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The amount and nature of genetic variation available to natural selection affect the rate, course and outcome of evolution. Consequently, the study of the genetic basis of adaptive evolutionary change has occupied biologists for decades, but progress has been hampered by the lack of resolution and the absence of a genome-level perspective. Technological advances in recent years should now allow us to answer many long-standing questions about the nature of adaptation. The data gathered so far are beginning to challenge some widespread views of the way in which natural selection operates at the genomic level. Papers in this Special Feature of Proceedings of the Royal Society B illustrate various aspects of the broad field of adaptation genomics. This introductory article sets up a context and, on the basis of a few selected examples, discusses how genomic data can advance our understanding of the process of adaptation.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Evolution via natural selection is possible owing to heritable variation in phenotypic traits [1]. The amount and nature of genetic variation available to natural selection may affect the rate, course and outcome of evolution. Consequently, the question ‘What is the genetic basis of adaptive evolutionary change?’ has intrigued biologists for decades [2]. This general query consists of several empirically tractable and interconnected questions of fundamental importance for our understanding of evolutionary processes. One key question is whether adaptation occurs through the rapid fixation of new mutations or draws from standing genetic variation. If the latter is true, what are the mechanisms generating and maintaining adaptively relevant standing variation? The answer may differ for different organisms and traits, depending on the number of genes affecting the trait and the distribution of their fitness effects; this variability emphasizes the need to understand the genetic architecture of adaptive traits [3]. Genetic architecture involves not only the number of genes and the distribution of fitness effects, but also the interactions between alleles at various loci (epistasis), the degree to which variation in a particular gene affects multiple traits (pleiotropy), and the form (if any) of interactions between a genotype and environment. For example, epistasis may affect the chances that beneficial alleles will spread [4,5] and pleiotropy may constrain adaptive evolution [6]. A related and as yet unresolved controversy has long surrounded the relative importance of protein-coding versus cis-regulatory adaptive changes. Arguments in favour of both alternatives have been advanced, and the data that have been collected are still insufficient to provide quantitative answers [7–10].

While questions regarding the genetic basis of adaptive change may only be reliably answered using good-quality data, researchers, until recently, had been constrained by the lack of a genomic perspective. Enormous progress in high-throughput DNA sequencing technologies over the last few years has enabled researchers to sequence genomes at the population scale, thus providing unbiased, genome-wide records of evolutionary changes [11,12]. These advances have at last brought a truly genomic perspective to the study of adaptive evolutionary change. We hope that this Special Feature, which brings together several papers dealing with various aspects of adaptation genomics, will give the reader a taste of the most recent developments in the field. The few articles in this Special Feature certainly cannot cover all the genomic approaches used in the study of adaptation, nor could we do so in our introductory article. Rather, we briefly discuss a few examples that illustrate how genomic data can advance our understanding of the process of adaptation and refer interested readers to a number of excellent recent review articles summarizing specific topics.

2. A GENOMIC PERSPECTIVE ON ADAPTATION: A FEW EXAMPLES

(a) Loci of adaptation

There has been a long debate regarding the properties of genes underlying adaptive changes (see [13] for a review and historical perspective). The influential infinitesimal model proposed by Fisher assumes that adaptation occurs via mutations of very small effects arising in a very large number of genes. In the late 1980s and throughout the 1990s, this view was seemingly challenged by reports suggesting that quantitative trait loci (QTL) of large effects may be common [14–16]. Genomic resources should, in

* Author for correspondence (j.w.radwan@gmail.com).
One contribution to a Special Feature ‘Genomics of adaptation’.
principle, now allow us to replace anonymous marker-based QTLs with quantitative trait nucleotides (QTNs), the nucleotide substitutions associated with variation in quantitative traits. However, large-scale genome-wide association studies in humans have detected surprisingly few QTNs (reviewed in [17]), and those that have been found account for a very small fraction of variation in complex traits such as blood pressure [18], height [19] or susceptibility to common diseases [20]. Similarly, while many QTLs have been reported for Drosophila wing shape [21], the search for QTNs has largely failed, with the best candidate explaining only 1 per cent of variation [22]. One explanation for this apparent incongruence is that QTLs are located in regions of many linked QTNs of small effect [23]. This QTL/QTN inconsistency highlights the usage of genome assembly in inferring the genetic basis of adaptation. If single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with traits of interest cannot be placed onto reference genome sequence, it is impossible to distinguish causality from linkage and to infer effect sizes explained by individual SNPs.

