The role of coccolithophore calcification in bioengineering their environment
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Coccolithophorids are enigmatic plankton that produce calcium carbonate coccoliths, which over geological time have buried atmospheric CO₂ into limestone, changing both the atmosphere and geology of the Earth. However, the role of coccoliths for the proliferation of these organisms remains unclear; suggestions include roles in anti-predation, enhanced photosynthesis and sun-screening. Here we test the hypothesis that calcification stabilizes the pH of the seawater proximate to the organisms, providing a level of acidification countering the detrimental basification that occurs during net photosynthesis. Such bioengineering provides a more stable pH environment for growth and fits the empirical evidence for changes in rates of calcification under different environmental conditions. Under this scenario, simulations suggest that the optimal production ratio of inorganic to organic particulate C (PIC : POCₚₒᵈ) will be lower (by approx. 20%) with ocean acidification and that overproduction of coccoliths in a future acidified ocean, where pH buffering is weaker, presents a risk to calcifying cells.

1. Introduction

Biogenically deposited limestone is a feature of the geology of the Earth, providing a reservoir for C that formally existed as atmospheric CO₂ [1]. Much limestone originates from the activity of coccolithophids, a cosmopolitan and ecologically important group of phytoplankton [2,3], characterized by their ability to synthesize coccoliths of calcium carbonate (CaCO₃). Coccolith production is a major contributor to global biogeochemical cycles, enhancing C-export rates to the deep ocean by providing ballast to marine particles incorporating coccolithophorids [2,4]. Despite this significance, the functions of coccolith production for the organisms themselves remain unclear; suggestions have included protection against grazers, modulation of sinking rates and regulation of incident light [5,6]. Although roles may be species and environment specific [5–9], clear evidence supporting any of these functions are lacking [5,10]. Here we present evidence for a role of calcification in controlling, or bioengineering, the pH environment inhabited by coccolithophorids. While the driver for organism evolution is the environment of immediate relevance to those organisms (here, for pH, that would be water immediately adjacent to the cells, and over periods of days during bloom growth; [11]), the cumulative consequences of coccolithophorid activity have had major impacts over planetary and geological scales [2,4].

All organisms modify their environment, usually to their detriment by removing resources and releasing waste. In marine ecosystems, photosynthetic phytoplankton remove CO₂ and nutrients to support their growth, thereby modifying carbonate chemistry and increasing seawater pH. This basification is deleterious to phytoplankton growth; a stable pH is better [12]. Basification accompanying CO₂ drawdown is most pronounced at high rates of primary production. However, even at low cell densities, CO₂ uptake can influence
pH in the microenvironment around larger phytoplankton cells and aggregates of smaller cells [11]. Consequently, phytoplankton must tolerate changes in external pH resulting from physiological processes, set against the need to maintain an internal pH range favourable to growth.

Calcification occurs intracellularly in coccolithophorids and mature coccoliths are secreted, forming a coccosphere from which coccoliths may detach [13]. A crucial feature of coccolith production is that precipitation of CaCO₃ results in H⁺ production. Consequently, a direct role in C-acquisition by manipulation of seawater [Ca²⁺] has little effect on photosynthetic C-fixation or growth [16–18]. Calcification also does not act as a C-concentrating mechanism in E. huxleyi under C-limiting conditions [19]. Consequently, it appears unlikely that calcification and photosynthetic C uptake link directly. However, H⁺ production by calcification could benefit the cell in another way. Photosynthesis and respiration lead to an increase or decrease in proximal pH, respectively, with net photosynthesis leading to detrimental basification of bulk water at higher cell densities [12,20].

Uniquely for coccolithophorids, calcification-derived H⁺ could counter this basification, potentially maintaining a more stable pH around the cell through careful modulation of the ratio between particulate inorganic carbon (PIC) and particulate organic carbon (POC) synthesis.

