A test of the social cohesion hypothesis: interactive female marmots remain at home
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Individuals frequently leave home before reaching reproductive age, but the proximate causes of natal dispersal remain relatively unknown. The social cohesion hypothesis predicts that individuals who engage in more (affiliative) interactions are less likely to disperse. Despite the intuitive nature of this hypothesis, support is both limited and equivocal. We used formal social network analyses to quantify precisely both direct and indirect measures of social cohesion in yellow-bellied marmots. Because approximately 50 per cent of female yearlings disperse, we expected that social relationships and network measures of cohesion would predict dispersal. By contrast, because most male yearlings disperse, we expected that social relationships and cohesion would play a less important role. We found that female yearlings that interacted with more individuals, and those that were more socially embedded in their groups, were less likely to disperse. For males, social interactions were relatively unimportant determinants of dispersal. This is the first strong support for the social cohesion hypothesis and suggests that the specific nature of social relationships, not simply the number of affiliative relationships, may influence the propensity to disperse.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Over 30 years ago, Marc Bekoff developed the social cohesion hypothesis to explain variation in dispersal patterns (Bekoff 1977). Bekoff focused on affiliative interactions, specifically pre-dispersal play behaviour, and predicted that individuals who socialized with others were less likely to disperse (i.e. 'individuals who play together, stay together'; Poirier et al. 1978). The social cohesion hypothesis makes specific predictions about the nature of social interactions and dispersal; namely, that social interactions and relationships should be primary determinants of philopatry.

Despite over 30 years of subsequent research on dispersal, few studies have quantified the relationship between the nature of individual interactions and an individual’s subsequent likelihood of dispersal. Those that have done so provide equivocal findings. In support of the hypothesis, Harcourt & Stewart (1981) studied four young male gorillas (Gorilla gorilla) and found that individuals who had more affiliative social interactions with the dominant male were less likely to disperse. Harris & White (1992) used an indirect measure of interactiveness (the extent of chewing on plastic ear tags) and found that female red fox (Vulpes vulpes) cubs that had their tags chewed more by adult foxes were less likely to disperse. By contrast, in a much more quantitative behavioural analysis of individuals, Sharpe (2005) found no relationship between play behaviour in meerkats (Suricatta suricatta) and the time of subsequent dispersal in either females (who are evicted from the group) or males (who appear to leave on their own).

Recent advances in the application of social network analysis to studying animal behaviour (Krause et al. 2007; Croft et al. 2008; Wey et al. 2008; Whitehead 2008) provide a timely opportunity to re-evaluate the social cohesion hypothesis. Social network statistics allow us to quantify different attributes of social relationships in a consistent way. Individuals in a social group have direct relationships when they are observed to interact. However, other attributes of sociality that incorporate indirect interactions, such as how embedded individuals are in their social group, may also have consequences. For instance, the probability of getting a sexually transmitted disease not only depends on with whom one has sex, but also with whom one’s sex partner had sex and the entire network of sexual relationships (Liljeros et al. 2003). It may be beneficial for subordinates to interact with those who interact with dominant animals.

If social relations influence dispersal decisions, then the extent to which an individual is integrated into a social group should predict its likelihood of dispersal. Moody & White (2003) defined a measure of social embeddedness based on social integration. Briefly, in a network of nodes and ties, a path is an alternating sequence of contiguous nodes and ties beginning and ending with a node, in which no node occurs more than once. Paths are node-independent if they share no nodes (figure 1). A k-component is a maximal subset of nodes in which all nodes are mutually reachable by at least k node-independent paths using only nodes in the subset. Maximality means that no other node can be added to the set while ensuring that all members still be k connected (figure 1). A node's social embeddedness is the largest k-component to which it belongs (for detailed
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Figure 1. A simple network illustrating concepts used in determining embeddedness.

**Node-independent paths.** There is at least one path between every node. There are also at least two node-independent paths between each of nodes 1–5. For example, between nodes 1 and 3, there are four distinct paths (1 2 3; 1 2 5 4 3; 1 5 2 3; and 1 5 4 3) but only two node-independent paths because node 2 appears in all the first three and node 5 appears in all the last three. The two node-independent paths are 1 2 3 and 1 5 4 3. As another example, there are three node-independent paths between nodes 2 and 5 (directly between them, through 1 and through 3 and 4).

