No evidence for directional evolution of body mass in herbivorous theropod dinosaurs
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The correlation between large body size and digestive efficiency has been hypothesized to have driven trends of increasing mass in herbivorous clades by means of directional selection. Yet, to date, few studies have investigated this relationship from a phylogenetic perspective, and none, to our knowledge, with regard to trophic shifts. Here, we reconstruct body mass in the three major subclades of non-avian theropod dinosaurs whose ecomorphology is correlated with extrinsic evidence of at least facultative herbivory in the fossil record—all of which also achieve relative gigantism (more than 3000 kg). Ordinary least-squares regressions on natural log-transformed mean mass recover significant correlations between increasing mass and geological time. However, tests for directional evolution in body mass find no support for a phylogenetic trend, instead favouring passive models of trait evolution. Cross-correlation of sympatric taxa from five localities in Asia reveals that environmental influences such as differential habitat sampling and/or taphonomic filtering affect the preserved record of dinosaurian body mass in the Cretaceous. Our results are congruent with studies documenting that behavioural and/or ecological factors may mitigate the benefit of increasing mass in extant taxa, and suggest that the hypothesis can be extrapolated to herbivorous lineages across geological time scales.

1. Introduction

The ability of herbivores to subsist on a high-fibre diet requires a complex interplay of anatomical and physiological adaptations [1–4]. In extant herbivorous tetrapods, these adaptations include an endosymbiotic relationship with cellulolytic microbes necessary for the digestion of poor-quality plant materials [5]. Such a reliance on microbial fermentation is thought to have placed constraints on the evolution of herbivory in vertebrates. Specifically, natural selection is expected to favour any balance of traits and behaviours that lower mass-specific rate of energy expenditure and basal metabolic rate, while increasing retention time/absorption area for digesta and core body temperature in animals that consume low-quality food [6–9].

Increasing body mass (hereafter BM) has been identified as one strategy for achieving greater dietary efficiency in a variety of extant herbivorous vertebrate clades. For example, holding metabolic rates steady, the decreased surface area-to-volume ratio of large body size permits a higher body temperature with lower energy expenditure (gigantothermy) [10]. Likewise, increased gut volume (or, by proxy, BM) maximizes digestibility of fibrous plant material through elongation of the gastrointestinal tract and longer gut retention times in living herbivores [1,3,11,12], despite potential reductions in gut surface area-to-volume ratio [8] and potential intake limits [13]. Given this relationship, one would expect increasing body size to pose a selective advantage during the evolution of herbivorous tetrapods, and such a pattern has indeed been speculated for a myriad of taxa, including Palaeozoic amniotes [14], extant...
lizards [4,15], Palaeogene and modern mammals [16,17], and non-avian dinosaurs [6,18,19].

At face value, herbivorous dinosaurs appear to epitomize this process by ranking as the largest terrestrial vertebrates known [9,20]. However, quantitative attempts at understanding BM evolution in dinosaurs document complex patterns. While some herbivorous dinosaur clades may exhibit directional trends of increasing BM (e.g. Oviraptor [21]), others appear to exhibit trends towards miniaturization or passive expansion into larger bodied morphospace [19], or even stasis [22]. Thus, the role of herbivory in the evolution of dinosaur gigantism remains unclear [6]. Moreover, intrinsic and extrinsic factors, such as population density, predator avoidance, competition, food sorting and even geographical range [23], are known to mitigate the influence of body size by posing further constraints or permitting liberations from the typical solutions favoured by herbivorous taxa [7,12]. An additional problem lies in the fact that the initial dietary shift from carnivory to herbivory is poorly understood in the majority of dinosaur clades, rendering it difficult to test for increases in mass that relate to trophic shifts, as opposed to other factors, such as resource availability and/or competition between herbivores.