While these new results do not invalidate well-documented cases of adaptation via large-effect genetic variants [24,25], complex quantitative traits, such as body size, longevity, fecundity and length of development, seem to have a highly polygenic basis. Even if common, these genes of very small effect may nonetheless be practically impossible to pinpoint even with huge datasets, a dilemma that emphasizes the need for new alternatives to the QTN approach [17].

(b) Genomics of adaptive change: hard and soft sweeps

The effectiveness of selection depends greatly on effective population size and recombination rate [26,27]; thus it is very probable that the process of adaptation will differ fundamentally in taxonomic groups differing in these parameters. Models of adaptive evolution have traditionally assumed adaptation from de novo mutations [13,28]. Indeed, as reviewed by Burke in this issue [29], such scenarios seem to fit the evolution of microorganisms reasonably well. Whole-genome sequencing in the course of bacterial experimental evolution allows researchers to trace the origin and dynamics of adaptive mutations. Most fixed mutations are beneficial [30,31], and fixations generally occur quickly, although multiple beneficial mutations may compete for fixation [32]. While the rate of adaptation in experimental evolution studies decreases with time, a result that may be linked to widespread negative epistasis [33], the rate of genomic evolution remains surprisingly constant [30]. However, in larger organisms, which typically have smaller effective population sizes, adaptation from standing variation may be commonplace (reviewed in [34]). Soft sweeps [29,35], in which beneficial QTNs occur in variable genetic backgrounds, may explain why clear genomic signatures of the fixation of newly arisen beneficial mutations are often not observed in obvious cases of adaptive evolution [36]. The long-term effective size of the human population seems comparable to those used in experimental evolution studies on non-microbial organisms (reviewed by Burke in this issue [29]), and, as in most human adaptations [37], complete selective sweeps are rarely observed in such experiments. Burke [29] discusses how the complex genomic landscape of adaptive changes revealed by the genomic analyses of selection experiments may be explained by a combination of soft, incomplete sweeps and subtle changes in allele frequencies that generate dramatic phenotypic changes in highly polygenic complex traits. She also proposes how experimental evolution studies can be modified to allow stringent testing of the effects of population size and recombination on the origins and fates of adaptive alleles.

(c) Parallel evolution

If genetic variation in certain traits is maintained by some form of balancing selection, or many polymorphisms exhibit conditional neutrality, repeated adaptation from standing genetic variation may result in parallel evolution. The genetic basis of parallel adaptations has intrigued researchers for years because its understanding may help answer questions about repeatability of evolution [38]. It appears that multiple outcomes are possible: identical polymorphisms repeatedly recruited from standing variation [39], independent adaptive mutations in a single gene or changes in various genes involved in particular pathways [25,31,34,40]. Conte et al. (this issue [41]) provide the first systematic assessment of the probability of the same gene being used by convergent or parallel evolutionary processes. The numbers are surprisingly high, with probability estimates of gene reuse ranging from 0.32 (based on genome-wide linkage studies) to 0.55 (based on candidate gene data). Rough calculations based on these estimates indicate that the effective number of genes available to adaptive evolution in such cases may typically be as small as two or three. Possible reasons for this low number may be the limited availability of adaptive mutations or effect sizes and mutation rates favouring repeated gene use. Apart from the recruitment of genes from standing genetic variation, which may increase the probability of gene reuse in closely related populations or taxa, other factors that limit the effective number of genes available for adaptation require further study. The study of Conte et al. [41] also highlights the usage of the genome-wide approach compared with the candidate-locus approach, as the latter appears to bias estimates upwards.