We have experimental evidence that growth at a stable pH is of benefit to phytoplankton growth, including for Emiliania [12,21], and we have a dynamic model that explains the coupled dynamics of biology and chemistry during growth in those experiments [12]. Here we operate that model system to consider the effects that calcification has on pH and compare those results (in terms of the production ratio of particulate inorganic to organic carbon; PIC : POCprod) against the literature data for the cellular ratio (PIC : POCcell). We hypothesize that appropriate modulation between calcification and photosynthesis uniquely provides a mechanism through which coccolithophorids may bioengineer their environment, providing a stable proximal pH to optimize growth conditions for individual cells during enhanced primary production.

3. Results

Through simulations, we explored how the balance between photosynthesis and calcification rates influences the pH around coccolithophorids. We determined PIC : POCprod values that most closely maintained constant pH, whatever that start pH may be, assuming (i) growth is maximized in a fixed pH environment [12] and (ii) Emiliania would evolve to maximize growth at the applied pCO₂ [23]. Optimal PIC : POCprod is thus defined as that which results in the lowest change in [H⁺] (i.e. 0 δH⁺) during simulated bloom events.

Figure 1 presents plots of δH⁺ against atmospheric pCO₂ and PIC : POCprod. As pCO₂ increases (i.e. from pre-industrial to future pCO₂ scenarios), so the optimal PIC : POCprod declines from 1.5 at 200 ppm pCO₂ to 1.05 at 1000 ppm. Maintaining a high PIC : POCprod becomes increasingly deleterious at high pCO₂. The absolute deviation in [H⁺] was greatest at high pCO₂ (OA conditions) as the initial pH and buffering capacity of seawater is lower; under OA, the optimal PIC : POCprod is lowered significantly and selection against high PIC : POCprod may be expected. The situation is similar with high and low growth rate Emiliania (figure 1a versus b), but because seawater pH reflects both CO₂ consumption and atmospheric gas exchange, selective pressures to optimize PIC : POCprod are expected to diminish in slower growing systems.

Figure 2 presents comparative plots of simulations at 400 ppm pCO₂ (extant atmosphere) with different nutrient loads or physical conditions, and include the value of δH⁺ for blooms of a phytoplankton with zero-calcification potential (i.e. PIC : POCprod = 0). Higher nutrient loads drive stronger deviations in [H⁺], and slightly higher optimal PIC : POCprod.
drives a lower optimal PIC : POC<sub>prod</sub> and higher δH<sup>+</sup> at high PIC : POC<sub>prod</sub> (figure 2a). Calm conditions, minimizing air–sea CO<sub>2</sub> exchange, are conducive to greater basification during photosynthesis and drive a higher optimal PIC : POC<sub>prod</sub>. Cold water conditions (with the default wind speed) are associated with changes in the carbonate chemistry and gas exchange rates that lower optimal PIC : POC<sub>prod</sub>. Slow growth (consistent with figure 1b) flattens the response curve and also slightly lowers the optimal PIC : POC<sub>prod</sub> (figure 2b). Growth in deep mixed layers is associated with lower water column gas exchange rates, and also with lower growth rates (due to light attenuation); here the range of δH<sup>+</sup> are lowered and the optimal PIC : POC<sub>prod</sub> is also elevated. Shallower mixed layers not only accentuate δH<sup>+</sup>, lower the optimal PIC : POC<sub>prod</sub>.

Figure 1. Deviation (δH<sup>+</sup>) from the initial [H<sup>+</sup>] during simulated growth of Emiliania under different atmospheric values of pCO<sub>2</sub> and different PIC : POC<sub>prod</sub>. Assuming that growth is maximized in a stable pH environment, optimal PIC : POC<sub>prod</sub> aligns with minimum δH<sup>+</sup>. Growth was simulated in a well-mixed water column of 25 m depth, with 16 μM nitrate, 16°C and 10 m s<sup>−1</sup> surface wind speed. Positive values of δH<sup>+</sup> (see the electronic supplementary material for details) show deviations at high PIC : POC<sub>prod</sub> to be associated with acidification (red gradation), with negative values at low PIC : POC<sub>prod</sub> associated with basification (blue gradation). White indicates zero or minimal δH<sup>+</sup>. (a) Events for the default maximum growth rate (1 d<sup>−1</sup> in a 12 L : 12 D cycle) and (b) for a maximum growth rate of half this value. (Online version in colour.)