**H-components.** All six nodes are mutually reachable through at least one node-independent path and hence are a one-component. Nodes 1–5 are mutually reachable by two node-independent paths and since all these paths use only nodes 1–5, they are a two-component. While nodes 2 and 5 are connected by three node-independent paths, if we consider only nodes 2 and 5, only one path exists between them. The other two paths use other nodes beyond the set of 2 and 5, hence they are not a three-component. Therefore, node 6 has embeddedness of 1, and all other nodes have embeddedness of 2. Note that embeddedness captures a distinctly different aspect of sociality than does degree (the number of ties a node has). Node 6 has degree 1, nodes 1 and 3 have degree 2, and nodes 2, 4 and 5 have degree 3.

We extend the concept of social embeddedness as a measure of an animal’s integration into a social group, which should be relevant to many behavioral decisions. From the standpoint of an individual facing a dispersal decision, the more independent connections it has to others in a group, the stronger its membership or integration into that group and the less likely it should be to disperse. Conversely, an individual with no or few independent connections will be a peripheral member and may be more likely to disperse. It remains an empirical question as to whether a measure of direct interactions only, a measure of connectedness that includes indirect relations, or a combination of both types of relationships influence dispersal; and, if they all do, which is the most important. By applying formal network analyses, it will be possible to test Bekoff’s social cohesion hypothesis in a novel and, perhaps, more revealing way.

We studied how social relationships influenced subsequent dispersal in yearling yellow-bellied marmots (*Marmota flaviventris*), a facultatively social rodent. Marmots are particularly well suited for this study because approximately 50 per cent of female yearlings and most male yearlings disperse (Armitage 1991; Van Vuren & Armitage 1994), and previous studies suggest that sociality and kinship influence dispersal (Armitage 1986a). Thus, if the social cohesion hypothesis explained patterns of dispersal in marmots, we expected that social relationships would be relatively more important for the facultatively dispersing females than for the more obligately dispersing males. Marmots engage in a variety of social interactions, including, but not limited to, play behaviour (Nowicki & Armitage 1979), and they are easily observed early in the season, the time of year when most social interactions occur. Thus, by formally quantifying both direct measures of interactions and how well individuals were embedded in their group, we are able to test the social cohesion hypothesis of dispersal in marmots.

### 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Studies were conducted with free-living yellow-bellied marmots in the East River Valley in and around the Rocky Mountain Biological Laboratory in Gunnison County, CO, a location where marmots have been studied since 1962 (Blumstein et al. 2006). Marmots were live-trapped and permanently marked for identification with unique ear tags, weighed, and uniquely marked on their dorsal pelage with black Nyanzol dye for observation from afar. Marmots were observed from distances that did not interfere with their normal behaviour; distances depended on the social group and ranged from 20 to 150 m.

Marmots emerge from hibernation in April and May, and are active in the morning and late afternoon. Between mid-April and August from 2003 to 2007, during most mornings and some afternoons (07:00–10.00 and 16:00–19:00 h respectively, the periods of peak marmot activity; Armitage 1962), we used all occurrence sampling of social interactions during focal group watches to identify the initiator, the recipient and the individual who was displaced following all bouts of social behaviour (detailed ethogram in the electronic supplementary material). For analysis, we combined all affiliative behaviour (allogrooming, forage together within 1 m, greet, sit <1 m apart, play and sniff anogenital region), and all agonistic behaviour (aggression, displacement), into two categories—affiliative and agonistic. In those (few) cases where we could not distinguish between a bout of affiliative or agonistic behaviour, we removed the interaction from the dataset.

From the set of social interactions observed between emergence from hibernation and pup emergence (the time of year by which yearlings typically disperse), we calculated unweighted (i.e. binary) and weighted (i.e. based on the number of observed interactions) pair-wise interaction matrices separately for the set of all affiliative interactions, all agonistic interactions and then the subsets of yearling–yearling and yearling–adult affiliative and agonistic interactions. We broke down social interactions into these categories for the following reasons. First, the social cohesion hypothesis specifically focuses on affiliative interactions. However, we wished to examine also the set of agonistic interactions alone, to see if either was correlated with dispersal. Second, we wished to explore the importance of relationships between older and younger animals. While the social cohesion hypothesis (as Bekoff envisioned it) focused more on yearling–yearling interactions, adult–yearling interactions could also be associated with dispersal if adults actively evicted yearlings. We did not subdivide interactions by sex, but rather focused on age cohort because the social cohesion hypothesis makes no specific predictions about the nature of male–female relationships within or between age cohorts. We focused on four colony sites, and defined social networks within them annually; our data consist of 20 colony-years. At each colony site, marmots shared burrows and space with a subset of all possible individuals and we refer to these as social groups; our data consist of 73 social group-years. We justify treating groups differently...
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We observed 15,149 social interactions that could be classified as affiliative or agonistic. From these observations we calculated interaction matrices. Overall, social factors, particularly those that described the number and embeddedness of individuals with whom they interacted, significantly explained up to 31 per cent of the variation in the likelihood of female dispersal: more integrated females were less likely to disperse (electronic supplementary material, tables 1 and 2; figure 2).
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hence, group size, acting through its effect on social interactions, might independently influence dispersal probability. Not all individuals in the colonies spend the same amount of time together, so colonies may be further subdivided into social groups. Social group size was defined based on burrow-use overlap (i.e. animals detected using the same burrows both through observations and trapping). We used SOCPROG v. 2.3 (Whitehead 2009) to calculate the simple ratio, and when values exceeded 0.5 we classified animals as in the same social group (details in Nanayakkara & Blumstein 2003). Two other measures addressed the opportunity or constraints on social interactions: the number of other yearlings of the same sex in the colony and the number of adults of the same sex in the colony.

