Transitions in social complexity along elevational gradients reveal a combined impact of season length and development time on social evolution
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Eusociality is taxonomically rare, yet associated with great ecological success. Surprisingly, studies of environmental conditions favouring eusociality are often contradictory. Harsh conditions associated with increasing altitude and latitude seem to favour increased sociality in bumblebees and ants, but the reverse pattern is found in halictid bees and polistine wasps. Here, we compare the life histories and distributions of populations of 176 species of Hymenoptera from the Swiss Alps. We show that differences in altitudinal distributions and development times among social forms can explain these contrasting patterns: highly social taxa develop more quickly than intermediate social taxa, and are thus able to complete the reproductive cycle in shorter seasons at higher elevations. This dual impact of altitude and development time on sociality illustrates that ecological constraints can elicit dynamic shifts in behaviour, and helps explain the complex distribution of sociality across ecological gradients.

1. Introduction

Altitude has a large effect on the ecology and composition of social insect communities [1,2], and variation in social behaviour has been documented for a number of social insect species along both altitudinal and latitudinal clines [3–6]. Surprisingly, the directionality of this relationship is not consistent across species and clades. Studies of halictid bees and polistine wasps suggest that social behaviour is not favoured at higher elevations and latitudes. For example, many species are solitary or forgo the production of workers at high altitudes and latitudes (Halictus rubicundus [7]; Augochlorella aurata [8]; Lasioglossum baleicum [9]; Lasioglossum calceatum [10]; Polistes biglinus [11]). Similarly, spider sociality is generally restricted to lowland tropical regions, and social species are replaced by non-social relatives at higher latitudes and altitudes [3,5,6] (cf. [12]). However, the opposite pattern has been documented in bumblebees [13,14], where queen–worker dimorphism and the number of first-brood workers tend to increase at higher latitudes as well as across entire bee communities where sociality increases with altitude [1]. Similarly, in ants, species in 17 of 19 genera and five of six subfamilies tend to have larger colony sizes at high latitudes [2] (cf. [4]).

In order to better understand the causes of these conflicting patterns in the distribution of social systems, we studied the ecological niche limits of solitary, intermediate and highly social bee species along altitudinal gradients. We hypothesize that the shorter amount of time available for growth and reproduction at higher elevations is a constraint for social species, which must produce two broods: first workers, then reproducitives [15,16]. We test whether these environmental
of foraging days is strongly correlated with altitude (linear foraging days available throughout the season). The number and is therefore a good threshold indicator of the number of generally the temperature above which bees will forage [18], stations in Switzerland and averaged over 40 years (16 genetic generalized least squares (pGLS) to account for we estimated season length at the maximal elevation reached is provided in figure 1, and the full dataset is included as 4600 m. A description of the species included in the dataset geography for this analysis because they encompass a huge altitudinal range, from lakes at 195 m to mountains up to previous studies documenting intraspecific variation in halictid bees and polistine wasps [7–11,19,20], intermediate social species are largely limited to regions at low elevation (roughly below 1000 m), with an average of 130 foraging days in the year. By contrast, both solitary and highly social species are able to cope with much colder conditions, with distributions ranging up to 2600 m (Bombus alpinus) and an average of 116 and 83 foraging days at the niche edge for solitary and highly social species, respectively.

It is important to note that the chosen temperature threshold (16°C) is a simplification, and that many species (particularly bumblebees) are capable of foraging at temperatures below this value. We note that foraging days and altitude are always strongly correlated, regardless of the temperature threshold chosen (electronic supplementary material, table S3), and that there are always more foraging days at lower elevations. Furthermore, the differences in distributions between solitary, intermediate and highly social species are the same when using altitude (instead of foraging days) as the response variable for the pGLS analyses ($R^2 = 0.1533$, $F_{3,100} = 10.23, p < 0.001$).