Figure 2. Deviation (δH<sup>+</sup>) from the initial [H<sup>+</sup>] during simulated growth of Emiliania under different situations at an atmospheric pCO<sub>2</sub> of 400 ppm, and different PIC : POC<sub>prod</sub>. Assuming that growth is maximized in a stable pH environment, optimal PIC : POC<sub>prod</sub> aligns with the minimum δH<sup>+</sup> (see the electronic supplementary material for further details). The default (control) growth was simulated in a well-mixed water column of 25 m depth, with 16 μM nitrate, 16°C and 10 m s<sup>−1</sup> surface wind speed, and a maximum growth rate of 1 d<sup>−1</sup> in a 12 L : 12 D cycle. Positive values of δH<sup>+</sup> (see the electronic supplementary material for details) show deviations at high PIC : POC<sub>prod</sub> to be associated with acidification, with negative values at low PIC : POC<sub>prod</sub> associated with basification. The thick blue line indicates zero δH<sup>+</sup>. (a) Events with nitrate supplied at half (low nitrate) or double (high nitrate) the default concentrations, or using ammonium rather than nitrate. (b) Events under calm conditions (wind speed 0 m s<sup>−1</sup>); cold (5°C) or with slow growth (half the default growth rate). (c) Events in shallow (10 m) or deep (50 m) mixed layers. (Online version in colour.)
4. Discussion

Phytoplankton growth is optimal at constant pH; basification and acidification are detrimental [12,20]. Calcification can radically alter the environmental [H\textsuperscript{+}], potentially countering photosynthesis-driven basification and also causing acidification of proximal waters (and hence bulk water) if inadequately modulated. Sensitivity of *E. huxleyi* to decreased seawater pH is due to the increase in [H\textsuperscript{+}], rather than changes in other carbonate system parameters [24]. This may relate to their unusual mechanisms of pH homeostasis, as H\textsuperscript{+} efflux via voltage-gated H\textsuperscript{+} channels in the plasma membrane is less effective at lower seawater pH [25,26]. Growth of coccolithophores is also inhibited at pH > 8.6 [12,24]. The energetic costs associated with calcification as a mechanism to stabilize external pH, as required through our hypothesis, versus the cost of pH homeostasis through H\textsuperscript{+} pumping clearly warrants investigation.

Does *Emiliania* bioengineer its external pH to optimize growth? It should be noted that PIC : POC\textsubscript{cell} is expected to be lower than PIC : POC\textsubscript{prod} as reported by the model, as coccoliths may detach from the cells. Comparisons between these ratios must thus refer to trends. Taking this into account, it appears to be more than coincidental that the optimal PIC : POC\textsubscript{prod} indicated by our simulations aligns with experimental measurements of PIC : POC\textsubscript{cell} varying with carbonate system parameters [6,14]. PIC : POC\textsubscript{cell} of *E. huxleyi* decreases from 1.0 to 0.3 with increasing pCO\textsubscript{2}; a meta-analysis shows this trend is consistent across a wide range of strains and experimental conditions [7,8] and with other calcifying species [9]. At high pH > 8.5, we might expect PIC : POC\textsubscript{prod} to increase further in order to drive a decrease in pH. However, PIC : POC\textsubscript{cell} of *Coccolithus pelagicus* cells at pH > 8.5 is less than 1; a concomitant decrease in growth rate with elevated pH may indicate an inability to maintain high calcification rates in this species [9].

