Climate change intensification of herbivore impacts on tree recruitment
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Altered species interactions are difficult to predict and yet may drive the response of ecological communities to climate change. We show that declining snowpack strengthens the impacts of a generalist herbivore, elk (Cervus elaphus), on a common tree species. Thick snowpack substantially reduces elk visitation to sites; aspen (Populus tremuloides) shoots in these areas experience lower browsing rates, higher survival and enhanced recruitment. Aspen inside herbivore exclosures have greatly increased recruitment, particularly at sites with thick snowpack. We suggest that long-term decreases in snowpack could help explain a widespread decline of aspen through previously unconsidered relationships. More generally, reduced snowpack across the Rocky Mountains, combined with rising elk populations, may remove the conditions needed for recruitment of this ecologically important tree species. These results highlight that herbivore behavioural responses to altered abiotic conditions are critical determinants of plant persistence. Predictions of climate change impacts must not overlook the crucial importance of species interactions.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Interactions among organisms define the distinction between fundamental and realized niches and are expected to be of considerable importance in determining species-level responses to climate change [1,2]. A large literature has focused on direct organismal responses to changes in climate [3,4], but it is increasingly clear that changes in ecological communities [2,5] and the persistence of particular species [6] may be at least as strongly determined by altered species interactions as by direct physiological impacts. Predicting species’ responses to projected climate change is, by necessity, limited to species distribution models or environmental niche models; these are beginning to incorporate species interactions, although focusing mainly on competition or facilitation [7–9].

Further complicating species-level predictions, trophic interactions can greatly influence plant and animal abundance, and the strength of these interactions can be altered by climate change. Thus, by affecting the strength of a trophic interaction, climate change can indirectly influence abundance. Climate change can influence animal foraging behaviour, thereby affecting how strongly they interact with their resources; these novel behavioural interactions can have implications for ecosystem function [10], though they may be difficult to predict in advance. For example, reduced snowpack levels have either strongly increased [6] or decreased [11] the strength of trophic interactions in different temperate-zone systems.
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experimentally addressed the interplay between snow and herbivory on plant demography. We focus here on ramet rather than genet demography since generation of new aspen stems in our study region is almost entirely through clonal (asexual) sprouting rather than seedling establishment [15].

2. METHODS

We stratified the winter range of the northern Yellowstone elk herd (approx. 1500 km²; 1500–2000 m elevation) into approximately equal-sized sub-units and randomly chose aspen stands within each stratum in autumn 2007, for a total of 104 stands. At most of these stands, we marked five randomly selected aspen vegetative suckers with unique identification tags. At a subset of 10 aspen stands, we marked individual suckers within six clusters (usually approx. six to eight suckers per cluster) and built wire ungulate exclosures over three clusters at each site in early November (autumn) of each year, removing the exclosures in late May (spring).

We measured the height and the survival of all suckers in autumn 2007, spring 2008, autumn 2008 and spring 2009. When suckers died, new suckers were marked to keep the total number of tagged suckers constant at each site.

We used the Langur snow model [23,24] to predict the peak snow water equivalent (SWE) at each site during each winter. SWE integrates snowpack depth and density and is an important predictor of ungulate behaviour and movement in winter (cf. [19,20]). Langur represents daily snowpack accumulation and ablation across a spatially heterogeneous landscape. Precipitation and temperature inputs are interpolated from nearby climate-measuring stations, and spatial drivers of snowpack variation such as forest cover and wind exposure are derived from topographic mapping and Landsat remote sensing estimates [25]. The model was validated against a random sample of snowpack measurements throughout Yellowstone by Watson et al. [23].

We used camera traps to assess elk usage of sites as a function of SWE. We deployed cameras at a subset of 17 of the aspen demography sites in 2007–2008 and 19 sites in 2008–2009. Sites were haphazardly chosen to straddle a gradient in snowfall, but with no prior knowledge of site-specific browsing rates or elk usage. We used Reconyx RM45 camera traps that were active 24 h a day. We assessed the daily probability of site visitation by elk as a function of daily predicted SWE using logistic regression, controlling for within-site correlations using a clustered variance design [26].

We assessed winter (1 November–31 May), summer (1 June–31 October) and annual (1 November–31 October) sucker growth using general linear models (GLMs) and annual survival using logistic regression survival analysis. We used the following predictor variables in all models: sucker height (cm), predicted maximum SWE (cm) averaged over a 100 m radius from the centroid of the site, SWE2, exclosure (binary) and an SWE × exclosure interaction term. Quadratic SWE terms were included because data from other systems suggest that plant productivity can peak at intermediate snowpack levels [12]. For sucker growth, the sampling unit was mean height change at a given site, whereas the survival analysis was conducted at the level of individual suckers; however, we used a clustered variance design to account for within-stand correlations in vital rates [26]. We used backward-elimination stepwise regression to ascertain which predictor variables significantly affected growth and survival, with a cut-off for removal from the model of $p \geq 0.05$.