2. Results

(a) Environmental gradients and social complexity
We compared the altitudinal distribution of solitary, intermediate and highly social taxa in the hymenopteran superfamily Apoidea. We defined intermediate social species as those with largely indistinguishable queen and worker castes (e.g., many halictine bees), and highly social species as those with easily recognizable morphological differences between queens and workers (e.g., bumblebees). We used occurrence data obtained from the Swiss Centre for Faunal Cartography (CSCF), and combined this with a meta-analysis of life-history traits for hymenopteran species in order to examine the relationship between sociality and species altitudinal distributions. The Alps of Switzerland present the ideal geography for this analysis because they encompass a huge altitudinal range, from lakes at 195 m to mountains up to 4600 m. A description of the species included in the dataset is provided in figure 1, and the full dataset is included as electronic supplementary material, table S1.

To examine the impact of climatic constraints on sociality, we estimated season length at the maximal elevation reached by each species. We compared the maximal number of foraging days at the cold niche limits for each social form using phylogenetic generalized least squares (pGLS) to account for phylogenetic relationships across species [17]. We calculated the number of foraging days at the cold niche limit as the number of days above 16°C obtained from meteorological stations in Switzerland and averaged over 40 years (16°C is generally the temperature above which bees will forage [18], and is therefore a good threshold indicator of the number of foraging days available throughout the season). The number of foraging days is strongly correlated with altitude (linear regression, $F_{1,100} = 1155.2$, $R^2 = 0.92$, $p < 0.0001$; electronic supplementary material, figure S2).

We found that the cold niche limits of intermediate social species differ from those of solitary and highly social species (figure 2; $R^2 = 0.265$, $F_{3,59} = 10.62$, $p < 0.001$). In line with

![Figure 1. The phylogenetic relationships of the taxa examined indicate that the data encompass at least three independent contrasts of social complexity. Species were classified into three behavioral groups: solitary, intermediate social and highly social. Species with clearly distinguishable queen and worker castes were classified as highly social, whereas species with largely indistinguishable queen and worker castes were classified as intermediate social. The number of species is indicated inside each box. (Online version in colour.)](Image)

![Figure 2. Cold niche limit (in number of foraging days throughout the season) in relation to the three categories of sociality (solitary, intermediate social and highly social). The number of foraging days at the cold niche limit differs significantly between social forms (pGLS; $R^2 = 0.265$, $F_{3,59} = 10.62$, $p < 0.001$). (Online version in colour.)](Image)
We searched existing literature for measures of egg-to-adult development times for bee species (data and references included in the electronic supplementary material, table S1). We selected this variable because, unlike colony development time (which is often very difficult to measure without controlled growth experiments), the time required from egg laying to emergence of the adult form is well studied in a number of species.

We found a significant association between egg-to-adult development time and degree of sociality (pGLS, $R^2 = 0.396$, $F_{3,28} = 6.56$, $p = 0.002$; figure 3). Highly social species (primarily *Bombus*) tend to have consistently quick development times ($23 \pm 3$ days), whereas intermediate social species have longer development times ($32 \pm 5$ days). Solitary species vary greatly in development time ($67 \pm 27$ days), but do not require two broods and are thus able to complete the reproductive cycle in shorter seasons. In this dataset, as in bees in general, species diversity is greatest in the solitary group. This could contribute to the high variance observed in development time for this group. However, most of the variance within these bees appears to segregate within subfamilies rather than between them, suggesting that the high variance is not an artefact of sampling diversity (see electronic supplementary material, figure S4 for breakdown by subfamily).

(c) Ecological modelling

We developed an ecological model to study the interaction between development time and season length for each social form and determine whether these variables are sufficient to predict the differing distributions of solitary, intermediate and highly social species. Because each species must forage and provision their brood, we assumed that the minimum number of days required for a species to complete reproduction within a season was the sum of the number of days required for egg-to-adult development time plus 30% of the number of foraging days within a season. We assumed this value was normally distributed across taxa within each sociality level, with the parameters of each level’s distribution determined from our dataset.