One exception to the latter problem is coelurosaurian theropod dinosaurs, whose fossil record has grown dramatically in recent years owing to an almost exponential rate of discovery [24]. Particularly significant are a number of primitive species that span key periods in the dietary evolution of Coelurosauria. These new discoveries substantiate a high degree of trophic diversity [25–28] and provide body size data, spanning the early stages of dietary transformation in theropod dinosaurs. Among coelurosaurian dinosaurs, the subclades Ornithomimosauria, Therizinosauria and Oviraptorosauria exhibit numerous traits that correlate with extrinsic evidence of herbivory, and probably demonstrate iterative evolution of the diet [26,28–32]; moreover, all three clades also achieve relative gigantism (more than 3000 kg). Although their precise position along the spectrum of omnivory to herbivory is unknown, we refer to them as herbivores here to reflect evidence for at least facultative herbivory. To date, the only quantitative test of BM evolution in Coelurosauria recovered a trend of decreasing rather than increasing size, with the exception of Therizinosauria [19]. Here, we reconstruct the evolution of BM in 47 species representing three major herbivorous coelurosaurian subclades (figure 1), and use model fitting to test for phylogenetic trends in BM evolution [21,33,34].

2. Material and methods

(a) Mass estimates and trees

We estimated BM using the theropod-specific equation relating BM to femoral length (FL) [35]. Although mass estimates are subject to large uncertainties [36], we chose to apply a single equation for consistency. For specimens lacking a complete
femur, we estimated FL from skeletal ratios in closely related and similarly sized taxa. Where proximate taxa differ markedly in size, we prioritized size class because scaling has a greater impact on bone proportions than ontogenetic distance [37]. Sensitivity tests deriving FL from linear regression of skeletal ratios found little impact on comparative results. Details on taxon sampling, skeletal measurements, mass estimates and sensitivity tests are provided in the electronic supplementary material, §S1. Uncertainty inherent in our BM estimates was evaluated during model testing (see below). To accommodate phylogenetic uncertainty, including different resolutions for taxa that occur in polytomy or have competing published phylogenetic positions, we sampled multiple tree topologies for each clade (see the electronic supplementary material, figures S1–S3). We anchored taxa using the most recent comprehensive analyses [38–40], variably excluded taxa whose referral to these clades is contentious and grafted unsampled taxa using other published studies [27,41–44]. Specific details for each clade are provided in the electronic supplementary material, §S2.

(b) Trend analyses

We analysed BM trends by comparing results from three prevailing methodologies. We first used a phylogenetic generalized least-squares (PGLS) approach (a parametric test that incorporates stratigraphically calibrated branch lengths, and is known to have good power and a low type 1 error rate [45]) with the continuous module [33] of the BAYESTRAITS OSX V1-1.0 software package, which allows sophisticated fitting of scaling parameters. Logtransformed BM was reconstructed using maximum likelihood under the standard constant-variance random walk (an approximated BM was reconstructed using maximum likelihood which allows sophisticated fitting of scaling parameters. Logratios (for nested models) and tested for significance using Friedmann under the null hypothesis and held constant under the software PAST [53] to determine whether the observed fit between curves is significantly better than other possible fits.

3. Results

(a) Body mass estimates

Therizinosaurs were unusually large for coelurosaurian theropods, with a mean body mass of 1451 kg, a body mass range of 6620 kg and greatest mean mass in the Maastrichtian (figure 1d–f). The therizinosaurids Therizinosaurus (6647 kg) and Nanxiongmosaurus brevispinus (6280 kg) rank among the largest coelurosaurians, rivalling the mass of most large-bodied tyrannosaurids [35], whereas the therizinosaurus Segnosaurus and Suzhouosaurus also achieved massive size (more than 1200 kg). Lower limits on BM in therizinosaurs are also comparatively high. The smallest taxon, Besanosaurus (27 kg), is one to three orders of magnitude heavier than the smallest member of all other coelurosaurian subclades except Tyrannosauroidea. Ornithomimosaurians were predominantly large-bodied, with more than half of species weighing over 100 kg and a BM range nearly equivalent to Therizinosaurus (6002 kg). One putative taxon (Deinonychus) is likewise estimated to have exceeded 6 tonnes. However, mean BM for the clade (644 kg) is still less than half of Therizinosauria. The basal taxa Pelecanimimus and Shenzhouosaurus are the smallest (12 kg) and some of the oldest ornithomimosaurians known. Mean body mass for the clade is greatest during the Maastrichtian, when Deinonychus and Gallimimus appear (figure 1a–c). Oviraptorosaurians exhibit about half the range (3243 kg) observed in the other two clades, yet a significantly lower mean (213 kg) than either ornithomimosaurians or therizinosaurs. Mass is again greatest in the Maastrichtian, when binned by stage (figure 1g,i); however, the largest oviraptorosaurian Gigantoraptor (3246 kg) occurs in the Campanian.