We also estimated the site-specific probability of suckers recruiting to the ‘less-browsable height’ of 200 cm. Elk occasionally straddle and push over aspen stems even taller than this; yet, browsing is considerably reduced beyond the threshold of approximately 200 cm [16,27]. We estimated the annual probability of recruitment using the equation (from Crouse et al. [28]):

$$P_{\text{recruitment}} = \frac{(S^d)(1 - S)}{(1 - S^d)},$$

where $S$ is site-specific annual survival and $d$ is the duration (in years) a sucker would stay in the ‘sucker’ stage class before reaching 200 cm; $d = 200/G$, where $G$ is the site-specific annual growth rate in centimetre. We estimated the variance in $P_{\text{recruitment}}$ as a function of the variance in $S$ and $G$ using the delta method.

In our study on the effects of climate and herbivory on aspen, we did not assess the potentially confounding influence of wolves, which certain previous studies have suggested could alter elk browsing behaviour (e.g. [29]). Recent work showed no influence of spatial variation in wolf predation risk on aspen survival or growth in Yellowstone [16], and little influence of wolves on the broad-scale winter distribution of elk [20,30,31]. Likewise, elk browsing on willow in Yellowstone is more strongly affected by snow conditions than by wolves [32].

3. RESULTS

Over a total of 2434 camera-trap-days in the winter of 2007–2008 and 3214 trap-days in 2008–2009, the daily probability of sites being visited by elk declined significantly with increasing SWE (partial $p < 0.01$; figure 1). The daily probability of site visitation was also lower for mature male elk than for females and immature males combined ($p = 0.04$; figure 1). This difference is likely due to mature males being less abundant than other age/sex classes, rather than differential responses to snow, since the SWE × male interaction term was not significant.

Across 104 aspen stands, height change of vegetative suckers during winter was negative at nearly all sites due to ungulate browsing, but was positive during the summer (figure 2). SWE significantly reduced winter height loss from browsing (table 1), but also significantly reduced vertical height gains during the following summer by nearly the same magnitude, probably because longer
4. DISCUSSION

This study demonstrates that the long-term decline of snowpack in northern Yellowstone may have helped reduce the prevalence of ideal conditions for aspen recruitment. While increased annual snowfall reduces growth rate of adult aspen [17], our data suggest that recruitment of vegetative suckers to less-browsable height classes is strongly enhanced by thick snowpack. Though snowpack has no net effect on annual sucker growth, thick snowpack reduces elk browsing pressure and enhances sucker survival, which increases recruitment probabilities (figure 3b). Climate change can affect aspen survival directly through impacting sucker survival, and indirectly by altering the strength of herbivory (figure 3a), but can also affect herbivore abundance. Indeed, though the elk population in northern Yellowstone itself has declined since the late 1990s [33], elk populations in many other localities in the Rocky Mountains are predicted to increase [13,34] owing to reduced winter snowpack across much of the western United States [35]. Thus, across the northern Rocky Mountain region, aspen recruitment could be precluded owing to more intense herbivory combined with changed abiotic conditions. Indeed, winters in northern Yellowstone are currently drier than they have been throughout much of the Holocene [36], and certainly more xeric than in the late 1800s and early 1900s [37] when many of the extant aspen stands in the area originated [16]. Conditions for aspen recruitment may thus face a future with few historical analogues under further climate change.

The impacts of herbivory on aspen are shaped by elk behavioural responses to snowpack. Though deep snow is known to drive elk to lower elevations [38], our results are the first to show that such distributional changes can affect the demography and persistence of plant populations. This could be an important mechanism by which climate change affects plant persistence, though one that has hitherto received very little attention. Changing snowpack conditions could also affect plants through altering nutrient and soil conditions or physical damage to stems [39].

Our study also reinforces that herbivory can strongly modify plant responses to climate change in general. Numerous studies have assessed how changing abiotic conditions could directly impact particular species through ecophysiological impacts (e.g. [40,41]). Yet, responses to climate change may be much more strongly determined by altered species interactions than by direct physiological responses (e.g. [2,5]). Our results suggest that, in the absence of browsing, reduced snowpack in the Rocky Mountains could lead to a substantial decline in aspen recruitment (figure 3). This impact is even greater when herbivory is considered; indeed, herbivory at current levels substantially limits recruitment.

Although herbivory and changing abiotic conditions are both likely to influence plant populations, herbivory may be the more important factor of the two. In our study, the
Table 1. Coefficients (with 95% CIs) from final models of stepwise regressions; all models and parameters are significant at $\alpha = 0.05$. All models started with sucker height (cm), snow water equivalent (SWE; in cm), $\text{SWE}^2$, exclosure (binary), and an $\text{SWE} \times$ exclosure interaction term. Column headings and underlined sub-headings indicate independent and dependent variables, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SWE</th>
<th>exclosure</th>
<th>$\text{SWE} \times$ exclosure</th>
<th>constant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>winter height change</td>
<td>0.46 (0.25, 0.66)</td>
<td>14.88 (11.96, 17.81)</td>
<td>-13.86 (-19.91, -7.81)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer height change</td>
<td>-0.48 (-0.87, -0.10)</td>
<td>30.94 (23.36, 38.52)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>annual height change</td>
<td>10.16 (4.33, 15.99)</td>
<td>7.18 (5.29, 9.06)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>annual survival</td>
<td>0.02 (0.01, 0.02)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.01, 0.06)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.01, 0.08)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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