Because our measures of binary degree and embeddedness were highly correlated (r-values often exceeded 0.8), building models with all three or subsets of two variables in them created a multicollinearity problem. We therefore elected to fit separate models with our potentially important covariates and included unweighted degree, weighted degree or embeddedness in each model.

Marmots typically disperse before the young of the year emerge from their natal burrows (Armitage 1991). Because this date varied by year and by colony site, we conservatively defined dispersed individuals as those that were seen (and therefore known to be alive) earlier in the year and were no longer seen later in the year up to 10 days after the date of a colony site’s first pup emergence. Animals known to be dead (from predation or early-season starvation) were not included in our analyses, but we acknowledge that some disappearances could result from undetected mortality.

To study factors that influenced the likelihood of dispersal, we fitted a set of logistic regression models in SPSS v. 16 (SPSS, Inc. 2007) to explain the likelihood of dispersal in yearling females (n = 130, of which 55 dispersed) and yearling males (n = 149, of which 103 dispersed). Data for these analyses resulted from 3,746 h of direct observations. For each model, we reported the percentage correctly predicted and used these values to calculate the adjusted count pseudo-$R^2$ value (Long 1997) as a descriptive measure to identify which types of interactions (affiliative or agonistic), and between which sets of individuals (all, yearling–yearling or adult–yearling), better accounted for dispersal. The adjusted count pseudo-$R^2$ value is not based on a specific likelihood model; thus it is comparable across different datasets. For a block of analyses—a block consists of a type of social interaction (affiliative or agonistic) between a set of individuals (all, yearling–yearling, adult–yearling) for a given sex—we used the Akaike information criterion to help identify the model within each of these blocks that best explained dispersal, and identified which variables significantly explained variation in dispersal, along with the direction of their effect. We report all the analyses to illustrate the pattern of results.

3. RESULTS

We observed 15,149 social interactions that could be classified as affiliative or agonistic. From these observations we calculated interaction matrices. Overall, social factors, particularly those that described the number and embeddedness of individuals with whom they interacted, significantly explained up to 31 per cent of the variation in the likelihood of female dispersal: more integrated females were less likely to disperse (electronic supplementary material, tables 1 and 2; figure 2).
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The best models were typically obtained when embeddedness was included, although embeddedness was not a significant predictor of dispersal in all cases. For females, analyses based on observations of affiliative interactions better explained the likelihood of dispersal than those based on agonistic interactions. Indeed, when we focused on the set of agonistic interactions, social group size, not network statistics, often predicted dispersal (i.e. animals in larger groups were less likely to disperse). This latter finding is not consistent with the hypothesis that competition may be important in female yearling dispersal.

Concisely less variation in male dispersal (<9%) was explained by measured variables (electronic supplementary material, table 1). In no case was a model based on observations of yearling males significant. In those cases where variables significantly explained the likelihood of male dispersal, weighted degree explained more variation than unweighted degree or embeddedness. In models based on the set of observed agonistic interactions, yearling–yearling interactions seem important, but, again, as with females, males in larger groups are less likely to disperse. In many cases, no social variables significantly predicted yearling male dispersal.

4. DISCUSSION

As predicted by Bekoff’s social cohesion hypothesis, the nature of social relationships influenced the pattern of yearling female dispersal: well-embedded females were less likely to disperse. Models with unweighted degree explained slightly more variation than those with weighted degree. However, models with embeddedness systematically explained more variation in the likelihood of dispersal than either measure calculated from only direct interactions, suggesting that indirect interactions are important. Moreover, affiliative relationships explained more variation in the likelihood of dispersal than agonistic relationships. This suggests that agonistic relationships may be relatively less important for predicting dispersal in this system. Hence, female marmots appear to disperse not because they are evicted following agonistic interactions with others, but rather because they have not developed strong affiliative ties with others (see also Bekoff 1977). By contrast, we found that social factors are relatively less important in explaining variation in the likelihood of yearling male dispersal, and variation in yearling male dispersal remains mostly unexplained.

