their descendants. Here we analyse the songs of the latter, when 1 year old, and compare them with their tutors’ songs. Further, we monitor in their second year of life in comparison with control males, any changes after being introduced into a new acoustic environment with normally reared canaries.

### 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### (a) Tutors

We established a peer group of common domesticated canaries (6 males, 3 females) that consisted of birds raised in isolation from adult male song. These were the offspring of pairs bred in cages (56 × 28 × 37 cm) inside sound-proof chambers (65 × 43 × 56 cm inside, 103 × 57 × 101 cm outside) on a 14:10 L/D photoperiod. Each pair was provided with plastic nest bowls and nesting material that allowed females to build a nest and lay eggs. One to two days after hatching, the male was removed from the cage, and females raised the young on their own. Female canaries do not sing, and thus can be eliminated as possible song models. Furthermore, previous experiments have shown that song learning of young males is not biased by the presence of the rearing female or female peers (Güttlinger \textit{et al.} 1990; Mundinger 1995). From the age of six weeks on, the juveniles were kept together in an indoor aviary without acoustic contact with other birds. This aviary had normal acoustic properties, and was lacking only the vocalizations of other species and adult canaries. We adjusted the L/D cycle to the seasonal photoperiod that was gradually altered from 14:10 L/D in spring when eggs hatched, to 9:15 L/D in winter and back to 14:10 L/D in the following spring. The vocalizations of other species and adult canaries were recorded. Afterwards, in late autumn, they were introduced into a large communal aviary with normally reared canaries that were kept on 9:15 L/D in winter with a gradual alteration to 14:10 L/D towards the next spring. The songs of the males were recorded at 9 and 20 weeks after being transferred into the new environment. At the sampling point of 20 weeks, birds were in breeding condition and had reached the age of 23–24 months.

#### (b) F\textsubscript{1} generation

These birds represent the offspring of the tutors. When the tutor birds reached sexual maturity, they were allowed to breed by equipping the aviary with nest bowls and nesting material. The photoperiod was gradually altered from 14:10 L/D in spring when eggs hatched, to 9:15 L/D in winter and back to 14:10 L/D in the following spring. Tutors were removed from the aviary when the F\textsubscript{1} generations (6 males, 6 females) were 60–70 days old. At that time, juvenile males were in the transition from the subsong to the plastic song (Nottebohm 1999). In the following year, when these males reached the age of 17–18 months, their songs were recorded. Afterwards, in late autumn, they were introduced into a large communal aviary with normally reared canaries that were kept on 9:15 L/D in winter with a gradual alteration to 14:10 L/D towards the next spring. The songs of the males were recorded at 9 and 20 weeks after being transferred into the new environment. At the sampling point of 20 weeks, the birds were in breeding condition.

#### (c) Controls

We selected adult males (4 from our colony of common outbred domesticated canaries (60) kept at Seewiesen/Germany (47°58’ N, 11°14’ E) in a large aviary on the same natural photoperiod. The songs of the males were first recorded in late autumn and then after 9 and 20 weeks. At the sampling point of 20 weeks, the birds were in breeding condition.

#### (d) Song analysis

Sonographic analysis used CANARY 1.2.4 software (Cornell Laboratory of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA) on a Power Macintosh computer. Sampling rate was at a frequency of 22 kHz with a 16-bit sample size. The frequency/time resolution was set at 342 Hz with a frame length of 256 fast Fourier transform. Song analysis was performed on spectrograms by visual inspection and by using the built-in functions of the software. We focused on measuring song length, syllable repertoire size, syllable composition and syllable repetition rate. In addition, a catalogue of the different syllables of each bird was prepared in order to identify syllable turnover during the different recording weeks. To obtain the syllable repertoire size of each bird and the number of identical syllables between birds (syllable sharing), respectively, our protocol followed previously described methods to quantify canary songs (Leitner \textit{et al.} 2001a; Leitner & Catchpole 2004, 2007). The syllable repertoire is the number of different syllables that a bird uses to construct songs. We obtained a minimum sample of 390 s of song from each bird for each time point. This is sufficient to estimate the repertoire size, as a cumulative plot of new syllables reaches an asymptote well before this (Halle \textit{et al.} 2003). A song is defined as a syllable sequence longer than 1.5 s that contains intervals that are not longer than 0.4 s (see also Leitner \textit{et al.} 2001a). Single syllables are syllables that occur without repetition. The proportion of single syllables was defined as the number of these syllables divided by the total syllable repertoire size. Syllable repetition rate (produced in a tour) was calculated.

---
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significance level was set at $p = 0.05$ for all comparisons. If not otherwise stated, values represent medians and quartiles.