We found that the model was able to accurately predict the observed distributions of all three social forms across altitudes (figure 4). All species are present at low elevations, where season length is sufficiently long for each group to reproduce. Above approximately 1500 m, intermediate social species decline rapidly in the model; solitary and highly social species are predicted to account for the vast majority of species above this altitudinal threshold.

Two aspects of the distribution of development time within a social form explain its maintenance at high altitudes. First, shorter mean development times shift the entire distribution towards being able to survive in harsher climates with fewer foraging days. Second, a high variance in development time across taxa ensures that a significant proportion of species within a social form have sufficiently short development times that they are able to survive at high altitudes. Highly social species (taken as a group) are superior by the first criterion: they have a faster mean development time. Solitary species are able to survive at high altitudes by the second criterion: as a group, they exhibit a greater variance in development time. Intermediate social species are inferior on both counts because their development times are not sufficiently short, nor do they exhibit a high degree of variation.

Solitary species are observed in non-negligible numbers at all altitudes in the model, as in our dataset. Highly social species are also common across a wide range of altitudes—at high altitudes, their mean development time is much lower than that of solitary species and compensates for their lower variance in development time. Intermediate social species are essentially not observed above 1500 m in our occurrence dataset, and they comprise only 2.8% of the total number of species observed, whereas solitary and highly social species represent 27.8% and 69.4%, respectively. Thus, this model provides strong evidence that the ecological pressures of season length and development time are sufficient to produce differential distributions across altitude of solitary, intermediate and highly social species such as those observed in our data.

3. Discussion

It has long been accepted that the local environment has a large impact on species distributions and phenotypes. However, surprisingly few studies have explicitly examined these relationships with respect to social insects. The majority of these studies have focused on intraspecific variation in social structure [11,19–22]. Here, we document similar patterns of change in social complexity across a number of taxonomic groups. We show that altitude has a dual impact on the social structure of bee communities: intermediate social species appear to be filtered out at higher elevations, whereas solitary and highly social species persist at both high and low elevations.

Both the empirical and modelling results indicate that the combined constraints imposed by development time and season length may explain the different distributions of social forms along altitudinal gradients. Individuals of intermediate social species have longer development times than highly social ones, which develop approximately 30% more quickly than intermediates. As a result, as altitude increases, highly social species can persist in these short seasons, whereas intermediate social species are filtered out. Likewise, the high degree of variation in egg-to-adult development times in solitary species coupled with the need to produce only a single brood could enable some taxa in this group to survive in short seasons. We predict that these differences in distribution...
The observed pattern in the altitudinal distributions of social species aligns with some but not all predictions of prevailing models to explain the evolution of worker behaviour and/or cooperative brood care (table 1). For example, the hedging [28,29] hypothesis posits that in highly variable environments, individuals might remain to help in their natal colony, thereby sacrificing potential fitness to reduce the probability of complete reproductive failure. The fact that we find only highly social species in the harsher high-altitude environments suggest that this strategy may apply to these species, but not to intermediate social species where the constraint of a long development time could override the benefits of remaining in the natal nest as a worker in habitats with short breeding seasons. Instead, many intermediate social species might switch to a solitary life history, as has been observed in several halictid bees and polistine wasps [7–11,19,20]. Finally, reproductive skew within social groups is predicted to increase in situations with high variance in resources, while constant but low levels of food availability are predicted to favour groups with lower levels of reproductive skew [36–38]. Assuming that resource availability is less variable at low elevations, our results are consistent with these predictions: highly social species exhibit greater levels of reproductive skew than intermediate social species.

Over the past decade, climate change has been recognized as a major force driving changes in species distribution, ecology and behaviour throughout the world. Many species have shifted their range to increased altitudinal and latitudinal distributions, and have altered their seasonal timing towards those of equatorial populations [39]. Our results on the role of season length in social evolution can predict how individual bee species and community composition are likely to respond to increasing environmental extremes.