We believe this is because most yearling males ultimately disperse in this system, regardless of social factors. For females, however, we believe that our findings provide the strongest quantitative support yet for Bekoff’s (1977) social cohesion hypothesis.

This study is novel for dispersal studies because direct and indirect attributes of social relationships were identified by calculating formal social network statistics from interaction matrices. By using network statistics, we were able to identify specific aspects of sociality that were most important in determining female dispersal. For female marmots, we found that being part of a more cohesive subgroup was more important than simply interacting with more individuals or interacting more frequently. Formal social network analyses have begun to shed novel insights into key players in social groups (Flack et al. 2006), the development of social skills (Ryder et al. 2008), the differences in social organization among species (Sundaresan et al. 2007) and applied welfare management (McCowan et al. 2008). Our findings join these, and other, recent discoveries that illustrate the utility of applying formal social network analyses to study animal behaviour (Croft et al. 2008; Wey et al. 2008).

Our main finding about dispersal tendencies of female marmots differs from Armitage’s (1986b) report that in large colony sites, adult females can avoid interacting...
with their young and thus may be more likely to recruit daughters. We found that yearling females who had more interactions with adults (who were likely to be mostly relatives) were more likely to remain in the group. However, Armitage (1991) also summarized a series of studies that demonstrated that socia lable adult females (as measured in a mirror-image-stimulation test) were more likely to recruit young to their group. Perhaps some of the difference in these studies is the target of study: our study focused on the potential disperser and demonstrates that females who have both direct and indirect affiliative interactions with others are more likely to remain in their natal social group, while Armitage focused on attributes of females who recruited daughters.

Overall, for female (but not male) marmots, we found convincing support of Bekoff’s (1977) social cohesion hypothesis, and in the few cases where interactions explained male dispersal, results were consistent with the social cohesion hypothesis. Criticisms of the social cohesion hypothesis (e.g. Smith 1982) highlight Bekoff’s initial focus (Bekoff 1977; but see Bekoff 1984) on the importance of play behaviour as a route to social cohesion. Indeed, Sharpe (2005) questioned whether play was even a type of affiliative behaviour because rates of play behaviour were not correlated with rates of affiliative allogrooming behaviour in meerkats. Our support for the social cohesion hypothesis in female yearlings is strong because we did not focus exclusively on play, but rather on all types of interactions. Our statistical models that included all affiliative interactions explained more variation in yearling dispersal than those that focused on agonistic interactions. Our results thus parallel previous work showing that aggression was not always the proximate cause of dispersal in a variety of species (reviewed in Bekoff 1977).

Clearly, social cohesion does not explain all the variation in the likelihood of female dispersal, and it explains extremely limited variation in the likelihood of male dispersal. Thus, these results are a call for more comprehensive future research on the proximate determinants of dispersal. Our conclusions can be rigorously tested in other social systems. We expect that social relationships will predict variation in dispersal when there is equal opportunity to stay or leave (as with yearling females in our system), but not when there is little variation in the number of individuals who disperse (as with yearling males in our system). We did not investigate the predictive value of different types of interactions within ‘affiliative’ and ‘agonistic’ groupings; future studies could focus on the roles that particular behaviours may play in determining dispersal.

The proximate causes underlying dispersal have important implications for conservation behaviour (Blumstein & Fernández-Juricic 2004) because time allocated to social behaviour may be reduced when animals are disturbed by anthropogenic disturbances (Pollard & Blumstein 2008). Thus, it is important to understand better the role that social relationships play in dispersal. For species where social cohesion influences dispersal, anthropogenic disturbances that may reduce the number and kind of social interactions could lead to increased rates of dispersal. Increased dispersal might reduce local adaptation (Postma & van Noordwijk 2005), as well as having deleterious effects on complex, yet adaptive, social behaviours (e.g. Chapais et al. 2001; Holmes 2001).

Our results are also necessarily correlative. While it might be possible to manipulate the nature and number of social relationships, such social deprivation experiments create a variety of problems in their interpretation (Bekoff 1976; Pellis 2002). Nevertheless, employing formal social network analyses on a variety of other species will probably help us develop novel insights into the proximate correlates of dispersal and better evaluate the importance of social cohesion on dispersal.
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