(b) Phylogenetic trends

Under no combination of clade topology and branch scaling did a trend model offer a best fit for BM evolution as determined by average Akaike weight across multiple topologies (table 1). Brownian motion is the best-fitting model for Oviraptorosauria and Therizinosauria, and when summed across all herbivorous theropod clades, whereas stasis is favoured for Ornithomimosauria. Trends, kappa and early burst models yield the worst fit overall (less than 15%; table 1). These results are congruent with model testing using PGLS as implemented in BAYESTRAITS (table 2), which also offers little to no support for a directional trend in BM evolution.
within herbivorous theropods. Values for the \(K\)-statistic [48] are sensitive to branch scaling and tree topology; however, all three clades return significant \(K\)-values using both branch length scaling protocols (table 1), indicating that the application of comparative methods is justified and could provide support for trends if they are present. These results
are robust to changes in branch scaling protocol, but show some sensitivity to topology, especially lability in taxa of gigantic proportions. More poignantly, our sensitivity analyses addressing uncertainty in body mass estimation heavily favour stasis across all clades.

4. Discussion

(a) Body mass evolution and ‘Cope’s rule’

Phyletic size increase resulting from directional evolution, also known as ‘Cope’s rule’, has been proposed for a number of fossil vertebrate clades regardless of dietary preference, including Dinosauria [54] and several subsidiary herbivorous clades [19,21,55], though notably not Coelurosauria [19]. Our estimates indicate that herbivorous theropod lineages repeatedly and independently evolved enormous body sizes that approached the known maxima for non-avian theropods (figure 1). We also recover a significant correlation between increasing BM and geological time in all three subclades (table 3; see the electronic supplementary material, §S8). However, model fitting suggests that these patterns are not attributable to directional selection. These observations are generally consistent with several other recent studies that have found weak to no support for Cope’s rule in a variety of extant [56,57] and extinct [22,58] clades. The sum of our analyses supports random and static processes as descriptors of BM evolution in Oviraptorosauria, Therizinosauria and Ornithomimosauria.

Contrasts between purely temporal patterns and phylogenetic trends in our analyses are most conservatively attributable to the small size of basal clade members and the late occurrence of larger clade members. The largest therizinosaurian and ornithomimosaurian derive from the Maastrichtian Nemegt Formation (approx. 69 Ma), whereas small, ancestral forms such as the oviraptorosaurs Caudipteryx and Protarcheopteryx, the ornithomimosaurian Shenzhousaurus and the therizinosaurian Beipiaosaurus all derived from the Barremian/Aptian Yixian Formation of northeastern China (approx. 125 Ma), which

(b) Environmental, behavioural and physiological factors

The potential increase in digestive efficiency that accompanies larger mass in extant herbivores, together with a lack of oral processing in herbivorous coelurosaurians (which in general lack tooth occlusion) would be expected to drive trends of increasing BM in these clades. Nevertheless, a recent study found stasis to be the favoured model to describe body size evolution in three herbivorous archosaurian lineages (Aetosauria, Ornithischia and Sauropodomorpha) [22], a pattern we also recover for Ornithomimosauria, as well as sensitivity tests incorporating uncertainty in body mass estimation. Our point estimate analyses strongly favour stasis or the ‘Stanley effect’ [60] in our results. Random exploitation of morphospace from smaller-bodied ancestors (i.e. passive diffusion or the ‘Stanley effect’ [60]) has been noted for all of Dinosauria and Saurischia [19]. However, the observation of a trend towards miniaturization in Coelurosauria [19] is not consistent with our finer-scaled analyses for three coelurosaurian subclades, although such a trend may ultimately be found to characterize clades closer to the avian line (e.g. Paraves) [61] (but see Butler & Goswami [58] for alternate results).