The most likely situation in which coccolithophores may act to modify their environment is during high growth at high cell density, during blooms. Globally, *E. huxleyi* is currently the most abundant bloom forming species, with natural bloom densities ranging from 1 to 30 x 10\textsuperscript{6} cells l\textsuperscript{-1} in open ocean and coastal environments [27,28]. Our default simulations describe a peak nutrient-replete bloom size of 10\textsuperscript{10} cells l\textsuperscript{-1} in open ocean and coastal environments (see the electronic supplementary material), but in the absence of calcification (i.e. PIC : POC\textsubscript{prod} = 0), significant deviations in bulk seawater pH are apparent at cell densities in line with natural blooms (20–40 x 10\textsuperscript{6} cells l\textsuperscript{-1}). It thus appears quite feasible that calcification in nature offers a selective advantage by maintaining an environmental pH more favourable for growth.

Primary production at a high PIC : POC\textsubscript{prod} is potentially dangerous, due to the risk of seawater acidification. Careful modulation of calcification may thus be expected, and indeed *Emiliania* (and other calcifying phytoplankton) have scope for fine regulation through internalization of the calcification process [29,30]. Close regulation of calcification is particularly important in dense suspensions; while basification is highest in such conditions and hence one may expect a high PIC : POC\textsubscript{prod} to be of advantage, the collective drawdown of DIC by both photosynthesis and calcification lowers the buffering capacity of the seawater. Under such conditions, seawater pH stability becomes weakened and acidification due to increasing system respiration at the peak of a bloom could lead to a sudden collapse of the population. Starting this whole bloom growth process in acidified waters (with OA, at high pCO\textsubscript{2}) thus places a greater risk of further acidification for high calcifiers.

Irrespective of whether or not coccolithophorids such as *Emiliania* evolved calcification to bioengineer their environment to stabilize pH during growth, stabilizing the pH proximal to the cell is clearly advantageous [12,21]. Simulations for growth with zero calcification (PIC : POC\textsubscript{prod} = 0; figure 2) demonstrate the scope of compensation for basification offered by calcification, and subsequently that calcification has clear potential to be advantageous in blooms essentially dominated by calcifying cells. Growth in mixed blooms, with co-occurring non-calcifying species, would still be advantageous to the coccolithophorid if the non-calcifying species were disadvantaged by growth at the fixed pH. This is possible if the non-calcifier was adapted to grow best at the raised pH more typical of conditions during the basification that accompanies normal phytoplankton growth [12].

Simulations demonstrate that under OA scenarios selection for growth of coccolithophorids with lower PIC : POC\textsubscript{prod} is to be expected (as a combination of the optimal PIC : POC\textsubscript{prod} for low δH\textsuperscript{+}, and also avoiding the risk of high δH\textsuperscript{+} at high PIC : POC\textsubscript{prod}), and that optimal ratios will be lower again in cold waters (figure 2b), where ammonium supports growth (figure 2a) and also in shallow mixed layers (figure 2c). Together with a decrease in the optimal PIC : POC\textsubscript{prod}, our results suggest calcification in coccolithophorids will decline significantly in the more acidic future ocean by approximately 25% as atmospheric pCO\textsubscript{2} exceeds 750 ppm. In large blooms, the removal of the resource for photosynthesis, through calcification, is also detrimental for pH stability. Accordingly, we can expect coccolithophorids to adapt to changes in environmental conditions impacting upon pH stability by altering their PIC : POC\textsubscript{prod}. For climate change models, especially over palaeological timescales, it may be possible to simulate changes in rates of calcification making reference to optimal PIC : POC\textsubscript{prod}. It is, however, important to note that the process of calcification on biogeochemical scales is a function of both the success of the organisms in forming large blooms (linked to nutrient loads, light, grazing and to physico-chemical oceanography) and also of the calcification of the individual organisms. The implications of the proposed bioengineering event for organisms other than the coccolithophorids is thus also of importance.
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