4. Methods

(a) Phenology and distribution of species in Switzerland

We collected meteorological observations from the Idaweb platform for 108 Swiss meteorological stations from 1970 to 2010. For each meteorological station, we computed the number of days above 16°C. To interpolate this variable, we averaged the number of days above 16°C for each meteorological station and related this to elevation using both linear and quadratic terms. We then projected the model using a digital elevation model at a resolution of 25 m. We investigated whether spatial autocorrelation remained in the residuals and used an inverse distance-weighted approach to interpolate residual errors (see [40] for further details). Finally, we summed modelled values and interpolated residual layers to obtain a climatic map of the number of days above 16°C in Switzerland. Electronic supplementary
Table 1. Evolutionary models attempting to explain the evolution of worker behaviour and cooperative breeding. Predictions are based on the application of these models in the context of the current, ecological dataset [15,16,28–34].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>hypothesis</th>
<th>citations</th>
<th>explanation</th>
<th>environmental inputs</th>
<th>relationship to phenology</th>
<th>prediction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>the origin of worker behaviour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>assured fitness</td>
<td>Gadagkar 1990 [30]; Queller 1994 [31]</td>
<td>helping behaviour favoured when solitary foundresses are unlikely to live until their brood reach the age of independence. In this case, grouping is favoured, because all individuals in a group are likely to gain some fitness, whereas solitary nesters may frequently fail to rear brood.</td>
<td>conditions unfavourable to the survival of a solitary foundress: high predation/parasitism rate, resource limitation, extreme environmental conditions</td>
<td>the lifespan of an adult relative to the development time of the brood is important; if offspring development is prolonged, a solitary foundress would be increasingly unlikely to survive until the age of independence.</td>
<td>helping (workers) should be favoured in more extreme environments, where adults are unlikely to survive until brood mature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mating</td>
<td>Yanega 1997 [32]</td>
<td>female's social role is dependent on whether she mates while young—this depends on male demography; production of one sex or the other depends on the temperature and/or photoperiod conditions experienced at the time the egg is laid.</td>
<td>would occur in seasonal environments</td>
<td>importance of temperature effects on rate of development, in turn influencing male and female demography during the course of each warm season</td>
<td>workers should emerge at lower elevations, where the earlier onset of reproduction favours initial production of females, which become workers because there are no males available to mate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>environmental control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bivoltinism</td>
<td>Hunt &amp; Amdam 2005 [15]; Hunt 2012 [16]</td>
<td>diapause, which evolved for overwintering, is co-opted to suppress ovarian development in the first brood of bivoltine wasps and bees.</td>
<td>insects must live in a seasonal environment that allows for at least two broods to be produced each year.</td>
<td>the development time of offspring relative to the length of the growing season dictates whether two broods can be produced in a given habitat</td>
<td>workers will only be produced at lower elevations, where two broods can be produced each year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the origin of cooperative breeding behaviour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bet hedging</td>
<td>Seger &amp; Brockmann 1987 [35]; Rubenstein 2011 [29]</td>
<td>in highly variable environments, individuals sacrifice some potential fitness to reduce probability of complete failure.</td>
<td>group living should increase in more variable habitats.</td>
<td>the lifespan of the helper relative to the brood would influence the potential fitness costs experienced by the helper.</td>
<td>group living should increase at higher elevations, where conditions would be more variable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>habitat saturation</td>
<td>Staassman &amp; Queller 1989 [33]; Bull &amp; Schwarz 1996 [34]</td>
<td>colony sizes (i.e. number of potentially reproductive females) will be greater in habitats where dispersal opportunities are limited, suggesting group living is a secondary option to independent reproduction.</td>
<td>will occur in environments with shortages of nesting materials or other resources necessary to solitary nest founding, or with interspecific competition.</td>
<td>longer offspring development times would increase the strain on the resources of solitary foundresses.</td>
<td>if resources are more limited at higher elevations, cooperation/colony sizes should increase at higher elevations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued.)
material, figure S5 shows the distributions of solitary, intermediate and highly social species projected onto this map. Occurrences of the bee species present in Switzerland were obtained from the CSCF. As a measure of the colder niche edge, we calculated the niche extremes (10th percentile) as the number of days above 16°C for the sites where each species was found. We preferred this measure to a minimal value as it reduces the effect of outlier values (e.g. from georeference errors).