3. RESULTS

(a) Songs of tutors and F1 generation differ

The tutors were raised without having ever heard an adult song model and spent their life as a peer group. Their song differs from that of normally reared males in having a smaller repertoire size, a higher proportion of single syllables and a reduced repetition rate, similar to the study of Leitner & Catchpole (2007). A typical song of a tutor is shown in figure 1a. All males of the F1 generation developed song and recordings were made when about the same percentage of syllable types that were most frequently used in the tutors’ songs (63.3% of their syllables. However, those syllables were the ones shared on average only 5.72 ± 1.9% (mean ± s.d.) of $N_{w}$. The presence of the tutors was crucial, the F1 generation did not simply copy the ‘abnormal’ song model but developed rather species-typical song properties.

(b) F1 generation do not change their songs in a new acoustic environment

After being transferred from their original environment into a communal aviary with normally reared canaries, the songs of the F1 generation were analysed at three time points: at the time of transfer (w0), after 9 weeks (w9) and after 20 weeks (w20). Over the entire period, no changes in repertoire size ($F_{1} = 2.94$, $p = 0.57$; figure 3a), song length ($F_{1} = 4.13$, $p = 0.39$; figure 3b) and the percentage of single syllable types could be detected ($F_{1} = 4.27$, $p = 0.37$; figure 3c). However, males significantly increased their syllable repetition rate ($F_{1} = 15.07$, $p = 0.005$; figure 3d). None of these parameters changed in the songs of control males over the same time period ($p > 0.05$ for all tests). Comparing both groups with each other revealed that the F1 generation, although possessing more ‘normal’ characteristics than their tutors, had acquired songs that still could be clearly distinguished from normal canary song in terms of repertoire size (w20: $U = 0.0$, $p = 0.009$; figure 3a) and composition (w20: $U = 0.0$, $p = 0.009$; figure 3c). Interestingly, repetition rate of the F1 generation at the time of transfer was similar to that of controls but increased constantly in the new environment, and at w20 the difference became significant (w20: $U = 0.0$, $p = 0.009$; figure 3d). Song length was similar in both groups (w20: $U = 9.0$, $p = 0.61$; figure 3b). Also, syllable turnover (i.e. the number of lost syllable types) between sampling points was in the same range for the experimental group (w0–w9: 22.3%; w9–w20: 18.2%) and the control group (w0–w9: 30.6%; w9–w20: 12.7%) and did not change significantly over time (Wilcoxon test, F1 generation: $W = 3.0$, $p = 0.84$; controls: $W = 10.0$, $p = 0.13$). Furthermore, at w20 both groups retained about the same percentage of syllable types that were present in their original repertoire at w0 (F1 generation: $77.3 ± 11.0%$; controls: $71.3 ± 4.5%$ (mean ± s.d.)).

4. DISCUSSION

Our study reveals two rather striking results. First, early social contact with a live tutor is sufficient to lead to song crystallization, even though the song model exhibited abnormal song properties. Second, although the presence of the tutors was crucial, the F1 generation did not simply copy the ‘abnormal’ song model but developed rather species-typical song properties.
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Figure 2. (a) Repertoire size, (b) percentage of single syllable
types and (c) syllable repetition rate of songs from tutors and
the F1 generation (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01).

Proc. R. Soc. B (2009)
Although the presence of the tutors in our study had a crucial influence on the timing of the song crystallization process, the F_1 generation did not imitate the tutors’ song characteristics and copied only a small percentage from their syllable repertoire (figure 2). Those copied syllables were the ones most frequently sung by the tutors. This result clearly shows that birds must possess a template for guidance towards normal species-specific or even strain-specific song development, which is compatible with the selection-based model of song learning (Marler 1997). Cross-fostering experiments with roller and border canaries revealed similar results that males copied very little from an alien-strain tutor but had a strong preference for learning from their own strain’s tutors (Mundinger 1995). That innate rules of song development override abnormal auditory experience during song ontogeny was recently also found in Belgian Waterslager canaries (Gardner et al. 2005). On the contrary, in zebra finches, sons of untutored males copy a higher percentage of syllables from their father’s abnormal song than from other males’ song compared with sons of normally reared males (Williams et al. 1993). This suggests that unlike canaries, the songs of untutored zebra finch males still retain the species-specific characteristics that match a young male’s template.

In our study, the F_1 generation failed to develop fully normal canary song as they differed in several song parameters from control males (figure 3). This might have happened because the auditory stimulus did not match the template completely and therefore a song was crystallized that constitutes an intermediate version between the songs of the tutors and the controls. Alternatively, it is conceivable that the peers had a strong acoustic influence on each other during the sensory-motor phase of learning, which guided the crystallization process towards the formation of a group song. Such a process resembles ‘action-based learning’, which refers to the attrition of an overproduced repertoire during song crystallization based on the influence of social factors (Marler 1997; Nelson 1997). Further experiments are needed to distinguish between the two possibilities.

In conclusion, our data provide evidence that male domesticated canaries crystallize song upon early exposure to an adult song model. This probably happens through auditory stimulation of the templates that guide the motor-learning process. The lack of such stimulation early in life probably leaves the sensitive phase for song learning open in order for the bird to make substantial modifications to its song later in life when encountering an appropriate model.

The experiments were in compliance with the German animal protection law.
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