### Table 3. Ordinary least-squares regression data for body mass evolution in the omnivorous/herbivorous bird-like dinosaur clades Ornithomimosauria, Therizinosauria and Oviraptorosauria. Number of stages or Ma with data per clade, goodness of fit ($r^2$) and $p$-values for regression equations shown. For Ma age estimates see the electronic supplementary material, §S3. $p$-values calculated using F-test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clade</th>
<th>no. X (stage or Ma) values</th>
<th>$r^2$</th>
<th>$p$-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ornithomimosauria*</td>
<td>maximum geological stage (oldest age)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>minimum geological stage (youngest age)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.1901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>actual or mean Ma*</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.2950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therizinosauria*</td>
<td>maximum geological stage (oldest age)*</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.6942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>minimum geological stage (youngest age)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.8162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>actual or mean Ma*</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.2502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oviraptorosauria*</td>
<td>maximum geological stage (oldest age)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.8054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum geological stage (youngest age)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.8451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Actual or mean Ma*</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.2899</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05.
Figure 2. Parallel patterns of body mass change over time in the sympatric herbivorous coelurosaurian clades Therizinosauria and Ornithomimosauria. Data collected for: (1) Liaoning Fm., China, Early Cretaceous, 125 Ma; (2) Huren Dukh, Shinekhudag Fm., Mongolia, Early Cretaceous, 131 Ma; (3) Bayshin Tsav, Bayanshiree Fm., Mongolia, Late Cretaceous, 95 Ma; (4) Iren Dabasu Fm., China, Late Cretaceous, 75 Ma; and (5) Nemegt Fm., Mongolia, Late Cretaceous, 68 Ma. Mean mass used for multi-taxic localities (e.g. Iren Dabasu). Curves compared by cross-correlation [53].

Alternatively, or in conjunction, preservational and sampling biases [64] in the fossil record may be obscuring true BM patterns in theropod dinosaurs.

We find some quantitative evidence for the latter of these influences. Our data reveal that sympatric taxa collected from the same fossil localities (i.e. habitats, preservational regimes) often cluster by relative BM. Cross-correlation analysis of sympatric ornithomimosaurian and therizinosaurian taxa from five Cretaceous localities in Asia (figure 2) indicates that the observed correlation between BM profiles by fossil locality is both good ($r = 0.885$) and significantly ($p = 0.045$) better than any fit that involves a lag between curves. The closely matched oscillations in BM over time for two sympatric, herbivorous coelurosaurian taxa support a strong taphonomic and/or ecological signal in the data; specifically, bias in the form of differential habitat selection or sampling. The presence of two differently sized species in each of two Mongolian formations that preserve sympatric therizinosaurians is consistent with niche partitioning owing to competition [62]. Qualitative comparisons with other sympatric dinosaur clades, for example, within the relatively mesic Nemegt Formation (with its exceptionally large members of coelurosaurian, hadrosaurid and ankylosaurid clades) also lend support to ecology as a factor in body size sampling. Although based on small sample sizes, these data support the notion that preservational and taphonomic effects are superimposed on BM evolutionary trends.

Parsing the effect of biological signals in the data is far more complex. All of the taxa considered here weigh far more than the proposed 1 kg lower limit in BM for high-fibre herbivory [17]. There is a limit to the amount of energy that can be derived from longer retention times [65], and higher food intake offers a beneficial trade-off with increasing retention times in animals with high metabolic needs [13]. Thus, it is possible that some taxa in our sample exceeded the maximum size at which vertebrates experience strong physiological selection for increased mass, or that higher metabolic costs favoured greater intake at the expense of passage time. Alternatively, digestibility may have been maximized by implementation of several strategies documented in extant taxa: (i) increasing gut surface area-to-volume ratio through increased surface complexity [8]; (ii) maintaining higher body temperatures through increased insulation or behavioural modifications (a strategy employed by the diverse lizard family Liolaemidae [7]); (iii) food sorting and omnivory [12]; or (iv) increased processing in the gastric mill, a trait present in many herbivorous theropod clades [66]. Finally, upper limits on BM are likely to be bounded by resource availability [9,13], a limitation expected to vary seasonally, by habitat [62] and across geologic time [67]. All of these factors are known to mitigate the presumed benefits of large mass in extant herbivores and together argue against the presence of a simple linear trend of BM evolution in extinct herbivorous clades. As a final point, there were undoubtedly differences between and within sampled taxa with respect to degree and type of herbivory, including the potential for low-fibre omnivory. Such differences could modulate the strength of selection for increased BM in each clade, and cannot yet be ruled out as considerations for the patterns we observe here.
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