(b) Life-history traits
We collected information from the literature on social structure and egg-to-adult development times. For species with more than one recorded observation, all values were recorded, and the mean value for egg-to-adult development time was used for the aforementioned analyses. These data and supplemental references are presented in the electronic supplementary material, table S1. For the distributional analysis, we excluded *Apis mellifera* because it is an agricultural species bred and maintained across a wide range of habitats. We also excluded species that have evolved a parasitic life history because their presence is highly dependent on the presence of a suitable host species and they have evolved a unique behavioural repertoire that is not appropriately characterized as solitary or eusocial. The remaining focal species were categorized into three groups: solitary, intermediate social and highly social, where ‘intermediate social’ species are species with low differentiation between queen and worker castes, and ‘highly social’ species have easily distinguishable queen–worker dimorphism. We analysed the relationship between social behaviour and the number of foraging days at the niche’s edge using a pGLS regression calculated with the ‘caper’ package in the R environment [17,41].

Phylogenetic relationships were inferred as in [42]. This tree was constructed using a super-matrix approach comprising 20 genes and over 17 000 aligned nucleotide sites, and included more than 1300 species.

c) Ecological modelling
We have hypothesized that differences in development time (including required foraging time) drive the observed distribution of solitary, intermediate and highly social species across altitudes. The data demonstrate that highly social species have shorter development times than intermediate social and solitary species, and that the variance in development time is greater in solitary than in social species.

We assume required development time (with foraging) across species within each of solitary, intermediate and highly social levels to be normally distributed, with means and variances differing across each social form. Let $T_S$, $T_I$ and $T_H$ be random variables of required development time (with foraging) for species of sociality levels solitary, intermediate and highly, respectively. If we further assume that development time and required foraging time are independent for species within a sociality level, then the data suggest the following rough distributions (electronic supplementary material, figure S6):

$$T_S \sim N(\mu_S, \sigma_S^2) = N(102, 28^2);$$
$$T_I \sim N(\mu_I, \sigma_I^2) = N(102, 12^2);$$
$$T_H \sim N(\mu_H, \sigma_H^2) = N(71, 12^2).$$

The results turn out to be robust to large changes in the parameters, as long as the qualitative conditions that $\mu_H < \mu_S < \mu_I$ and $\sigma_I < \sigma_H < \sigma_S$ hold with sufficient strength (see electronic supplementary material, figure S7 for examples of robustness checks). It is important to note that the data on development time primarily come from studies of species reared in laboratory conditions where sufficient time was provided for
the completion of a reproductive cycle, thus guarding against biases in our estimates.

The number of foraging days across altitudes follows a roughly linear trend (electronic supplementary material, figure S2). If \( a \) is altitude in metres and \( F(a) \) the number of foraging days at \( a \), then the data suggest

\[
F(a) = 170(1 - \frac{a}{3000})
\]

The proportion of species \( t \) that can survive at altitude \( a \), denoted \( p_t(a) \), is then

\[
p_t(a) = \Phi \left( \frac{F(a) - \mu_t}{\sigma_t} \right)
\]

where \( \Phi \) is the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution. Ignoring the influence of brood size and other factors, we report in figure 4, for each altitude, the relative proportions of the three sociality levels; for sociality level 5; for example, this is \( p_s(5)/[p_s(4) + p_s(5) + p_s(1)] \).
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