(d) Evolution of genomes

The architecture of the genome itself may be shaped by the action of natural selection or its relative inefficiency [26,42]. The excess DNA that appears in the genome as a consequence of the activity of selfish DNA elements such as introns or transposons is considered slightly deleterious (mutational-hazard hypothesis). In very large populations of microorganisms, selection effectively removes this excess DNA, whereas organisms with smaller effective population sizes accumulate excess DNA because drift overcomes weak purifying selection. Empirical evidence derived from genomic studies seems to support the mutational-hazard hypothesis ([43] but see [44,45]). The other side of the coin is, however, the possibility that extra DNA, which may be initially slightly deleterious but that persists owing to the inefficiency of selection, could then be recruited to serve as the basis for new adaptations [43].
Genome duplications have long been considered a major source of evolutionary novelty, since new functions can evolve by modification of one of the duplicated copies while the original function can be retained by the other copy [46]. The question remains as to how the duplicated copy is initially maintained. The traditional view that the duplication event is initially neutral has been challenged by Kondrashov et al. [47], who argued that duplication is likely to affect the dosage of the gene product. Kondrashov (this issue [48]) reviews the available evidence and concludes that, especially under stressful conditions, the higher level of expression resulting from gene duplication is indeed often beneficial. He encourages researchers to look for evidence of gene duplication when scrutinizing genomes for adaptation to stress.

3. PROSPECTS

As the articles in this Special Feature testify, this is an exciting time for the field of adaptation genomics. Long-standing questions can now be addressed at a scale and level of precision that we could have hardly imagined a decade ago, with new approaches providing some definite answers while also often revealing the limitations of our current thinking about adaptation genomics. This situation is well illustrated by studies of human evolutionary history: it was anticipated that extensive genomic and financial resources would help provide a detailed understanding of the molecular basis of numerous human adaptations and diseases. The picture emerging from several years of intense research is, however, somewhat sobering [20,36,61,62]. Candidate loci as well as genomic sweeps at lactase promoters in Europe and Africa [63,64] or genes underlying adaptation to high altitude [65]. Many scans for recent positive selection have been performed that have identified large portions of the genome as targets. However, most of the findings from individual studies have not been replicated and relatively few unequivocal targets of positive selection have been discovered in the human genome [61]. These findings contrast with the overwhelming evidence for recent adaptive phenotypic evolution in our species [36]. Adaptations have obviously been occurring, but they have not taken the form of classical selective sweeps [37]. The accumulating empirical evidence has led to renewed interest in population genetics models of adaptation from standing genetic variation, including soft sweeps [35], as well as an appreciation of the highly polygenic nature of most adaptations in humans [66].

Furthermore, genomic approaches offer completely new possibilities in the study of adaptation. For example, it has become feasible to infer selection and identify its phenotypic targets from genomic patterns of variation observed under various environmental conditions, which may be termed the ‘reverse ecology of adaptation’. The traditional genetics of adaptation linked observed phenotypic divergence to underlying genetic variation. The phenotypic traits associated with adaptation are not always easy to identify, especially in microorganisms. High-throughput sequencing technologies now allow an examination of whole-genome patterns of genetic diversity within and between populations and the identification of those genes that show signatures of recent positive selection and/or divergent adaptation between populations. For example, Ellison et al. [67] sequenced transcriptomes of 48 Neurospora crassa isolates and, on the basis of detected SNPs, identified two thus-far cryptic, recently diverged populations. Further high-resolution scans for divergent chromosomal regions identified areas that were enriched with genes involved in responses to low temperature. Indeed, experiments designed on the basis of these results showed that the cryptic populations differed in fitness at low temperatures. This study demonstrates that by scanning genomes from multiple populations, researchers may not only be able to identify genes responsible for local adaptation, but also learn about the adaptive phenotypes and selective pressures acting on them.

As evident from the reviews contained in this Special Feature, existing data already offer important insights into the genomic basis of adaptation, but generalization will require more genome-wide studies, ideally including a diverse range of taxa. For example, Kondrashov’s review [48] indicates that both data from additional study systems and progress in the genomic analysis of copy number variation are required to fully assess the role of gene duplications in adaptation. There are also areas of study, as argued in the papers by Nosil et al. [56] and Conte et al. [41], in which there are still simply too few data available from which to draw generalizations. In these areas, additional genomic information from suitable systems may speed progress in addressing questions of speciation genomics or the genomic nature of parallel adaptation. Carefully designed experimental evolution studies using new model systems, such as sexually reproducing microbes, may overcome the limitations of traditional approaches and allow a careful dissection of the process...
of adaptive evolution, as suggested by Burke [29]. Finally, the sequencing of the genomes of many non-model organisms will allow the precise inference of natural selection’s action on genomic regions, which is thus far only available for humans and a few model organisms.
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